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The reconstitution of chloroplast coupling factor 1 (CF\textsubscript{1}) into thylakoid membranes was investigated by the fluorescence of the covalently attached label fluorescamine. In contrast to functional regeneration of ATP synthesis, a rebinding of CF\textsubscript{1} was observed regardless if the protein was in its native, purified state or had been activated for ATPase activity by heat, dithiothreitol (DTT) or trypsin treatment. The reintegration of CF\textsubscript{1} into the thylakoid membrane was estimated to be almost quantitative.

Since the label binds covalently and irreversibly to free NH\textsubscript{2}-groups, its effects on the various functional parameters were investigated. Blocking of NH\textsubscript{2} groups leads to inhibition of ATPase activity in isolated CF\textsubscript{1}. Labeled factor used in a reconstitution experiment on the other hand, does still result in considerable regeneration of ATP formation.

Attaching the label to whole thylakoids leads to a differential effect on phosphorylation, which is inhibited, and the H\textsuperscript{+}-uptake which seems largely unaffected. A differential effect is also observed on coupled and uncoupled electron transport.

The results are interpreted as evidence for an involvement of free NH\textsubscript{2}-groups in the mechanism of photophosphorylation.

**Introduction**

The success of reconstituting phosphorylation activity by recombination of CF\textsubscript{1} and CF\textsubscript{1}-depleted thylakoid membranes depends largely on the amount of proteins not extracted from the plastids during EDTA or pyrophosphate treatment (review by Nelson\textsuperscript{1}). The critical lower limit for sufficient regeneration is a loss of more than 50\% of native CF\textsubscript{1} during the preparation of CF\textsubscript{1} depleted membrane particles\textsuperscript{2}. This observation has been attributed to an insufficient retention of the purified CF\textsubscript{1} due to changes in surface properties leading e.g. to aggregation. Alternative though related is the notion that its close to complete extraction through either EDTA of pyrophosphate treatment leads to irreparable destruction of membrane structure\textsuperscript{2}. A third possibility derives from the argument that the protein retained during the reconstitution experiment serves only in a structural capacity (re-sealing of “holes”) while the catalysis of ATP formation is restricted to the residual, native CF\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{3}. In this case the less residual CF\textsubscript{1}, the less the maximum theoretical activity (on a chlorophyll basis) obtainable in a reconstitution experiment. It is possible that all three factors contribute to the aforementioned observation of a critical CF\textsubscript{1} concentration retained in the “CF\textsubscript{1}-depleted” membranes.

One approach to investigate if retention of protein constitutes the critical factor is the use of fluorescent covalent labeling techniques which was employed by Kraayenhof and Slater\textsuperscript{4} to study conformational phenomena of CF\textsubscript{1} activity. Similarly, a double fluorescent label was suggested by Harnischfeger and Schöpf\textsuperscript{5} to investigate the physical parameters of membrane-CF\textsubscript{1} interaction. CF\textsubscript{1} was labeled in both cases with fluorescamine which reacts specifically with exposed amino groups. Only the covalently bound dye possesses a strong fluorescence whereas the molecule itself and its hydrolyzation products are non-fluorescent.

This paper describes the influence of the fluorescamine label on the activity of isolated CF\textsubscript{1}, the reconstitution of activity with labeled CF\textsubscript{1} and also the effects of ATPase-activation on labeled and unlabeled protein with regard to reconstitution. The experiments suggest that retention of protein during reconstitution is not the critical factor limiting ac-
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tivity for a regeneration of photophosphorylation. In addition applying the label to the thylakoids rather than to CF1 suggests, that in the mechanism of phosphorylation and electron transport free amino groups play an important role.

Material and Methods

Coupling factor CF1 was prepared according to Lien and Racker6 with the modification that the chloroplasts were washed prior to EDTA treatment with 5 mM pyrophosphate at pH 7.4 in order to remove stroma proteins and carboxydismutase7. The protein, pure according to electrophoretic observation, was stored in 20 mM Tris—SO4—2 mM ATP—2 mM EDTA at pH 7.1.

For the use in the experiments the CF1 preparation was centrifuged, the precipitate resolved in 10 mM Tricine pH 8 and passed through a Sephadex G-25 column (20 1.5 cm). After elution with 20 mM Tricine pH 8.0 the CF1 was effectively separated from (NH4)2SO4 as determined by the Neßler test.

ATPase activity was determined through released inorganic phosphate using the method of Taussky and Shorr8. Activity of ATPase was induced through either trypsin incubation9, DTT treatment10 or heat exposure11.

