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An antiserum to lutein inhibits photosynthetic electron transport between water and potassium ferricyanide in chloroplasts from green *Nicotiana tabacum* var. John Williams’ Breadleaf. However, electron transport between diphenylcarbazide and potassium ferricyanide is not impaired. From this it is concluded that the photochemically active carotenoid should feed its electrons into the photosynthetic electron transport chain before the site from which diphenylcarbazide donates electrons. The inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction in chloroplasts by antibodies to lutein depends on the accessibility of the carotenoid antigen in the thylakoid membrane. In fresh preparations the accessibility is greater in chloroplasts in which photosynthetic electron transport is coupled to photophosphorylation. Concomitantly the antiserum to lutein agglutinates only such chloroplast preparations in which the Hill reaction is impaired by the antiserum.

An antiserum to plastoquinone inhibits ferricyanide photoreduction of chloroplasts regardless whether driven by water or diphenylcarbazide as the electron donors. Typical photosystem-I-reactions are not influenced by the antiserum. In a certain type of chloroplast preparations the antiserum does not inhibit PMS-mediated photophosphorylation inferring that plastoquinone, eventually involved in this reaction, is either not accessible to antibodies, or that this cyclic electron flow does not necessarily pass through plastoquinone.

In a previous paper we showed that antibodies to chlorophyll are specifically adsorbed onto the lamellar system of chloroplasts from *Nicotiana tabacum* and *Antirrhinum majus*. Depending on the condition in which the lamellar system is in, agglutination occurs either directly or after the addition of rabbit-anti-γ-globulin from the goat. It was demonstrated that the antibodies to chlorophyll inhibit photosynthetic electron transport on the photosystem II side. From the experiments it was concluded that part of the reaction centre chlorophyll of photosystem II was situated in an accessible location in the thylakoid membrane. Koenig and co-workers describe antibodies to a photosystem II-activity exhibiting preparation, namely antibodies to a particle preparation that did not exhibit any photosystem I activity. These antibodies impair electron transport on the photosystem-II-side between water and diphenylcarbazide. Braun and Govindjee independently report on an antiserum which, according to the presented data, inhibits photosynthetic electron flow at probably the same site as that obtained by Koenig et al. These reports are to our knowledge the only ones using the serological approach to characterize photosystem II. In view of the question of energy transfer from carotenoids to chlorophyll or from chlorophyll to carotenoids and the participation of carotenoids in the primary photochemical activity of photosystem II, we have prepared an antiserum to lutein. With an antiserum to this chlorophyll pigment we expected to obtain information as to whether carotenoids are located on the outside or inside face of the thylakoid membrane. The eventual effect of the antiserum on photosynthetic electron transport was supposed to characterize the possible role of carotenoids in photosynthesis. Plastoquinone, a component of the photosynthetic electron transport chain, is easily extractable from chloroplast preparations as shown by many authors. The component is thought to participate in PMS-mediated photophosphorylation and suggests that a plastoquinone complexed with the reaction centre chlorophyll of photosystem II (ChlII) serves as the primary electron acceptor to electron transport.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Dr. Georg H. Schmid, Max-Planck-Institut für Züchtungsforschung (Erwin-Baur-Institut), D-5000 Köln 30.

**Abbreviations:** DCPIP, 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol; PMS, phenazine methosulfate; DCMU, 3,4-dichlorophenyl-1,1-dimethyleurea.
photosystem II which according to him might be identical to the photosystem II fluorescence quencher Q. This quencher was thought to be located between the reaction centre chlorophyll of photosystem II (Chl$\alpha\alpha$) and plastoquinone. We felt that an antiserum to plastoquinone should give, as in the case of lutein, information as to whether plastoquinone is situated in an accessible location in the thylakoid membrane. In this case we expected interference of the antibodies to plastoquinone with photosynthetic electron transport.

