Conductance Studies on Aqueous Citric Acid
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Conductance measurements of citric acid and neutral citrates (tri-lithium citrate, tri-sodium citrate and tri-potassium citrate) were performed in water at 278.15 to 308.15 K. The equilibrium constants for the primary and secondary steps of dissociation, \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \), and the limiting conductances, \( \lambda_0(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-) \), \( \lambda_0(1/3 \text{Cit}^-) \), and \( \lambda_0(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-) \) are reported as a function of temperature. They are obtained by application of the Quint and Viallard conductance equation. The enthalpies of dissociation are estimated.

1. Introduction

The determination of dissociation constants from conductance measurements is a well established procedure for monobasic acids [1 – 6]. The overlapping of successive steps of dissociation and an unsatisfactory state of the theory of unsymmetrical electrolytes makes the interpretation of results in the case of polybasic acids rather difficult [5, 7 – 10]. Therefore only few dibasic acids were investigated [11 – 13], and even then the contribution from the second dissociation step is usually considered to be a small correction to the measured conductance. Among the tribasic acids, phosphoric acid was treated as a dibasic acid [14 – 16] and citric acid as a monobasic acid [17]. There is also a number of conductance and other investigations which are indirectly related to the present study [18 – 22].

In this investigation the choice of citric acid with its three COOH-groups is not incidental. The acid plays an important role in biological and industrial processes; an accurate knowledge of its thermodynamic and transport properties is of considerable interest. Aqueous solutions of citric acid can be considered as model systems for weak, unsymmetrical 1:3 electrolytes which are characterized by overlapping dissociation equilibria. The actual knowledge about the dissociation constants comes mainly from potentiometric methods [23 – 27]. The electric conductance of citric acid was measured by Levien [17] in not especially dilute solutions. The intermediate limiting conductances of the three citrate anions were not determined in Levien’s paper and the acid was treated as a weak, monobasic acid. In the present investigation, the electric conductances of dilute solutions of citric acid and its neutral lithium, sodium and potassium salts were measured and a consistent set, based on the Quint and Viallard theory [28, 29] of the dissociation constants and limiting conductances of the organic anions was determined.

2. Experimental

The Fluka puriss. p.a. reagents anhydrous citric acid (>99.5%), tri-potassium citrate dihydrate (>99%), \( \text{K}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \), tri-sodium citrate dihydrate (>99%), \( \text{Na}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \), and tri-lithium citrate tetrahydrate (>99%), \( \text{Li}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \) were used without further purification.

The solutions were prepared by weight. The conversion from molalities to molarities was performed with the help the density of pure water at given temperature for the dilute solutions (\( c < 7 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{mol dm}^{-3} \) for citric acid and \( c < 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{mol dm}^{-3} \) for citrates). Water with a specific conductance of less than \( 2 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{S cm}^{-1} \) was used for the solvent corrections of the specific conductances. The resistances were
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determined at various frequencies $f$ below 10kHz in a conductance cell with a cell constant of about 4.7 cm$^{-1}$ and extrapolated to $f^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, as usual. A detailed description of the measuring system and applied procedures is given elsewhere [30, 31].

### 3. Data Analysis

The properties of dilute aqueous solutions of citric acid are usually interpreted in terms of the successive dissociation steps

\[
\begin{align*}
H_3\text{Cit} & \rightarrow H^+ + H_2\text{Cit}^-; \quad K_1, \quad (1a) \\
H_2\text{Cit}^- & \rightarrow H^+ + \text{HCit}^2^-; \quad K_2, \quad (1b) \\
\text{HCit}^2^- & \rightarrow H^+ + \text{Cit}^3^-; \quad K_3. \quad (1c)
\end{align*}
\]