CF1 was labeled with fluorescamine in the concentrations given in the legends. Since the dye is insoluble in water, it was added in acetone solution. The amount of acetone in the labeling assay did not exceed 5%. The labeling assay contained bicarbonate buffer (10 mM, pH 8.3) in order to prevent any possible influence through the amino groups of Tricine. Fluorescence was measured in the fluorimeter described by Harmischfeger12, excitation was at 365 nm, the emission spectrum was recorded between 400 and 500 nm.

Uncoupled thylakoids which have lost between 25—30% of their native CF1 were prepared according to Schopf et al.13. They were partially depleted of CF1 by hypotonic treatment with 0.5 mM pyrophosphate of pH 7.4. Chlorophyll concentration at this step was 0.1 mg/ml.

Cyclic photophosphorylation was assessed in the PMS system. The assay contained in 2.2 ml: 100 µmol Tricine pH 8, 10 µmol MgCl2, 5 µmol ATP, 10 µmol Na2HPO4 including 32P, 100 µmol PMS and 40 µg chlorophyll eq. chloroplasts. Illumination was with 9.5 x 105 erg/cm2/sec of light with a wavelength of > 610 nm. The determination of AT32P formed was according to Lindberg and Ernster14 using Cerenkov emission in the liq. scintillation assay15.

The fluorescence of 9-aminoacridine, used as an indication of membrane energetization, was measured as described before12. The determination of the size of the proton uptake was accomplished with a sensitive glass electrode (Ingold LOT 405-M5) attached to a Metrohm pH meter. Starting pH of the assay was 6.2, illumination by red light (λ > 610 nm) of an intensity of 9.5 x 105 erg/cm2/sec. The assay contents were similar to those described by Strotmann16.

In the reconstitution assay the uncoupled thylakoids and purified CF1 were recombined. The medium contained in addition 0.1 m sucrose, 25 mM Tricine pH 8, and 10 mM MgCl2. The reconstituted system was assayed for photochemical activity after an incubation time of 20 min at 0 °C.

Results

I. The retention of CF1 activated for ATPase activity

Our experiments confirm the initial observation by Jagendorf17 that a regeneration of photophosphorylation is impossible with CF1, in which ATPase activity was induced by treatment with trypsin or heat. It can only be achieved, if the activation was obtained by DTT exposure (Table I).

Table I. Regeneration of cyclic photophosphorylation using CF1 in which ATPase activity was induced prior to the reconstitution experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thylakoids</th>
<th>CF1</th>
<th>Phosphorylation activity</th>
<th>ATPase activity of CF1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.P. washed</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.P. washed+CF1</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.P. washed+DTT-CF1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.P. washed+trypsin-CF1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.P. washed+heat-CF1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activity of phosphorylation in the PMS system given in µmol ATP formed×mg Chl−1 h−1; rate of ATP hydrolysis by activated CF1 (type of activation indicated by prescript) given in µmol ATP × mg protein−1 min−1. DTT-CF1 was purified before reconstitution by Sephadex G-25, the removal of DTT did not influence ATPase activity.

Further investigation showed, that the reconstituting capacity is already lost long before the trypsin treatment yields the maximum rate of ATP hydrolysis (Fig. 1). In the same manner, the 9-AAC
Fig. 1. Influence of trypsin treatment of CF₁ on its ability to regenerate phosphorylation in a reconstitution experiment. The rate of ATP formation in the untreated control was 290 μmol X mg Chl⁻¹ h⁻¹.

Fig. 2. Influence of trypsin treatment of CF₁ on its ability to regenerate the 9-AAC quench after reconstitution. The 9-AAC quench is diminished after reconstitution with the briefly activated coupling factor (Fig. 2).

These observations lead to the question whether the ATPase-activated CF₁ does not bind to the thylakoid or whether it is only inactive but fully integrated into the membrane. One possible solution is offered by reconstitution experiments with CF₁ to which a fluorescent label was attached through exposure to fluorescamine. After a sufficient incubation of the reconstitution mixture unreacted CF₁ can be removed by centrifugation and washing, and the amount of CF₁ retention can be estimated by the remaining fluorescence signal. (Two washes of the reconstituted particles in the incubation medium sufficed in our experiments to remove all fluorescence emission due to the fluorescamine-protein adduct in the supernatant.) Fig. 3 shows the result of such an experiment with purified, labeled, coupling factor. Approximately 3 – 4 mg CF₁/mg Chl sufficed to saturate retention. The actual amount of coupling factor incorporated into the membrane is quite small, only 3% of the added protein under our conditions. This value was calculated in Table II from the relative size of the fluorescence signal and estimated to be around 0.1 mg protein/mg chlorophyll. This corresponds to the amount of CF₁ released during pyrophosphate washing.