Indeed, our experiments demonstrate that the antisera to lutein and plastoquinone do interfere with photosynthetic electron transport on the photosystem II side.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolation of lutein:** The main part of the carotenoids and the chlorophylls were eluted over Florisil columns from ether soluble Urtica lipids. In order to separate from the chlorophylls the pigment mixture was hydrolysed at room temperature by addition of 5% sodium ethylate according to the methods of Brunner and Grob. The carotenoids were dissolved in ether. Isolation of lutein was achieved by thin layer chromatography on silica gel-G plates with petrolether, benzene, ethanol (100, 20, 12.5) as solvent according to the method of Eichenberger and Grob. Subsequently lutein was rechromatographed on plates of CaCO$_3$, MgO, Ca(OH)$_2$ according to Hager and Meyer-Bertenrath. The solvent used in this case was benzene (b.p. 100–140 °C), acetone, chloroform (50, 50, 40). The obtained lutein was twice recrystallized from a benzene/methanol mixture. Analyzed by thin layer chromatography, it was pure.

**Plastoquinone:** 2,3-dimethyl-5-solanesyl 1,4 benzoquinone was purchased from Hoffmann-La Roche & Co Ltd (Basel, Switzerland). It was rechromatographed on silica gel-G plates with benzene as solvent.

**Immunisation with lutein and plastoquinone:** 2 mg antigen were dissolved in 5 ml ethanol and 5 ml water were added to this solution. The ethanol was completely removed by concentrating the suspension to 0.5 ml. Subsequently, 1 ml rabbit serum and 1 ml of a 2% sodium chloride solution were mixed together and emulsified by ultrasonication. This emulsion was injected into the ear vein of rabbits on every second day. In a parallel immunisation tests we also used a solution of 0.1% methylated bovine serum albumin instead of rabbit serum. In order to test for the formation of immunoglobulins, blood was withdrawn from the animals before treatment after the 6th and then after every further third injection. The serum was stored at –16 °C.

**Agglutination tests:** The passive heme-agglutination test as well as the protein decomposition by subtilisin have been described earlier. For the antiglobulin test, equal amounts of antiserum and stroma-freed chloroplasts (1.5 mg/ml) suspended in physiological saline (0.8% NaCl) were mixed together. After 12 hours the chloroplasts were washed 5 times in physiological saline and centrifuged each time at 2000 g. The incubated and washed chloroplasts were suspended in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and added to the same volume of anti-rabbit-γ-globulin from the goat of type IgG or type IgM. The reactions were followed under the light microscope. Anti-rabbit-γ-globulin, type IgG and IgM were obtained from Miles-Yeda LTD, Kiryat Weizman, Rehovot, Israel. The membrane fragments of the ultrasonic supernatant were washed 8 times in water after incubation with the respective antiserum and subsequently centrifuged at 75000 g. The sediment was suspended by ultrasonication. Rabbit sera, withdrawn from the animals before treatment and antiserum to the methylated bovine serum albumin gave negative results in the respective control assays.

**Chloroplast preparations:** Stroma-containing chloroplasts from Nicotiana tabacum var. John William's Broadleaf were prepared according to Homann and Schmid.

**Pigment analyses, light measurements and photo-reactions** were carried out as described by Radunz et al. and Koening et al.

**Electron microscopy:** The specimens for electron microscopy were prepared according to the procedures described earlier. Sections were cut on a LKB Ultratome III using glass knives, double stained with uranylacetate and with lead citrate and examined under an Elmiskope I (Siemens) at 80 kv.

Reactions of the antisera to lutein and plastoquinone with chloroplast preparations

Rabbits were immunised with lutein and plastoquinone in order to obtain antiserum. The antigens were emulsified in rabbit blood serum from the respective animals or with methylated bovine serum albumin solutions. After 12 injections, all 4 treated animals produced antibodies. If a suspension of stroma-freed chloroplasts is added to lutein or plastoquinone antiserum, no agglutination is observed (Table I). However, a green agglutinate is formed if rabbit anti-γ-globulin type IgG is added according to the method of Coombs et al. Addition of rabbit anti-γ-globulins type IgM does not yield an agglutinate. The same results were obtained, if the non bound serum proteins are removed from the chloroplast suspension by washing with physiological sodium chloride, before the addition of rabbit anti-γ-globulins. These results indicate that although a direct agglutination is not obtained, the immunoglobulins to lutein and plastoquinone are...
Table I. Reactions of the antisera to lutein and plastoquinone with different chloroplast preparations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chloroplast Preparation</th>
<th>Antiserum to</th>
<th>Agglutination Test</th>
<th>Antiserum to Rabbit-γ-Globulin of Type</th>
<th>Antiglobulin Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stroma-freed chloroplasts from <em>Antirrhinum</em></td>
<td>lutein</td>
<td>no agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plastoquinone</td>
<td>no agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ultrasonic sediment from <em>Antirrhinum</em></td>
<td>lutein</td>
<td>no agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plastoquinone</td>
<td>no agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ultrasonic supernatant from <em>Antirrhinum</em></td>
<td>lutein</td>
<td>no precipitate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plastoquinone</td>
<td>no precipitate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>green precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroplasts from <em>Antirrhinum</em> and <em>N. tabacum</em> in 0.4 M sucrose, 0.05 M tris buffer pH 7.8 and 0.01 M saline</td>
<td>lutein</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>plastoquinone</td>
<td>green agglutinate</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