The equilibrium constants of these reactions are

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 &= \frac{[H^+][H_2\text{Cit}^-]}{[H_3\text{Cit}]}, \quad (2a) \\
K_2 &= \frac{[H^+][\text{HCit}^2^-]}{[H_2\text{Cit}^-]}, \quad (2b) \\
K_3 &= \frac{[H^+][\text{Cit}^3^-]}{[\text{HCit}^2^-]}, \quad (2c)
\end{align*}
\]

where the $f_i$ denote the corresponding quotients of the activity coefficients

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1 &= \frac{f_{H^+} f_{H_2\text{Cit}^-}}{f_{H_3\text{Cit}}}, \quad (3a) \\
f_2 &= \frac{f_{H^+} f_{\text{HCit}^2^-}}{f_{H_2\text{Cit}^-}}, \quad (3b) \\
f_3 &= \frac{f_{H^+} f_{\text{Cit}^3^-}}{f_{\text{HCit}^2^-}}. \quad (3c)
\end{align*}
\]

In terms of the total degree of dissociation $x$ and the degrees associated with the primary, secondary and tertiary steps of dissociation, $x_1$ to $x_3$, the concentrations of the species present in the solution are

\[
\begin{align*}
[H^+] &= c(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3), \quad (4a) \\
[H_3\text{Cit}] &= c(1 - x), \quad (4b) \\
[H_2\text{Cit}^-] &= c x_1, \quad (4c) \\
[\text{HCit}^2^-] &= c x_2, \quad (4d) \\
[\text{Cit}^3^-] &= c x_3. \quad (4e)
\end{align*}
\]

where $c$ is the total (analytical) concentration of citric acid and $x$ is given by the relation

\[
x = x_1 + x_2 + x_3. \quad (5)
\]

Use of (2) and (4) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 &= \frac{c(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3)}{1 - x} f_1, \quad (6a) \\
K_2 &= \frac{c(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3)}{x_1} f_2, \quad (6b) \\
K_3 &= \frac{c(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3)}{x_2} f_3. \quad (6c)
\end{align*}
\]

From (6) it follows at concentration $c$, for given values of the equilibrium constants and activity coefficients, that the degrees of dissociation can be evaluated by iterative solution of the set of quadratic equations

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{K_1}{c f_1} + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \right)^2 + \frac{4K_1}{c f_1}(1 - x_2 - x_3)} \right], \quad (7a) \\
x_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ -(x_1 + 3x_3) + \sqrt{(x_1 + 3x_3)^2 + \frac{8K_2x_1}{c f_2}} \right], \quad (7b) \\
x_3 &= \frac{1}{6} \left[ -(x_1 + 2x_2) + \sqrt{(x_1 + 2x_2)^2 + \frac{12K_3x_2}{c f_3}} \right]. \quad (7c)
\end{align*}
\]

At the investigated low concentrations, the activity coefficients $f_j$ of the different ions can be approximated by the Debye-Hückel expression ($j = H^+, H_2\text{Cit}^-, \text{HCit}^2-, \text{Cit}^3-$)

\[
\log f_j = - \frac{A z_j^2 \sqrt{I}}{1 + a_j B \sqrt{I}}, \quad (8)
\]

where $a_j$ is the average cation-anion distance of closest approach and $I = c(x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3)$ is the ionic strength.

At 298.15 K, the constants are [38]

\[
A = 0.5115 \text{ mol}^{-1/2} \text{ dm}^{3/2} \text{ and } B = 0.3291 \cdot 10^8 \text{ mol}^{-1/2} \text{ dm}^{3/2} \text{ cm}^{-1}.
\]

The activity coefficient of undissociated citric acid is assumed to be unity.

Molar conductances $A$ are the sum of the ionic contributions:

\[
A = \frac{1000 L}{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|z_j| c_j \lambda_j}{c}, \quad (9)
\]
Table 1. Experimental conductances of citric acid neutral citrates at 298.15 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c · 10^4</th>
<th>A(H_3Cit)</th>
<th>c · 10^4</th>
<th>A_e(Li_3Cit)</th>
<th>c · 10^4</th>
<th>A_e(Na_3Cit)</th>
<th>c · 10^4</th>
<th>A_e(K_3Cit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1647</td>
<td>378.52</td>
<td>1.1301</td>
<td>107.06</td>
<td>0.8830</td>
<td>118.78</td>
<td>1.9155</td>
<td>138.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1430</td>
<td>306.38</td>
<td>1.2862</td>
<td>106.42</td>
<td>2.1306</td>
<td>115.83</td>
<td>5.4834</td>
<td>134.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6324</td>
<td>263.30</td>
<td>2.8846</td>
<td>103.84</td>
<td>5.4680</td>
<td>112.06</td>
<td>9.8752</td>
<td>130.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7398</td>
<td>231.79</td>
<td>3.7838</td>
<td>102.84</td>
<td>9.8390</td>
<td>108.40</td>
<td>17.0490</td>
<td>126.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.854</td>
<td>186.94</td>
<td>5.2612</td>
<td>100.97</td>
<td>16.0800</td>
<td>104.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.752</td>
<td>150.11</td>
<td>7.1689</td>
<td>99.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.864</td>
<td>109.53</td>
<td>9.5163</td>
<td>97.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Experimental molar conductances of citric acid in the investigated temperature range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m · 10^4 mol kg^{-1}</th>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>A(H_3Cit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>278.15</td>
<td>259.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.152</td>
<td>283.15</td>
<td>232.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.649</td>
<td>288.15</td>
<td>176.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.766</td>
<td>293.15</td>
<td>155.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>298.15</td>
<td>124.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.84</td>
<td>303.15</td>
<td>99.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.06</td>
<td>308.15</td>
<td>72.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( L \) is the measured specific conductance and \( \lambda_j \), \( c_j \) and \( z_j \) are the individual conductances, concentrations and valencies of the ions. In the case under consideration we have

\[
A = x_1 [\lambda(H^+) + \lambda(H_2Cit^-)] + 2x_2 [\lambda(H^+) + \lambda(\frac{1}{2}HCit^2-)] + 3x_3 [\lambda(H^+) + \lambda(\frac{1}{3}Cit^3-)].
\]  

(10)

The equation for \( \lambda_j \), exact up to the linear term in the ionic strength \( I \), has the form

\[
\lambda_j = \lambda_j^0 - S_j \sqrt{I} + E_j I \ln I + J_{1j} I.
\]  

(11)

For unsymmetrical electrolytes the coefficients \( S_j, E_j \) and \( J_{1j} \) are available from the Quint-Viallard theory [28, 29]. Evidently, with \( E_j = 0 \) and \( J_{1j} = 0 \), (11) reduces to the Onsager limiting equation [3]. At given temperature the coefficients \( S_j, E_j \) and \( J_{1j} \) depend on the limiting conductances \( \lambda_j^0 \) of the corresponding ions and on the solvent properties (viscosity and dielectric constant); the linear term in (11) depends also on the distance of closest approach \( a_j \). The complete expression for the coefficients are presented in the Appendix. An alternative treatment, based on the Lee-Wheaton theory [32, 33], is not considered in this paper.

The experimental \((A, c)\)-data, when expressed in terms of the three step dissociation model, require an adjustment or preselection of nine parameters. These are the equilibrium constants \( K_1, K_2 \) and \( K_3 \), the limiting conductances of the anions, \( \lambda^0(H_2Cit^-) \), \( \lambda^0(1/2HCit^2-) \) and \( \lambda^0(1/3Cit^3-) \) and the distances of closest approach \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \). The values of \( a_j \) are equal for activity coefficient and conductance equations (8) and (11).

4. Results and Discussion

The molecular conductance of citric acid and the equivalent conductances of the neutral citrates of lithium, sodium and potassium are presented in Tables 1 to 3 and in Figs. 1 and 2. The conductances are plotted as functions of the square root of the ionic strength, which for 1:3 electrolytes is \( I = 6c \). As can be seen, the citrates behave like strong, completely dissociated electrolytes and citric acid as a partially dissociated, weak electrolyte. Levien's results [17], included in Fig. 2, cover the more concentrated solutions of citric acid \((c > 0.018 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})\); both data sets yield a common curve.