Table II. Determination of the amount of CF₁ rebound to thylakoid membranes during reconstitution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Relative fluorescence signal eq. μg CF₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire reconstitution assay</td>
<td>820  655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstituted thylakoids after removal of unreacted CF₁</td>
<td>17  13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CF₁ (0.9 mg/ml) was marked with 250 μM fluorescamine prior to use in the reconstitution assay which contained besides the above amount of CF₁, 136 μg chlorophyll eq. uncoupled thylakoids. The fluorescence signal was determined before and after removal of non-reacted CF₁ using aliquot samples.
The coupling of fluorescamine with purified CF₁ is influenced by a number of factors which can distort the information obtainable from reconstitution experiments. One poignant example is given in the model reaction of Fig. 4 where lysine labeled with fluorescamine was used. The presence of DTT or ATP during labeling reduces the emission of the adduct. ATP is itself susceptible to the label and can, therefore, influence the result. The DTT dependent decrease was, however, not observed when the compound was added after the labeling reaction. DTT must, therefore, interfere with the chemical mechanism forming the covalent bond.

Fig. 4. The influence of DTT and ATP on the fluorescence signal of the fluorescamine adduct. The label (250 μM) was attached to lysine (10 mM). The two components were present in the conc. given during the labeling procedure.

This also can explain the observation of Kraayenhof and Slater ⁴, that fluorescamine labeling of CF₁ is much diminished after DTT treatment. Their interpretation, that amino groups exposed in the native enzyme are buried after ATP-ase activation with DTT is, therefore, open to question. Consequently, in order to measure the retention of the ATPases, it was necessary to separate prior to reconstitution those substances which were added for the activation of CF₁.

In the case of DTT, the activated protein was separated by column chromatography with Sephadex G-25 and subsequently labeled with fluorescamine. Taking this precaution the retention of activated CF₁ in reconstitution experiments is almost identical regardless of the procedure used to induce ATPase activity. Moreover, as summarized in Table III, the fluorescence signal of the rebound protein was comparable to that of the uninduced coupling factor. The retention of the trypsin treated protein did not change even after 5 min of trypsin exposure. This finding does not agree with experiments by Racker et al. ¹⁸ who observed a diminished degree of retention of trypsin activated CF₁-ATPase, measured by ATP hydrolysis of the supernatant after reconstitution.

Table III. Influence of ATPase induction in CF₁ on its re-binding capacity in reconstitution experiments.

| CF₁, purified | 10.4 |
| DTT-CF₁       | 10.6 |
| Heat-CF₁      | 9.8  |
| Trypsin-CF₁   | 10.8 |

Incubation of CF₁ for full activation of ATPase activity: DTT — 3 h, trypsin — 1 min, heat (64°) — 4 min. Labeling with 250 μM fluorescamine after removal of by-products and activation reagents. Reconstitution and other procedures as described in methods and in the legend to Table II.

2. The reconstitution of the proton uptake and photophosphorylation with labeled CF₁

Although the retention of CF₁ in the reconstitution experiment is complete as shown in Table II, the capacity for energy conservation of the reconstituted system should nevertheless be influenced by the label of the coupling factor.

We observed, that the fluorescamine label affects markedly the ATPase activity of isolated CF₁. Fig. 5 shows that the hydrolytic capacity is inhibited around 90% at the concentration of 100 μM fluorescamine in the labeling assay. If the fluorescence emission of the marked CF₁ serves as indicator, this concentration corresponds to a saturation of the exposed amino-groups (Fig. 6). Since trypsin by its proteolytic action generates new amino terminal groups, more fluorescamine can react with them and the respective curve in Fig. 6 is shifted towards higher concentrations. These results indicate, that the fluorescamine labeled CF₁ used in the reconstitution experiments is enzymatically inactive, pro-
Fig. 5. Influence of the fluorescamine label on the ATPase activity of isolated CF$_1$. The protein (0.2 mg/ml) was labeled after activation by the methods indicated. Activity of 100% is equal to 13.4 μmol ATP hydrolysed × mg prot.$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$ for trypsin activated CF$_1$, 5.6 for heat activated and 6.1 for DTT activated protein.

Fig. 6. Fluorescence saturation curve for fluorescamine labeling for isolated CF$_1$. The protein (0.2 mg/ml) was labeled after activation as indicated.

Fig. 7. Influence of fluorescamine labeling of CF$_1$ on its ability to regenerate the proton uptake and phosphorylation in reconstitution experiments. CF$_1$ (0.9 mg/ml) was labeled with the amount of fluorescamine indicated prior to the reconstitution assay. 100% activity were 138 μmol ATP × mg Chl$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (7 μmol before reconstitution) and 168 nmol H$^+$ /mg Chl (16 nmol before reconstitution).