specifically adsorbed onto the lamellar system. Furthermore, the antiglobulin test shows that immunoglobulins of the type IgG are formed against plastoquinone and lutein.

After partial protein decomposition by subtilisin, stroma-freed chloroplasts are agglutinated by the antiserum to lutein and plastoquinone. Thus, the two antisera react with stroma-freed chloroplasts in a similar manner to the antiserum to chlorophyll 1 and the antisera to the two anionic chloroplast lipids sulpholipid 20 and phosphatidyl glycerol 21. Free thylakoid stacks (ultrasonic sediment), as well as fragments of the thylakoid membrane (ultrasonic supernatant), obtained from stroma-freed chloroplasts by ultrasonication and subsequent centrifugation at 34000 g, are not agglutinated by the antiserum to lutein and plastoquinone. However, the immunoglobulins to lutein and to plastoquinone are adsorbed onto the membrane fragments of the ultrasonic supernatant (Table I), as demonstrated by the antiglobulin test according to the Coombs method 24. A precipitation of the membrane fragments occurs after incubation with the antisera only if rabbit anti-γ-globulins type IgG are added. Consequently, the membrane fragments behave like chloroplasts towards the lutein and plasto-
quinone antisera. At this point it should be noted that the antiserum to chlorophyll agglutinates directly the ultrasonic sediment. From the agglutination tests we may draw the conclusion that the antigenic determinants of the lutein and plastoquinone are accessible in the thylakoid membranes of stroma-freed chloroplasts, as are those of chlorophyll 1, sulpholipid 20 and phosphatidyl glycerol 21. These determinants should be located in depressions between protein molecules. In our earlier paper we presented evidence that chloroplasts from *Antirrhinum* and *Nicotiana* 23, prepared according to a certain method in a sucrose, tris buffer solution are directly agglutinated by antisera to chlorophyll 1, sulpholipid 20 and phosphatidyl glycerol 21. The same chloroplast preparations are also agglutinated by antisera to lutein and plastoquinone. As a possible explanation for the direct agglutinability of these chloroplasts, we had previously proposed that the thylakoids of these chloroplasts may swell considerably due to water uptake (Fig. 1*) 21. A displacement of the lutein and plastoquinone molecules nearer towards the outer surface could take place, due to an expansion of the thylakoid membrane.

* Figs 1 and 4 see Table page 40a.
On the other hand, it is possible that inner parts of the partitions become accessible to immunoglobulins due to a swelling of the thylakoids (Fig. 1). Accessibility should also become increased by ultrasonication. However, as the ultrasonic sediment is not agglutinated by the antiserum to lutein and plastoquinone in contrast to the antiserum to chlorophyll, the following conclusions may be drawn: Lutein and plastoquinone molecules are located in depressions of the thylakoid membrane in both the intergrana regions and the partitions. On the other hand chlorophyll molecules are situated in different locations in the intergrana and grana regions. Thus, chlorophyll molecules would be located in the intergrana regions equally in depressions but in the grana regions they should be located more towards the outer face of the thylakoid membrane. However, it should be emphasized that these suggestions are only conclusive if no change in molecule orientation is taking place during ultrasonication.

It should be mentioned, that the antigens lutein and plastoquinone did not yield a positive reaction in the passive heme-agglutination test. Therefore it was not possible to investigate the specificity of the two antisera.