A reliable approximation for the intermediate conductances \( \lambda^0(H_2Cit^-) \) and \( \lambda^0(1/2HCit^2-) \) is difficult to obtain from the limiting conductances of the anions, \( \lambda^0(H_2Cit^-) \), \( \lambda^0(1/2HCit^2-) \), and \( \lambda^0(1/3Cit^3-) \).
### Table 3. Experimental equivalent conductances of tri-lithium citrate, tri-sodium citrate and tri-potassium citrate in the investigated temperature range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m \cdot 10^{-4} mol kg^{-1}</th>
<th>T/K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>278.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_e(Li_3Cit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1334</td>
<td>63.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2900</td>
<td>62.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8931</td>
<td>61.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7949</td>
<td>60.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2767</td>
<td>59.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1900</td>
<td>58.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5443</td>
<td>57.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_e(Na_3Cit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8857</td>
<td>70.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1369</td>
<td>68.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4841</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8680</td>
<td>64.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.1087</td>
<td>62.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_e(K_3Cit)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9189</td>
<td>84.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4882</td>
<td>82.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8838</td>
<td>80.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0642</td>
<td>77.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equivalent conductance of completely dissociated electrolytes of the type 1:3 in the Onsager approximation [3] is given by

\[
A_e = A^0 - S \sqrt{I},
\]

\[
A^0 = z^0(\text{Me}^+) + z^0\left(\frac{1}{3}\text{Cit}^{3-}\right),
\]

\[
S = \alpha A^0 + \beta,
\]

where \(\text{Me}^+\) denotes Li\(^+\), Na\(^+\), and K\(^+\), and

\[
\alpha = \frac{4.8047 \cdot 10^6}{(DT)^{3/2}} \frac{q}{1 + \sqrt{q}},
\]

\[
\beta = 164.954 \frac{q}{\eta(DT)^{1/2}},
\]

\[
q = \frac{3}{4} \left[ z^0(\text{Me}^+) + z^0\left(\frac{1}{3}\text{Cit}^{3-}\right) \right].
\]

Since the measured equivalent conductances \(A_e\) can be approximated by a straight line (Fig. 1), and the observed Onsager slopes are similar (\(S/S_{obs} = 0.982\) for Li\(_3\)Cit; \(S/S_{obs} = 1.077\) for Na\(_3\)Cit and \(S/S_{obs} = 1.129\) for K\(_3\)Cit at 298.15 K), the values of \(A^0(\text{Me}_3\text{Cit})\) were determined by the least squares method as intercept of the straight lines. The Onsager slopes were calculated using at 298.15 K the following data [3]: \(D = 78.54, \eta = 0.008949\) P, \(z^0(\text{Li}^+) = 38.64, z^0(\text{Na}^+) = 50.15\), and \(z^0(\text{K}^+) = 73.50\). The units of the equivalent and molar
Table 4. Limiting conductances of $\text{Cit}^{3-}$ ion and of citric acid as functions of temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T/\text{K}$</th>
<th>$\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-})$</th>
<th>$\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-})$</th>
<th>$\lambda^0(\text{H}_3\text{Cit})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$Cit</td>
<td>278.15 42.90</td>
<td>42.33 41.54</td>
<td>42.59 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_3$Cit</td>
<td>278.15 49.91</td>
<td>49.19 49.14</td>
<td>49.14 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_3$Cit</td>
<td>278.15 57.36</td>
<td>56.44 56.40</td>
<td>56.40 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$Cit</td>
<td>293.15 65.14</td>
<td>62.92 64.04</td>
<td>64.04 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_3$Cit</td>
<td>293.15 73.24</td>
<td>70.85 72.05</td>
<td>72.05 ± 1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_3$Cit</td>
<td>293.15 81.70</td>
<td>79.07 80.43</td>
<td>80.43 ± 1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$Cit</td>
<td>308.15 90.51</td>
<td>89.29 89.16</td>
<td>89.16 ± 1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated as $\sum \left( \frac{\lambda^0_i - \lambda^0_v}{\lambda^0_v - \lambda^0_l} \right)^2 / (3-1)^{1/2}$, $i = \text{Li}_3\text{Cit}, \text{Na}_3\text{Cit},$ and $\text{K}_3\text{Cit}$. Conductance are $S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ equiv}^{-1}$ and $S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and are not written.

