Structure of Sputtered Amorphous Zr-Hf-Si Alloys
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Amorphous (Zr,Hf)\textsubscript{37}Si\textsubscript{63} alloys were produced by sputtering. Their total structure factors were determined by X-ray diffraction. Using the methods of isomorphous substitution and Reverse Monte Carlo simulation, the partial pair correlation functions were obtained. The results were compared with the partial functions of amorphous Ti\textsubscript{40}Si\textsubscript{60}. In the amorphous alloys under investigation the transition metal – metalloid correlation dominates the short range order.
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1. Introduction

The structure of binary amorphous alloys is described by three partial pair correlation functions. There are different ways to obtain the partial functions from diffraction experiments, such as the isotopic substitution technique \[1\], the combination of different kinds of radiation \[2\], a combination of X-ray and neutron diffraction by neglecting one of the three partial correlation functions \[3\], the method of isomorphous substitution \[4\] or computer simulations \[5\].

Amorphous transition metal – metalloid alloys can be formed by sputtering. In the present work the structure of sputtered amorphous (Zr,Hf)\textsubscript{37}Si\textsubscript{63} alloys was investigated by X-ray diffraction. Zr and Hf are in the same column of the periodic table, and their Goldschmidt diameters \(D_i\) of 3.20 Å and 3.18 Å, for Zr and Hf, respectively, are almost identical. This indicates that the chemical behaviour of Zr and Hf is very similar and that Zr and Hf can be substituted in amorphous alloys without affecting their structure \[4, 6\]. The much larger X-ray scattering length of Hf compared to that of Zr can be used to achieve a contrast variation by replacing Zr atoms by Hf atoms. For this purpose the three amorphous alloys Zr\textsubscript{37}Si\textsubscript{63}, Hf\textsubscript{18}Zr\textsubscript{19}Si\textsubscript{63} and Hf\textsubscript{37}Si\textsubscript{63} were employed. The usual way to calculate directly the partial structure factors from three experimental total structure factors involves the problems given by the experimental errors in case of a weak contrast variation. As an alternative approach we used the Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) method \[7\] to simulate the total structure factors. In this respect RMC can be regarded as a least squares method for the determination of partial structure factors from the experimental total structure factors. The results were compared with the partial functions of Ti\textsubscript{40}Si\textsubscript{60} as derived in \[5, 8\].

2. Theoretical

According to Faber and Ziman \[9\] the total structure factor \(S(Q)\) is obtained from the coherently scattered intensity per atom \(I_{\text{coh}}\):

\[
S(Q) = \frac{I_{\text{coh}}(Q)}{⟨b^2⟩ - ⟨b^2⟩^2},
\]

where \(Q = (4\pi/\lambda) \sin Θ, 2Θ = \text{scattering angle}, \lambda = \text{wavelength of the radiation}, ⟨b⟩ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i b_i, ⟨b^2⟩ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i b_i^2, c_i = \text{atomic concentration of the component } i, b_i = \text{coherent scattering length of the component } i, n = \text{number of components.}

The total structure factor \(S(Q)\) is a weighted sum of the partial structure factors \(S_{ij}(Q)\):

\[
S = \frac{1}{⟨b⟩^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i c_j b_i b_j S_{ij}(Q)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} S_{ij}(Q).
\]

From the partial structure factors \(S_{ij}(Q)\) the partial pair correlation functions \(G_{ij}(R)\) are obtained by Fourier transformation:

0932–0784 / 99 / 1200–0699 $ 06.00 © Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · www.znaturforsch.com
3. Experimental

3.1. Sample Preparation

The amorphous alloys Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$, Hf$_{18}$Zr$_{19}$Si$_{63}$ and Hf$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ were produced by sputtering as layers with a thickness between 20 µm and 30 µm. Mylar foil was used as substrate. The chemical composition of the samples was determined by electron microprobe and their densities were determined by X-ray grazing incidence reflectometry.

3.2. X-ray Diffraction

The X-ray diffraction experiments were done with a diffractometer (Siemens D500) in transmission mode using Mo-K$_\alpha$ radiation. The measured intensities were corrected for Compton scattering [10, 11], polarisation [12] and absorption [11, 12]. In addition, the diffraction curves from the two samples containing Zr had to be corrected for fluorescence. The conversion into absolute scattering units and the calculation of the structure factors $S(Q)$ was done according to Krogh-Moe [13].