**Effect of the antiserum to lutein on photosynthetic electron transport**

The antiserum to lutein inhibits the ferricyanide Hill reaction of chloroplasts prepared according to Homann and Schmid. Stroma-free chloroplasts from *Antirrhinum* are not inhibited. It appears that photosynthetic electron flow coupled to photophosphorylation is inhibited to a considerably greater degree than is uncoupled electron flow (Preparation 1 in Table II). The data clearly show that inhibition is much less severe in the presence of the uncoupler methylamine in the same preparation. This observation is valid for freshly prepared chloroplasts. The inhibition apparently occurs in very narrow limits of a special state of the chloroplast preparation. If the ratio of the uncoupled to coupled electron flow rates, measured as Hill activity, is considerably below 4 hardly any inhibition is observed in such pre-

**Table II. Inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction by the antiserum to lutein in the presence and absence of the uncoupler methylamine.** The Hill activity was measured after complexing Fe\(^{3+}\) with o-phenanthroline. Chloroplasts were prepared from green *N. tabacum*. The values are corrected for the effect of normal rabbit control serum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chloroplast Preparation</th>
<th>Ferricyanide reduced [(\mu\text{Moles}) Fe(^{3+})] + Methylamine</th>
<th>Hill-reaction (\cdot) mg Chlorophyll(^{-1}) (\cdot) h(^{-1}) - Methylamine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 control</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.2 ml antiserum</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 control</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.1 ml antiserum</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 control</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.1 ml antiserum</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 control</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.1 ml antiserum</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III. Photophosphorylation mediated by K₃ Fe(CN)₆ or PMS in chloroplasts from green *N. tabacum* in the presence of antibodies to lutein and plastoquinone. The assays were carried out in 120,000 lux white light at 15 °C. The chloroplast preparations type 1 and 2 differed only in their phosphorylating activities but were both prepared according to the same procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>Photophosphorylation [μMoles ATP formed/mg Chlorophyll·h⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₃ Fe(CN)₆ Chloroplast Type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control without Serum</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiserum to Lutein</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Serum</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiserum to Plastoquinone</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Serum</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore the relative inhibition by the antiserum is lost in the course of aging of the chloroplast preparations (Preparations 2–4 in Table II). At the point where no inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction is observed in a given chloroplast preparation, the agglutinability of the chloroplast preparation is equally lost. It is inferred that thylakoid membranes alter in the course of aging, resulting in a change of the molecular structure of the thylakoid membrane. Ferricyanide-mediated photophosphorylation appears to be slightly stimulated in the presence of antiserum to lutein (Table III). No inhibition of this typical system II reaction is observed in different types of chloroplasts regardless of whether the control rate is high or low (Table III). When testing the effect of the antiserum to lutein on the chloroplast preparation, four effects are to be considered: Firstly, the rate of Hill activity of the control experiment decreases; secondly the antiserum to lutein inhibits ferricyanide photoreduction; thirdly the control serum might stimulate this photoreduction and finally the observed degree of inhibition becomes smaller in the course of the experiment (Fig. 2). From this it is obvious that it is difficult to give a reliable value for the maximal degree of inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction in chloroplasts from green tobacco. Maximal degrees of inhibition varied in 10 experiments between 10 and 66 %. In addition it should be mentioned that in several experiments up to a 65 % inhibition was also observed immediately upon the addition of methylamine. Thus in the coupled state and in the transition from the coupled to the uncoupled condition, up to 65 % of lutein, which plays a role in photosynthetic electron transport, must be accessible to antibodies to lutein. The position of the carotenoid in the electron transport chain is tentatively placed on the side from which electrons are donated to the reaction centre of photosystem II, rather than on the acceptor side. An argument in favor of this view is that the antiserum to lutein does not inhibit electron transport by chloroplasts between diphenylcarbazide, hydroxylamine or hydrazine and ferricyanide respectively (Table V). Hence, the inhibition site must be located before diphenylcarbazide. If one visualizes only sensitizer functions of the carotenoid in question, then the presented data mean, that binding of antibodies to the carotenoid would quench this energy transfer to chlorophyll a₁₁.

The binding of antibodies to the carotenoid might lead to an alteration of the molecular structure of the thylakoid membrane, resulting in an increase of the mean distance between chlorophyll and carotenoid molecules. It would be difficult, although possible, to interpret the results of Table V in this context, but this would require speculations. From this it becomes obvious that we should make fluorescence measurements.