From the Kohlrausch law, the following limiting conductances of the trivalent citrate anion $\text{Cit}^{3-}$ were determined: $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 73.24$, $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 72.07$, and $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 70.85$, based on tri-lithium citrate, tri-sodium citrate and tri-potassium citrate conductance data, respectively. The average value at 298.15 K is $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 72.05 ± 1.20$; using $\lambda^0(\text{H}^+) = 349.85$, the limiting molar conductance of citric acid is $\lambda^0(\text{H}_3\text{Cit}) = 422 ± 1.2$. Our limiting conductance of the trivalent citrate anion is in agreement with the value of $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 71.5$ quoted by Milazzo [34]; unfortunately, it is not clear how his result was obtained. For other investigated temperatures, the values of $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-})$ and $\lambda^0(\text{H}_3\text{Cit})$ are presented in Table 4. The Walden product, $\eta(T) = 64.14 ± 1.08$, is almost independent of temperature (65.18 ± 0.08 for tri-lithium citrate; 64.20 ± 0.03 for tri-sodium citrate and 63.06 ± 0.04 for tri-potassium citrate); $\lambda^0(\text{Li}^+)$, $\lambda^0(\text{Na}^+)$, and $\lambda^0(\text{K}^+)$ were taken from [3]; the temperature dependence of the limiting conductance of the anion is $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^{3-}) = 11.3593 - 2113.32/T$.

With increasing citric acid concentration the contributions to $\lambda^0$ from the secondary and tertiary step of dissociation become less important and the problem reduces to the case of a weak, monobasic acid. In the MacInnes and Shedlovsky treatment [1], the degree of dissociation of the primary step is given by

$$x_1 = \frac{\lambda^0 - \lambda^0}{\lambda^0},$$

where $\lambda^0$ is the conductance of the completely dissociated acid (to the primary dissociation step only) at equal concentration $c$ to that for the measured conductance $\lambda$. Values of $\lambda^0$ can be calculated from

$$\lambda^0 = \lambda(\text{HCl}) - \lambda(\text{MeCl}) + \lambda(\text{Me}_3\text{Cit}).$$

At 298.15 K, the following expressions for the conductances of HCl and MeCl were used [35]:

$$\lambda(\text{HCl}) = 426.04 - 156.70\sqrt{c} + 165.5c(1 - 0.2274\sqrt{c}),$$

$$\lambda(\text{LiCl}) = 115.00 - 85.94\sqrt{c} + 89.1c(1 - 0.2274\sqrt{c}),$$

$$\lambda(\text{NaCl}) = 126.42 - 88.53\sqrt{c} + 89.5c(1 - 0.2274\sqrt{c}),$$

$$\lambda(\text{KCl}) = 149.82 - 93.86\sqrt{c} + 94.9c(1 - 0.2274\sqrt{c}).$$

From (14), (15), (16), and (17), $\lambda^0(\text{Me}_3\text{Cit})$ and $\lambda^0(\text{Me}_3\text{Cit})$ can be calculated; they are presented in Table 5. Again there is a satisfactory agreement between the calculated $\lambda^0$ and $\lambda$ values based on the data from three different citrates. Since $x_1 \leq x$, and their difference decreases at increasing $c$, the highest concentration in this work, $c = 6.7864 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, may be used to estimate the equilibrium constant

$$K_{11} = \frac{c x_1^2 f_1}{1 - x_1}.$$
Table 6. Approximate equilibrium constants $K_{11}$ at 298.15 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_{11} \cdot 10^4$ mol dm$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$z_1$(Li$_3$Cit)</td>
<td>0.2893 7.29 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_1$(Na$_3$Cit)</td>
<td>0.2877 7.17 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_1$(K$_3$Cit)</td>
<td>0.2879 7.18 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Eq. (18), $c = 6.7864 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol dm$^{-3}$.