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Total Structure Factors

The experimental structure factors $S(Q)$ are shown in Fig. 1 (dotted lines). The contrast variation caused by the substitution of Zr by Hf is particularly visible with the peaks no. 2, 3, and 4 which occur in the range 3.5 Å$^{-1}$ < $Q$ < 7 Å$^{-1}$.

4.2. Total Pair Correlation Functions

Figure 2 shows the total pair correlation functions $G(R)$ of the pseudobinary system T$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ (T = Hf, Zr) at $Q = 0$ are listed in Table 1. Together with the Goldschmidt diameters $D_{ij}$ [14]...
Table 1. Weighting factors $W_{ij}$ (at $Q = 0$) for the partial correlation functions of $T_{37}Si_{63}$ alloys. $T$ denotes the transition metals Zr and Hf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W_{TT}$</th>
<th>$W_{TSi}$</th>
<th>$W_{SiSi}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr$<em>{37}$Si$</em>{63}$</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf$<em>{18}$Zr$</em>{19}$Si$_{63}$</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf$<em>{37}$Si$</em>{63}$</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Distances $D_{ij} = 0.5 (D_i + D_j)$ of $i$-$j$ pairs, where $D_i$, $D_j$ are the Goldschmidt diameters [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ZrZr</th>
<th>HfHf</th>
<th>ZrHf</th>
<th>SiSi</th>
<th>ZrSi</th>
<th>HfSi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{ij}$ [Å]</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in Table 2, the total pair correlation functions can be interpreted. For all three alloys the first coordination shell is split into two peaks at $R^I \approx 2.8$ Å and $R^{II} \approx 3.3$ Å. For Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ the first one is much higher than the second one, whereas the second one dominates for Hf$_{37}$Si$_{63}$. For Hf$_{18}$Zr$_{19}$Si$_{63}$ the amplitudes of both peaks are between the amplitudes of the Hf$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ and Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ peaks. Comparing the $R$-values of both peaks inside the first coordination shell with the diameters $D_{ij}$ in Table 2, it is suggested that the first peak at $R^I$ is mainly generated by the metal-metalloid correlation T-Si. The decrease of the amplitude of this peak from Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ via Hf$_{18}$Zr$_{19}$Si$_{63}$ to Hf$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ is in line with the decrease of the weighting factor $W_{TSi}$ of the T-Si correlation. The second peak at $R^{II}$ inside the first coordination shell arises from the metal-metal correlation T-T. Its amplitude increases in the same way as the weighting factor $W_{TT}$ for Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ via Hf$_{18}$Zr$_{19}$Si$_{63}$ to Hf$_{37}$Si$_{63}$. The atomic distances $R^I$ and $R^{II}$ in the amorphous alloys are slightly larger than the corresponding Goldschmidt diameters in Table 2.

4.3. Partial Pair Correlation Functions

Using the RMC method, a three-dimensional atomic cluster was obtained. As a guideline for the simulations the experimental total structure factors were used. For the number density $p_0$ of the three alloys the value 0.0530 Å$^{-3}$ was used, which was determined by X-ray reflectometry. As a starting configuration for the RMC run, a random cluster of 1500 atoms was taken. After $5 \times 10^4$ accepted atomic displacements good agreement with the three measured $S(Q)$ could be obtained, as shown in Figure 1. From this atomic cluster the partial pair correlation functions $G_{ij}(R)$, shown in Fig. 3a), were determined. The structural parameters are listed in Table 3. The atomic distances $R_{ij}$ and the widths $\sigma_{ij}$ were taken from the first peak of the $G_{ij}(R)$ functions. The partial coordination numbers $Z_{ij}$ were obtained from Gaussian fitting to the peaks of the RDF$_{ij}(R)$ functions. The results in Fig. 3a) show that the RMC method is capable to resolve the peaks of the Si-Si and the T-Si correlations which do not appear separately in the first peak at $R^I$ of the experimental $G(R)$ functions. The Si-Si distance $R_{SiSi}$ = 2.63 Å is distinctly larger than the Goldschmidt diameter $D_{SiSi}$ = 2.34 Å. Also the atomic distance $R_{TT}$ = 3.36 Å is larger than the corresponding Goldschmidt diameters $D_{ij} (D_{HHF} = 3.18$ Å, $D_{ZrZr} = 3.20$ Å).
The partial correlation function $G_{\text{TSi}}(R)$ in Fig. 3a) shows a sharp first peak with a width of 0.26 Å which is distinctly smaller than the widths of the metal-metal correlation and of the metalloid-metalloid correlation. The atomic distance $R_{\text{TSi}} = 2.78$ Å agrees well with the Goldschmidt diameters $D_{\text{HSi}} = 2.76$ Å and $D_{\text{ZSi}} = 2.77$ Å, but it is smaller than the average of the distances $R_{\text{SISi}}$ and $R_{\text{TT}}$ by about 7%. In addition, the T-Si peak is distinctly sharper than the T-T peak. These features reflect the chemical bonding between the metal atoms and the metalloid atoms.

