Influence of Dodecyltrimethylammonium Halides on Thermotropic Phase Behaviour of Phosphatidylcholine/Cholesterol Bilayers
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Effects of dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride (DTAC), dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) and dodecyltrimethylammonium iodide (DTAI) on thermotropic phase behaviour of phosphatidylcholine bilayers containing cholesterol as well as on $^1$H NMR spectra were studied. Two series of experiments were performed. In the first one the surfactants were added to the water phase while in the other directly to the lipid phase (a mixed film from cholesterol, surfactant and phosphatidylcholine was formed). The effects of particular surfactants on the main phase transition temperature, $T_m$, were more pronounced when added to the lipid phase (2nd method) than to the water phase (1st method); the opposite happened when cholesterol was absent (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik 2000, Z. Naturforsch. 55c, 240–244). Furthermore, in the case of the first method the transitions were asymmetrical while in the second method nearly symmetrical. It is suggested that surfactant poor and surfactant rich domains are formed when surfactants are added to the water phase.

Introduction

In the preceding paper (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik, 2000) we studied the influence of cholesterol, bromide and also iodide as counterions on the effect of amphiphilic compounds on thermotropic phase behaviour of phosphatidylcholine bilayers. Accordingly, we studied the commonly used surfactants like dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride (DTAC), dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) and dodecyltrimethylammonium iodide (DTAI). The objective of the present paper was to study the influence of the same dodecyltrimethylammonium halides (DTAX) on thermotropic phase behaviour of phosphatidylcholine bilayers containing cholesterol (DPPC/chol). Such studies seem to be interesting because cholesterol is a major component of the plasma membranes in many cells of higher organisms. A number of papers are devoted to the effects of cholesterol (McMullen 1978; McIntosh, 1978; Mouritsen, 1991).

As before, two series of experiments were performed. In the first one the surfactants were added to the water phase and in the other directly to the lipid phase (mixed film was formed).

Also as before, we applied differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), which is widely used to study the effects of cholesterol (McMullen et al., 1993; McMullen and McElhaney, 1995; McMullen et al., 1999), anesthetics, drugs, proteins (Papahadjopoulos et al., 1975) surfactants (Grau et al., 1999) and various small molecules on phase transitions of phospholipids. Besides, as before we used the $^1$H NMR method; which, like other nuclear magnetic resonance methods, is one of the most powerful techniques (Fenske, 1993; Wu, 1996; Watts and Spooner, 1991) that have been applied to study biological and model membranes.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphocholine (DPPC), cholesterol and egg yolk lecithin were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Birmingham, Alabama.

Dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride (DTAC) and dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) were purchased from Fluka Chemie AG, Switzerland.

Dodecyltrimethylammonium iodide (DTAI) was prepared as before (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik, 2000).
99.98% D$_2$O was purchased from Dr. Glaser AG Basel.

**Sample preparation for differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

Samples for DSC were performed on multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) which were prepared using two methods as described before (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik, 2000) with the difference that to DPPC 5 mol% of cholesterol was added. The same DSC calorimeter was used as before.

**Sample preparation for $^1$H NMR**

Measurements were performed on sonicated vesicles (SUVs) which were prepared using two methods as described before (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik, 2000) with the difference that to egg lecithin 5 mol% of cholesterol was added. The rest of the procedure and spectrometer were as before.

**Results**

**DSC**

Some representative DSC plots of DPPC/chol. liposomes containing increasing amounts of DTAX prepared by means of the first and second method are compared in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, respectively. In the absence of a surfactant DPPC/chol. bilayers did not exhibit the pretransition since cholesterol is known to be an inhibitor of pretransition. The pretransition is known to be abolished by addition of very small amounts of cholesterol (McMullen and McElhaney, 1995).

The main phase transition temperature ($T_m$) of DPPC/chol. liposomes prepared by means of the first and second method shifts progressively to lower temperatures and the transition broadens with increasing concentration of surfactants. Like it was for DPPC liposomes, in the case of the first method the transition peaks are broader and asymmetrical, while in the second method they are less broad and almost symmetrical. After addition of DTAX to DPPC/chol. liposomes according to the first method the main phase transition is not only asymmetrical but even often separates into two peaks. The high temperature peak can be ascribed to pure DPPC.