and the conductances

$$\lambda(H^+)=349.85 - 110.22 \sqrt{I} + 67.77 I \ln I + 687.8 I,$$

$$\lambda(H_2Cit^-)=36.7 - 38.52 \sqrt{I} + 3.16 I \ln I + 116.13 I.$$  

The contribution due to the primary dissociation step is

$$A_1 = 386.55 - 148.74 \sqrt{I} + 70.93 I \ln I + 803.9 I.$$  

The value of $\lambda^0(H_2Cit^-)$ is 36.70 resulting from this procedure is much higher than that estimated by Levien [17], $\lambda^0(H_2Cit^-)=30.39$ (the limiting value of $A_1 = 380.2$ is also lower than our result $A_1 = 386.55$), who assumed that it is equal to that of the picrate ion. The Levien calculations [17], based on the conductance data from a significantly higher concentration region, $c > 0.018$ mol dm$^{-3}$, were performed to be consistent with the Bates-Pinching result [25] for $K_1$. For the evaluation of the activity coefficients, Levien used $a(H_2Cit^-) = 5 \, \text{Å}$, whereas our value is 6.25 Å; however, the final results are not sensitive to the choice of this parameter.

There is no experimental base for the evaluation of the limiting conductance $\lambda^0(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-)$ of the intermediate anion. This value must be properly assigned. It is known that the ratio of the limiting conductances of anions with charge ratios $z: (z-1)$ and $(z-1):(z-2)$ varies from system to system and is usually found between 0.5 and 1.2 [5, 11 - 13, 19, 20, 37]. Pethybridge [37] pointed out that "for most systems we fix the ratio at 0.80, not for any theoretical reason, but rather because this seems intuitively reasonable and some of the highest precision data give a best-fit in the region of this value". In our case one has $\lambda^0(H_2Cit^-)/\lambda^0(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-) = r$; $\lambda^0(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-)/\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-) = r^2$ and therefore $\lambda^0(H_2Cit^-)/\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-) = r^3$, which finally gives $r = (36.70/72.05)^{1/3} = 0.7886$ in complete agreement with Pethybridge’s choice. The values of $\lambda^0(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-) = 46.00$ and $a_2 = 6.75 \, \text{Å}$, selected in this way, were introduced into the Quint-Viallard equations (11) for $H^+$ and $\text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-$ ions:

$$\lambda(H^+) = 349.85 - 151.49 \sqrt{I} + 221.82 I \ln I + 1394 I,$$

$$\lambda(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-) = 46.00 - 76.19 \sqrt{I} - 55.46 I \ln I + 219.69 I.$$  

The contribution due to the secondary dissociation step is

$$A_2 = \lambda(H^+) + \lambda(1/2 \text{H} \text{Cit}^2^-),$$  

$$A_2 = 395.85 - 227.68 \sqrt{I} + 166.36 I \ln I + 1613.7 I.$$  

For the tertiary dissociation step, using $\lambda^0(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-) = 72.05$ and $a_3 = 7.0 \, \text{Å}$, follows

$$\lambda(H^+) = 349.85 - 181.29 \sqrt{I} + 410.19 I \ln I + 2156 I,$$

$$\lambda(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-) = 72.05 - 121.48 \sqrt{I} - 216.51 I \ln I + 459.77 I.$$  

and

$$A_3 = \lambda(H^+) + \lambda(1/3 \text{Cit}^3^-),$$  

$$A_3 = 421.9 - 302.77 \sqrt{I} + 148.68 I \ln I + 2615.9 I.$$  

From (10), the measured conductance of citric acid as a function of concentration is

$$A = z_1 A_1 + 2 z_2 A_2 + 3 z_3 A_3,$$  

where $z_1$, $z_2$, and $z_3$ are given by (7). Considering this equation, it is assumed that the contributions coming from the binary electrolyte constituents are additive. Formally, in the limit of infinite dilution ($z_1$ and $z_2$ tend to zero and $z_3$ tends to unity) we have $A = 3 A_3$, ...
and this is the molar conductance of the completely
dissociated electrolyte of the type 1:3. Thus (10) and
(11) tend to the Onsager approximation (12).