Table IV. Anthraquinone-2-sulfonate *Mehl*ler reaction with the DCPIP/ascorbate donor couple in red light in the presence of antibodies to plastoquinone and lutein. Chloroplasts were prepared from *N. tabacum*. The oxygen uptake was measured with an oxygen electrode as described previously [28]. The assays were carried out in the presence 10⁻⁴ M DCMU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>Oxygen Uptake [μMoles · mg Chlorophyll⁻¹ · h⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiserum to Lutein</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Serum</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiserum to Plastoquinone</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Serum</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Lamellar system of a chloroplast from green N. tabacum in 0.4 M sucrose, 0.05 M tricine, and 0.01 M saline, fixed in the dark in glutaraldehyde. a) Fixation 5 min after suspension of the chloroplasts in the tricine buffer. Mag. 39,500 : 1. b) Fixation 45 min after suspension of the chloroplasts in the tricine buffer. Mag. 39,500 : 1.

Fig. 4. Lamellar system of a chloroplast from green N. tabacum under the conditions of Fig. 1a but in the presence of $6.7 \times 10^{-2}$ M methylamine. Mag. 39,500 : 1. The electron transport of such chloroplasts is in the range of 500—1200 μmoles ferricyanide reduced · mg chlorophyll⁻¹ · h⁻¹, because of uncoupling from photophosphorylation.
Table V. Photoreduction of ferricyanide in chloroplasts from green N. tabacum in the presence of antibodies to lutein. The assay was carried out at 300 000 ergs·sec⁻¹·cm⁻² of red light 575 nm < λ < 700 nm at room temperature. The rates are initial rates measured after 2 min of illumination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electron Donor</th>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>Photoreduction of Ferricyanide [µMoles Ferricyanide reduced·mg Chlorophyll⁻¹·h⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>antiserum to lutein</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control serum</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>antiserum to lutein</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control serum</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenylcarbazide</td>
<td>antiserum to lutein</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control serum</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxylamine</td>
<td>antiserum to lutein</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control serum</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typical photosystem I reactions (such as PMS-mediated cyclic photophosphorylation Table III), NADP-photoreduction and anthraquinone-2-sulphonate photoreduction (Table IV) with the DCPIP/ascorbate couple as electron donors are not inhibited by the antiserum to lutein. In view of the suggestion of T. Hiyama and B. Ke⁷ that a carotenoid (P 430) may be a candidate for the position of primary acceptor of photosystem I, we tested the antiserum to lutein in the anthraquinone-2-sulphonate Mehler reaction (Table IV). Our data show that the Mehler reaction mediated by the DCPIP-ascorbate couple in the presence of DCMU is not influenced by the antiserum.

Effect of the antiserum to plastoquinone on photosynthetic electron transport

The antiserum to plastoquinone inhibits the ferricyanide Hill reaction and electron transport between diphenylcarbazide and ferricyanide (Fig. 3) by chloroplasts from N. tabacum prepared according to Homann and Schmid⁸. Non-cyclic photophosphorylation mediated by ferricyanide is either not affected or tends to be slightly stimulated (Table III). PMS-mediated photophosphorylation in chloroplasts from normal green tobacco appears to be stimulated by about 50% if the phosphorylation rates are low (chloroplasts of type 1 in Table III). However, PMS-mediated photophosphorylation appears to be inhibited if the chloroplasts exhibit high rates of photophosphorylation (chloroplasts of type 2 in Table III). The experiment in Fig. 3 was carried out in tris buffer, in which oxygen evolution is inhibited in the chloroplasts. Such chloroplast preparations, however, catalyze electron transport between diphenylcarbazide and ferricyanide. The differently shaped curves were obtained with two preparations with different activities, the upper curve being obtained with a preparations with considerably lower activity than that of the lower curve. It is inferred that plastoquinone, not involved in the ferricyanide Hill reaction, must be present in the preparation of the lower curve. Typical photosystem I reactions such as NADP reduction and anthraquinone-2-sulphonate photoreduction with the DCPIP/ascorbate couple in the presence of DCMU are not influenced by the antiserum, as in the case of the antiserum to lutein (Table IV).
Discussion