The effects of particular surfactants on $T_m$ were more pronounced when they were added to the DPPC/chol. phase than to the water phase, while in the case of DPPC liposomes the effect was opposite. DTAC and DTAI show a stronger effect on $T_m$ in the case of the 2nd method while DTAB approximately the same in both cases.

**$^1$H NMR**

The effects of DTAB, DTAC and DTAI on $^1$H NMR spectra of egg lecithin/chol. liposome dispersion prepared according to the first and second method are compared in Fig. 4. $^1$H NMR resonance of the trimethylammonium group of lecithin, $[N(CH_3)_3]_L$, remains almost unchanged after addition of a surfactant. $^1$H NMR resonance of the trimethylammonium group of surfactant head group, $N(CH_3)_3$, is downfield shifted in liposomes dispersion and of significantly lower intensity in comparison to pure water. When surfactants are added to the lipid phase the intensity of $^1$H NMR resonance of the trimethylammonium group of surfactant head group decreases more than when they are added to water phase (first...
Fig. 2. DSC heating curves of MLVs with increasing molar ratio of dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) to phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol (DPPC/chol). The curves were normalized for the amount of DPPC; (A) first method, (B) second method.

Fig. 3. DSC heating curves of MLVs with increasing molar ratio of dodecyltrimethylammonium iodide (DTAI) to phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol (DPPC/chol). The curves were normalized for the amount of DPPC; (A) first method, (B) second method.

method). Besides, in the case of both the methods the intensity of N(CH₃)₃ signals coming from DTAC and DTAB are approximately the same while that coming from DTAI is significantly lower. This suggest that, like it was in the case of egg lecithin liposomes, that more surfactants are embedded into the lipid phase of egg lecithin/chol. liposomes upon addition according to the second method. Besides, in the case of both methods the strongest effect is for DTAI and for DTAC and DTAB approximately the same. After addition of DTAI to lipid phase the N(CH₃)₃ signals coming from the surfactant headgroup was so much down-field shifted that superimposed on the choline, [N(CH₃)₃]L signal.

Discussion

The effects of the counterions studied on influence of dodecyltrimethylammonium halides on the phase transitions of DPPC/chol. liposomes as well as on ¹H NMR spectra of egg lecithin/chol. liposomes show some similarities with their effect on liposomes without cholesterol. In both the cases their effect depends on the way a surfactant was introduced to liposomes. In the case of the 1st method of preparation the transitions were asymmetrical, while in the 2nd method nearly symmetrical. Asymmetrical transitions may suggest that, like it was in the case of DPPC liposomes, surfactant-poor and surfactant-rich domains are formed. Anyway, in the presence of cholesterol the influence of a counterion on the interaction of a surfactant with lipid bilayer was more enhanced when the surfactant was added to the lipid phase than to water phase; the opposite happened when cholesterol was absent. (Różycka-Roszak and Pruchnik, 2000). This may indicate that in the presence of cholesterol the water-ion interactions are less significant as they were in the absence of cholesterol. Probably, more important are cholesterol – surfactant interactions in which counterions are involved. When a surfactant was added to DPPC/chol. liposomes through the water phase the effect of counterion on the phase transitions slightly
increased in the order chloride < bromide < iodide. Also, when surfactants were added to DPPC liposomes according to the first method the same order was observed but the effect was much more pronounced. Anyway, the above sequence was changed when surfactants were added to DPPC/chol. phase. Surprisingly, enhanced effect was observed for DTAC. This may indicate that there are stronger interactions between cholesterol and DTAC when the surfactant is added to liposomes according to the second method than according to the first one. This may be due to the fact that chloride in chloroform is not hydrated so that the smallest one of the halide studied can approach surfactant cation and enhance its interaction with cholesterol the most. In the water phase, chloride, being the most hydrated of the halide ions, can not approach the liposome surface so closely as the other counterions, and this is probably why the influence of DTAC on thermotropic phase behaviour of DPPC/chol. liposomes as well as on DPPC liposomes is the smallest.
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