3. Reconstitution with labeled thylakoids

The free amino groups seem to play an important role in the coupling mechanism of phosphorylation. This is evident from the experiment shown in Fig. 8, where control type thylakoids (shocked but still possessing their full complement of CF$_1$) were exposed to various concentrations of fluorescamine. A differential effect on photophosphorylation and proton uptake is observed. At 250 μM the former was inhibited, the latter still unaffected. Basis electron transport (FeCy acceptor) is not influenced by the label, while the uncoupled activity is pronouncedly decreased (Fig. 9).

Fig. 8. Influence of fluorescamine labeling on phosphorylation and proton uptake of intact thylakoids. The thylakoids (0.2 mg Chl/ml) were labeled with the fluorescamine concentration indicated. The 100% values are 147 nmol H$^+$·mg Chl$^{-1}$ and 121 μmol ATP·mg Chl$^{-1}$·h$^{-1}$ for photophosphorylation (FeCy-acceptor).
Fig. 9. Influence of fluorescamine labeling on electron transport of intact thylakoids (FeCy-acceptor).

Fig. 10 describes the effect of labeling thylakoids with fluorescamine on the regeneration of activity in a reconstitution experiment. In analogy to the above results an inhibition of cyclic phosphorylation is observed in both controls and reconstituted thylakoids leading to the same final value, though on a relative basis the inhibition on the reconstituted system is much less. This finding argues, that in these experiments the active (coupling?) site of the residual CF₁, which is not removed by washing from the uncoupled thylakoids, is affected, since labeled CF₁, on the other hand, does not greatly impair the reconstituted activity (compare Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

The experiments give information about both the structural and the functional aspect of reconstitution. From a structural point of view the finding is important, that all types of CF₁, normal or activated by the various means available, bind to the thylakoid membrane. A rough quantitative estimate from the fluorescence data gives a retention of 0.1 mg protein/mg Chl. The total amount of CF₁ in intact thylakoids has been given as 0.42 – 0.45 mg CF₁/mg Chl⁷.¹⁹. Taking this value into consideration the amount rebound would correspond to about 30% of the total, i.e. exactly the protein removed through EDTA or 0.5 mM pyrophosphate treatment. The structural reconstitution thus seems quantitative.

Of special interest is the observation that CF₁ with trypsin induced ATPase activity is reconstituted into the membrane during reconstitution. Deters *et al.*²⁰ reported that under these conditions CF₁ loses its δ subunit and concluded from the absence of function that the protein did not rebind. Similarly Nelson and Karny²¹ implied a strong correlation between binding of CF₁ and the δ subunit. If we imply, though we have not shown it —, that in analogy to Deters *et al.*²⁰ trypsin treatment in our case also removes the δ subunit from CF₁, we have to conclude that the δ subunit cannot be the only structural link between CF₁ and thylakoid membrane.

The functional aspect, i.e. the ability to regenerate photophosphorylation, is more complex. Hesse *et al.*² imply 3 successive degrees of membrane breakdown during CF₁ extraction, namely, disorganization of grana stacking — removal of CF₁ — membrane perforation. They report membrane perforation as starting when about 50% of native CF₁ is ex-
tracted. Since the uncoupled thylakoids used in our experiments contain still about 70% of their native CF$_1$, holes in the membrane (not to be confused with the normal ion transmitting pores) and thus a resealing through the offered protein during reconstitution is not the decisive factor for regeneration of phosphorylation activity. As discussed by Jagendorf$^{17}$ the functional aspect of those CF$_1$ molecules that rebind is not clear. The regeneration of phosphorylation with inactive, fluorescamine labeled CF$_1$ implies, that the renewed activity rests mostly, but not necessarily exclusively, in the residual membrane factor (cp. also Berzborn and Schröer$^{22}$). The result suggests that in this case the rebound CF$_1$ restores only membrane integrity which is required for energy conservation.

The labeling experiments seem to indicate also that free amino-groups play a role in the coupling between phosphorylation and electron transport. These groups are virtually abolished through the covalent attachment of fluorescamine$^{23}$ in saturating amounts. Although the proton uptake is unaffected at 100 $\mu$M fluorescamine, the phosphorylation is only 10% its original size. Thus, the energy reservoir, if proton uptake serves as a rough indicator, is still present but cannot be diverted into ATP formation. Labeling the thylakoids before reconstitution is much more sensitive than labeling CF$_1$, which however can be easily explained by a strictly structural organizational role of the rebound CF$_1$. NH$_2$-groups must be involved at the coupling site proper, since fluorescamine labeling decreases uncoupled electron transport in class I chloroplasts but leaves basal rates practically unaffected (Fig. 9).

The results so far indicate that although in reconstitution experiments CF$_1$ is retained at all the binding sites, the crucial feature is the repair of energy transfer between membrane and coupling factor. This problem warrants further investigation.
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