Our experiments present evidence that a carotenoid, probably lutein, can actively participate in photosynthetic electron transport. According to the data of Tables II and V, we suggest electron donor properties before the site from which diphenylcarbazide donates electrons to the reaction centre of photosystem II. However, inhibition could also, be due to a conformational change, of proteins for example, in the thylakoid membrane, induced by the binding of antibodies to carotenoid. This would only be possible if the carotenoid in question is bound to a protein or a suitable cofactor molecule. In this case no direct participation of the carotenoid in photosynthetic electron transport would be necessary. The observation that the relative degree of inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction is lost, during the short period in which the absolute chloroplastic activity decreases by only 30%, clearly shows that the chloroplastic membrane is undergoing a change. Concomitantly, the agglutinability of the chloroplastic preparation is lost, inferring that the binding of antibodies to this site results in both inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction and agglutination. The observation that chloroplasts in which photosynthetic electron transport is still coupled to phosphorylation, are inhibited to a larger degree by the antiserum is reminiscent of a suggestion by Packer, namely that the molecular structure of thylakoid membranes undergoes changes in the presence of uncouplers of photophosphorylation. In context with our observation that a carotenoid seems to transfer energy to the reaction centre of photosystem II, work by Harnischfeger and Gaffron should be mentioned. These workers found that the Hill activity of tobacco chloroplasts may be much lower in blue light than in red light. This lower rate in blue light could be partly brought back to that in red light by increasing the osmotic pressure of the medium, for example, by adding more sucrose to an originally hypertonic medium. From their studies with monochromatic light, they postulated that the initial transfer of energy from blue absorbing accessory pigments to chlorophyll is interrupted in the first phase of their effect. Our observation gives support to this interpretation. Also very recent work by Okayama and Butler has demonstrated the participation of β-carotene in photosynthetic electron transport on the photosystem II side. These workers found that “a carotenoid, —in their case β-carotene—, is essential for the primary photochemical activity of photosystem II”7. Due to the fact that we were unable to test the specificity of the antiserum to lutein we cannot be certain that our antiserum is specific for lutein only.

The maximal degree of inhibition is difficult to fix as has been shown. However, in two preparations a maximal degree of inhibition of the ferricyanide Hill reaction of 65% was observed. If one accepts the assumption that antibodies do not enter the partitions, it must be concluded from this 65% inhibition that photosystem II is also or even preferentially present in the intergrana region.

This is seemingly contradictory to the earlier suggestion of Homann and Schmid 23, and to all those reports who have supported and confirmed this suggestion 30-38. It was suggested that a fully active photosystem I could be associated with single unfolded thylakoids whereas a fully functioning photosystem II seemed to require the close packing of at least two thylakoids. The dependence of the quantum efficiency of PMS-mediated DCMU-insensitive photophosphorylation on wavelength together with fluorescence data clearly suggested the presence of some inactive (with respect to O₂-evolution) photosystem II in single unfolded thylakoids or intergrana regions 23. However, it should be borne in mind that a condition of the lamellar system, such as that presented in Figs 1a and b would easily explain that 65% of the total carotenoids are accessible to antibodies if these were indeed mainly located in the partitions. From this it appears that a statement that an antigen of the thylakoid membrane is accessible to antibodies or not, is not meaningful if the condition in which the thylakoid membrane is actually in, is neither understood nor defined.

Consequently, antigenic determinants of the chloroplast lamellar system which appear not accessible to antibodies might not only be located on the inside face of the thylakoid membrane but also in partition regions, whenever partitions are present in the respective chloroplast preparation or chloroplast condition (Fig. 4). With this interpretation in mind, results of Brian-Tais and Picaud 39 could be brought in line with reports of others 1-3. However, localisation of antigenic determinants on the thylakoid membrane is only meaningful if the antigens from which the respective sera are derived are pure and their chemical nature therefore being known. By means of an antiserum to a chloroplast particle fraction it might appear quite
plausible that the primary acceptor of photosystem I is located on the outside face but the remainder of photosystem I or components associated with photosystem I might well be located elsewhere.