The results indicate that the T-Si correlation dominates the chemical short range order. Consequently the T-T and the Si-Si correlations have to accommodate in some way to the T-Si correlation which leads to distances larger than the Goldschmidt diameters and to broader peaks.

4.4. Amorphous (Zr,Hf)$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ Compared with Amorphous Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$

It is interesting to investigate whether the preceding results are valid only for amorphous (Zr,Hf)-Si alloys or for other amorphous transition metal – metalloid alloys, too. In this chapter the structural accords and differences between (Zr,Hf)$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ and Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ will be discussed. Ti belongs to the same column of the periodic table as Hf and Zr. Thus the chemical behaviour is similar. However, the Goldschmidt diameter is smaller for Ti ($D_{\text{TTi}} = 2.91$ Å) than for Zr and Hf (Table 2). The different sizes of the transition metal atoms certainly influences the structures of the amorphous alloys.

Figure 4 shows the total pair correlation function $G(R)$ of Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ compared with that of Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ [8]. The partial pair correlation functions $G_{ij}(R)$ of Zr$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ [5] are shown in Figure 3b). In Table 4 the weighting factors $W_{ij}$ for X-rays (at $Q = 0$), the atomic distances $R_{ij}$, the width $\sigma_{ij}$ of the first peaks, and the partial coordination numbers $Z_{ij}$ are listed for Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$. The total pair correlation functions $G(R)$ of Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ and Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ exhibit two peaks in the first coordination shell. For Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ the height of the first peak with respect to the height of the second peak is larger than for Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$. Furthermore, for Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ the peaks of the function $G(R)$ are shifted to larger $R$-values compared with Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$. The first effect is partly to be expected because the ratio $W_{\text{TSi}}/W_{\text{TTi}}$ is larger than the ratio $W_{\text{ZSi}}/W_{\text{ZTi}}$. The shift of the peaks is due to the different sizes of the Ti and the Zr atoms. The partial pair correlation functions $G_{ij}(R)$ in Fig. 3a) and b) and the structural parameters in Table 3 and Table 4 yield more detailed information about the differences and accords of the amorphous alloys Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ and Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$. For both alloys the metalloid – metalloid correlations and the transition metal – transition metal correlations are wider than the transition metal – metalloid correlation and the atomic distances are larger than the corresponding Goldschmidt diameters. This indicates that also for amorphous Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ the transition metal – metalloid correlation dominates the chemical short range order. Furthermore, it is remarkable that for both alloys the transition metal – transition metal correlation in the second coordination shell is marked. Only the Si-Si correlation of the two alloys is quite different. The Si-Si distance in Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ is 2.63 Å, whereas in Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ it is 2.48 Å, and the coordination number in Zr$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ is $Z_{\text{SISi}} = 5.9$, whereas in Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ it is $Z_{\text{SISi}} = 3.6$. Probably, this difference is due to the larger size of the Zr and Hf atoms.

5. Conclusions

The structure of amorphous (Zr,Hf)$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ was investigated by X-ray diffraction. From isomorphous
substitution of Zr and Hf a contrast variation was achieved. Using the Reverse Monte Carlo simulation method, the partial pair correlation functions were obtained. These functions indicate that the (Hf,Zr)-Si correlation dominates the chemical short range order (CRSO). The amorphous (Zr,Hf)$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ alloys were compared with amorphous Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$. For the Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$ alloy the transition metal - metalloid correlation dominates the CRSO, too. Thus the transition metal - metalloid interaction is the driving force and responsible for the structure of the investigated alloys. The differences between the partial pair correlation functions of (Zr,Hf)$_{37}$Si$_{63}$ and Ti$_{40}$Si$_{60}$, respectively, are due to the different sizes of the transition metal atoms.