Since $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$, $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, $f_1$, $f_2$, $f_3$, and
$I = c(x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3)$ depend on the equilibrium con-
stants $K_1$, $K_2$, and $K_3$, it follows from (25) that
$A = A(c; K_1, K_2, K_3)$; for a known set of $(A, c)$ the
dissociation constants can be approximated with the help of an appropriate iteration procedure. If the equi-
librium constants $K_1$, $K_2$, and $K_3$ are known at a
given concentration $c$, then $x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$, $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$
can be evaluated consecutively from (7) starting with initial values $x_3 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$ and $x_1$, calculated from (18)
with $f_1 = 1$. The calculations are continued until repe-
tition does not change $c_{x_j}$ and $f_j$. Few runs were suffi-
cient in most cases, and the number of successive ap-
proximations could be fixed to ten in this work. $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$ determined in this way permit the evaluation of the ionic strength $I$ and finally of $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ from (20), (22) and (24). The search for the best agree-
ment between the measured and calculated values of $A$, (25), will therefore produce a set of the self-consis-
tent equilibrium constants. In our calculations, the dissociation constants of Bates and Pinching [25], $K_1 = 6.98 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$, $K_2 = 1.40 \times 10^{-5}$ mol dm$^{-3}$, and
$K_3 = 4.05 \times 10^{-7}$ mol dm$^{-3}$, were used as the initial values. It is evident that the contribution coming from the tertiary dissociation step is negligible; therefore $K_3$ is of minor importance and the value of Bates and Pinching can be used throughout the calcula-
tions. Table 7 presents the observed and calculated
conductances of citric acid was established for
$K_1 = 6.98 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$, $K_2 = 1.40 \times 10^{-5}$ mol dm$^{-3}$, and
$K_3 = 4.05 \times 10^{-7}$ mol dm$^{-3}$. It is obvious that for the applied set of parameters the Bates and Pinching
[25] equilibrium constants give an unsatisfactory fit to our and Levien’s [17] conductances. Our equilibrium
constants are compared in Table 8 with the literature;
they are somewhat lower than those derived from electromotive force measurements.

The equilibrium constants for other temperatures
can be calculated in the same way as shown for
298.15 K. Instead of the commonly used Maclnnes
and Shedlovsky method [1] (introduction of the tem-
perature dependences of (11) and (14)–(17)), we ap-
plied at each temperature the Waiden rule

$$
A_j(T) = A_j(298.15 \text{ K}) \frac{\eta(298.15 \text{ K})}{\eta(T)},
$$

(26)

where $j = \text{H}_2\text{Cit}^-$, $\text{HCit}_2^-$, $\text{Cit}_3^-$. The temperature dependence of $\lambda^0_j (\text{H}^+)$ is known [3]. The calculation of the activity coefficients according to (8) uses the constants $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ of Robinson and Stokes [38]; the distances of closest approach, $a_i$, were assumed to be independent of temperature. The
Table 9. Limiting conductances, dissociation constants of citric acid and the standard changes of thermodynamic functions in the investigated temperature range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>278.15</th>
<th>283.15</th>
<th>288.15</th>
<th>293.15</th>
<th>298.15</th>
<th>303.15</th>
<th>308.15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_0^o (H_2Cit^-)$</td>
<td>21.62</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>28.69</td>
<td>32.56</td>
<td>36.70</td>
<td>41.03</td>
<td>45.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_0^o (1/2 Cit^{2-})$</td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td>35.96</td>
<td>40.81</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>51.43</td>
<td>57.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_0^o (1/3 Cit^{3-})$</td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>49.23</td>
<td>56.33</td>
<td>63.92</td>
<td>72.05</td>
<td>80.56</td>
<td>89.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_1 \cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_2 \cdot 10^5$</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_3 \cdot 10^7$</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_1 H^o$ kJ mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_2 H^o$ kJ mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_3 S^o$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-35.2</td>
<td>-38.9</td>
<td>-42.3</td>
<td>-45.6</td>
<td>-48.8</td>
<td>-51.8</td>
<td>-54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_3 S^o$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-80.0</td>
<td>-81.7</td>
<td>-83.4</td>
<td>-85.1</td>
<td>-86.6</td>
<td>-88.0</td>
<td>-89.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta_1 S^o = -46.0$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [24],
$\Delta_2 S^o = -83.7$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [24],

and for the secondary dissociation step:

$\Delta_2 H^o = 1.71$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ [24],
$\Delta_2 S^o = -82.8$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ [25] and
$\Delta_2 S^o = -86.6$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (this work).