Summarizing our results we would like to emphasize again that we have made 3 observations which at the first appear to be completely contradictory. Firstly, we observed that the coupled chloroplasts are inhibited to a greater extent than uncoupled ones in fresh preparations. Secondly, the relative degree of this inhibition is lost in the course of approximately 2 hours (Fig. 2). Thirdly, in aged chloroplast preparations in which the rate of electron flow has decreased to less than 50%, the first-mentioned relationship seems to be reversed, i.e. methylamine uncoupled chloroplasts are occasionally inhibited to a higher degree than coupled ones.

An obvious explanation is that two effects are involved: Namely changes of the morphological structure of the lamellar system and alterations of the molecular structure of the thylakoid membranes. Especially in the case of lutein it clearly appears that there are at least two kinds of lutein molecules both of which are accessible to antibodies but only one kind being involved in electron transport or the functioning of photosystem II. Whether a molecule plays a role in electron transport depends on its location in the thylakoid membrane which is demonstrated by the agglutinability.

In context with the morphological structure of the lamellar system we would like to quote Izawa and Good. These workers have demonstrated that the addition of amine uncouplers causes shrinkage of the chloroplasts and the lamellar system. The state in Fig. 1 (0.4 M sucrose, 0.05 M tricine, 0.01 M NaCl, no illumination) is comparable to their observations in isotonic medium. Chloroplasts in the same medium but containing 0.06 M methylamine-HCl appear to have a lamellar system with a more grana-like structure (Fig. 4) just as observed by Izawa and Good. Besides the fact that the described situation can account for the accessibility of partition regions in the initially uncoupled state, it further explains that under conditions of excessive electron transport (uncoupled), or when the chloroplast have aged (< 2 hours), the degree of accessibility of the carotenoid in the thylakoid membrane may be lower. Besides this argument, the involvement of an alternation of the molecular structure should not be neglected.

It is difficult to find out from the literature where plastoquinone should be placed in the electron transport chain. Some workers think that plastoquinone could be the primary electron acceptor of photosystem II whereas others think that it functions behind the still unknown primary electron acceptor Q. Duy-sens and co-workers for example were able to show that the DCMU sensitive inhibition site is located between the quencher designated Q and the main plastoquinone pool. Experiments of Böhm and Cramer 1971 with the plastoquinone antagonist dibromothymoquinone place plastoquinone in the photosynthetic electron transport chain between cytochrome b and cytochrome f.

The question whether plastoquinone participates in cyclic photophosphorylation is also not clear. On the one hand it was shown that extracted chloroplasts need plastoquinone for the reconstitution of PMS-mediated cyclic photophosphorylation whereas on the other hand workers report that PMS-mediated photophosphorylation was plastoquinone independent.

Our experiments with the plastoquinone antiserum contribute only little to the clarification of this confusing situation. We were able to show that the DCMU sensitive ferricyanide Hill reaction of chloroplasts is inhibited by antibodies to plastoquinone, whereas typical photosystem I reactions such as the NADP-reduction with the electron donor couple DCPIP/ascorbate are not. It should be emphasised that all system I reactions were routinely carried out in the presence of DCMU in order to block electron flow from photosystem II. The fact that electron transport is also inhibited between diphenylcarbazide and ferricyanide (Fig. 3) clearly shows that the inhibition site is different to that of the carotenoid antiserum. It is obvious from our results that the inhibition site must be on the photosystem II side. However, there seems to be more plastoquinone present than is involved in mere electron transport between diphenylcarbazide and ferricyanide (Fig. 3), because some chloroplast preparations needed unusual high amounts of antiserum to block electron flow.

The observation that PMS-mediated cyclic photophosphorylation is inhibited by the antiserum up to 50% is easily explained as being due to the fact that electron flow from photosystem II to photosystem I is reduced by the antiserum. However, that PMS-mediated photophosphorylation in a certain type of chloroplasts is not inhibited by the antiserum shows that either the eventual plastoquinone involved in this cyclic electron flow is not accessible to antibodies or that this cyclic electron flow does not pass through
plastoquinone (chloroplast of type 1 in Table III). This observation may have preliminary character because especially high rates of PMS-mediated photophosphorylation are inhibited by the antiserum to plastoquinone. However, both observations thereby support the contention of Trebst namely that plastoquinone is an obligatory component on the photo-

system II side of non cyclic electron flow but not of the cyclic one 42.
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