Obviously the results are in reasonable agreement when taking into account that the differentiation of experimental data is always associated with a loss of precision.
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Appendix

For convenience, the individual conductances $\lambda_j$ of the Quint-Valliard theory [28] are presented here.
without terms in $I^{3/2}$. They are taken from the Quint thesis [29]. The symbols have their usual meaning.

$$\lambda_j = S_j \sqrt{I} + E_j I \ln I + J_{1j} I,$$

where the coefficients are

$$S_j = x_j \lambda_j^0 + \beta_j,$$

$$E_j = E_{1j} \lambda_j^0 - E_{2j},$$

$$J_{1j} = \sigma_{1j} \lambda_j^0 + \sigma_{2j}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

$$R_1 = \frac{(1-q)^3 \ln(1+\sqrt{q}) + q(q^2-q+2) \ln(2+\sqrt{q}) + 2q(1-2q) \ln(1+2\sqrt{q})}{2q(1-q)},$$

$$R_2 = \frac{6+15\sqrt{q} + 30q + 23q^{3/2} - 6q^2}{12\sqrt{q(1+\sqrt{q})^2}},$$

$$R_3 = \frac{2z_1^2 z_2^2 b^2 + 2|z_1| \cdot |z_2| b - 1}{|z_1|^3|z_2|^3b^3},$$

$$b = \frac{1.6671 \cdot 10^{-3}}{a DT}.$$

The indices $j=1, 2$ denote the three pairs of ions: H\(^+\) and H\(_2\)Cit\(^-\), H\(^+\) and HCit\(^2-\), and H\(^+\) and Cit\(^3-\), respectively and

$$x_j = \frac{2.8012 \cdot 10^6}{(DT)^3/2} \frac{|z_1| \cdot |z_2| q}{1+\sqrt{q}},$$

$$\beta_j = \frac{41.243}{\eta (DT)^{1/2}} |z_j|, $$

$$q = \frac{|z_1| \cdot |z_2|}{(|z_1| + |z_2|)} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^0 + \lambda_j^2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}\right),$$

where $\eta$ is the viscosity and $D$ the dielectric constant at temperature $T$.

$$E_{1j} = \frac{5.8851 \cdot 10^{12}}{(DT)^3} \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2 q}{z_1^2 z_2^2},$$

$$E_{2j} = \frac{4.3324 \cdot 10^7}{\eta (DT)^2} |z_1| \cdot |z_2| q Q_1,$$

$$Q_1 = \left\{ q \frac{|z_1| \cdot |z_2|}{\lambda_j^0 + \lambda_j^2} + q |z_j| - \frac{2z_j |z_1| (z_1 + z_2)}{z_1 z_2} \right\}.$$ 

The coefficients $\sigma_{1j}$ are given by

$$\sigma_{1j} = \frac{1.17702 \cdot 10^{13}}{(DT)^3} \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2 Q_2}{z_1^2 z_2^2 Q_2},$$

The coefficients $\sigma_{2j}$ are given by the relations

$$\sigma_{2j} = \frac{8.6648 \cdot 10^7}{\eta (DT)^2} |z_1| \cdot |z_2| q Q_3,$$

$$Q_3 = \left\{ \frac{|z_1| + |z_2|}{\lambda_j^0 + \lambda_j^2} Q_4 - \frac{2z_j |z_1| (z_1 + z_2)}{q |z_1| \cdot |z_2|} Q_5 + |z_j| Q_6 \right\},$$

where

$$Q_4 = \gamma + \ln \xi + \frac{2}{3 |z_1| \cdot |z_2| b} + R_4 - R_5,$$

$$Q_5 = \gamma + \ln 2 + \ln \xi,$$

$$Q_6 = -\ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{z_1^2 z_2^2 b^2} + \frac{8-3q}{2q b |z_1| \cdot |z_2|} + R_6$$

and

$$R_4 = \frac{(1-q)^2 \ln(1+\sqrt{q}) - q(q-4) \ln(2+\sqrt{q})}{2q},$$

$$R_5 = \frac{6+13\sqrt{q} - 6q}{12\sqrt{q}},$$

$$R_6 = \frac{(1+q) \ln(1+\sqrt{q}) - 2q \ln 2 - \sqrt{q(1-\sqrt{q})}}{(1-q)}.$$