The $^{181}\text{Ta}$ Nuclear Quadrupole Interaction in the Charge Density Wave Phases of $1\text{T-TaS}_2$* 

P. Ganal*, T. Butz*, A. Lefè*, M. Naito*, and H. Nishihara*

*Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, Garching, FRG
**Walther-Meißner-Institut für Festkörperforschung der Bayerischen Akademie
***Faculty of Science and Technology, Ryukoku University, Seta-ooecho 1, Ohtsu 520-21, Japan.
****NTT Basic Research Laboratories, 3-9-11 Midoricho, Musashino-shi, Tokyo 180, Japan.

Z. Naturforsch. 45a, 439–444 (1990); received August 22, 1989

With a high resolution time differential perturbed angular correlation spectrometer we investigated the nuclear quadrupole interaction of $^{181}\text{Ta}$ in $1\text{T-TaS}_2$. The results obtained for the commensurate charge density wave phase lead to a revised assignment of the previously observed $^{181}\text{Ta}$ NQR resonances. Differences in the intra-cluster architecture of the 13-atom Star of David cluster between $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ and $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ could be responsible for the metal to semiconductor transition which occurs in $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ but not in $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$.

1. Introduction

The layered compounds $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ and $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ exhibit a commensurate $\sqrt[13]{3}a_0 \times \sqrt[13]{3}a_0$ superstructure at low temperatures which is commonly described in terms of the formation of a charge density wave/periodic lattice distortion (CDW/PLD). This $3q_0$-superstructure leads to a charge density pattern shown in Fig. 1a and a corresponding lattice distortion as shown in Fig. 1b. The undistorted Star of David 13-atom cluster consists of three inequivalent Ta atoms with populations $x:y:z = 1:6:6$. The central site $x$ has axial symmetry whereas the symmetry at the peripheral sites is broken. Previous attempts to observe these inequivalent Ta sites via the $^{181}\text{Ta}$ nuclear quadrupole interaction by Mössbauer spectroscopy (ME) and time differential perturbed angular correlations (TDPAC) were partly successful only: only the two prominent sites were detected by ME in $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ [1]; no ME resonances were observed in $1\text{T-TaS}_2$; by TDPAC only the two prominent sites were detected in $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ [2]. Recent NMR and NQR studies of powder and single crystal samples of both $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ [3] and $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ [4] were much more successful: a total of seven inequivalent Ta sites, denoted by $x, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \ldots, \beta_6, \gamma_6$, for $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ were observed, which show that the Star of David clusters are further distorted. However, there was a severe discrepancy in the derived hyperfine parameters between the NMR/NQR data and the TDPAC data for $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ [2]. We therefore repeated the TDPAC measurements with a new spectrometer equipped with BaF$_2$-scintillators with a very good time resolution. This allowed the observation of all precession frequencies up to about 3 Grad/s. Thus, there is no ambiguity in the assignment of the observed frequencies, contrary to the set of NQR data, which did not contain all resonances. Although the frequency resolution of $^{181}\text{Ta}$ TDPAC is very poor compared to $^{181}\text{Ta}$ NQR, it has the advantage that site populations can be reliably deduced. Both techniques combined lead to a reassignment of the NQR frequencies and now yield a very detailed picture of the intra-cluster architecture. There are marked differences between the cluster in $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ and $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ — which were not apparent previously due to the erroneous assignment — both as far as the distortion and the charge distribution are concerned.

2. Experimental

The TDPAC experiments were performed on the 133 keV–482 keV $\gamma$-$\gamma$-cascade in $^{181}\text{Ta}$ which is produced via $\beta$-decay from $^{181}\text{Hf}$. Therefore we doped our $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ samples with approximately 200 ppm...
Three different geometries were employed:

1Ω: $V_{zz}$ is normal to the detector plane. This yields essentially the fundamental frequency only with maximum intensity $h\omega = E_{\pm 3/2} - E_{\pm 1/2}$.

2Ω: $V_{zz}$ in the detector plane halfway between two detectors. This yields the first harmonic $h\omega' = E_{\pm 5/2} - E_{\pm 3/2}$ with maximum intensity and a smaller contribution of $\omega$.

3Ω: $V_{zz}$ tilted 45° with respect to the detector plane and halfway between two detectors. This yields the second harmonic $h\omega'' = E_{\pm 5/2} - E_{\pm 1/2}$ with maximum possible intensity. However, the spectra are still dominated by contributions of $\omega$ and $\omega'$.

The 1Ω-geometry is not well suited for studies which require a good frequency resolution; however, it is ideally suited for a search for sites with a small population. The 2Ω-geometry is ideally suited for high-resolution studies and has still a high sensitivity; however, it does not lead to an ambiguous assignment of resolved first harmonic peaks to unresolved fundamental peaks. Here, the 3Ω-geometry which yields all precession frequencies is required; since we always have $\omega'' = \omega + \omega'$, it suffices to resolve both harmonics in order to obtain an ambiguous assignment. Its disadvantage is the poor sensitivity for $\omega'$ and $\omega''$.

All TDPAC data were least squares fitted and Fourier transformed in order to facilitate the extraction of the number and population of inequivalent Ta sites as well as the strength and symmetry of the nuclear quadrupole interaction at these sites.

3. Results

In Fig 2 the TDPAC spectra (left) and their Fourier transforms (right) are shown for 1T-TaS$_2$ at 13 K in all three different geometries. The two prominent peaks (labelled $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$) with equal intensity are immediately visible, including their harmonics. Whereas $\omega_1$ is significantly broadened, $\omega_2$ is relatively sharp. This suggests that each of the six peripheral Ta atoms are actually slightly inequivalent. The detection of the center site (labelled $\omega_3$) is much more difficult. The fundamental is buried under the fundamental of $\omega_1$ and leads to a barely visible left shoulder of $\omega_1$ only. Its second harmonic $\omega_3''$ is also buried under the second harmonic of $\omega_1''$. However, its first harmonic $\omega_3'$ is just resolved on the high frequency side of $\omega_1'$, most clearly visible in the 2Ω-geometry. This rather unexpected position of the center site signal explains...
why previous attempts to search for this unique site with a poor time resolution failed [2].

A least squares analysis with three inequivalent sites A, B, and C and adjustable line broadening for the B- and C-sites yields the unambiguous assignment as shown in the bar-diagram in Fig. 2, bottom.

Instead of presenting further details of the least squares analysis of the TDPAC data alone we now follow a different route: the present TDPAC data are in complete disagreement with the previously derived hyperfine parameters from NQR measurements [3]; hence, we shall try to re-assign the NQR resonances and then extract the set of hyperfine parameters which best agrees with both the TDPAC and NQR data. This will be done in the next section.

4. Discussion

The previously observed NQR-pattern for 1T-TaS$_2$ at 4.2 K is shown in Fig. 3 [3]. In that paper a different assignment of the resonances was given: the partly re-
solved structure between 95 MHz and 103 MHz was entirely attributed to the $\beta$-sites alone and the peaks around 140–150 MHz were assigned to the $1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$ transition of the $\gamma$-sites. Consequently, the peaks around 218–232 MHz were assigned to the $3/2 \leftrightarrow 5/2$ transition of the $\gamma$-sites. A simulated TDPAC spectrum with the hyperfine parameters based on this old assignment is shown in Fig. 4 and is clearly in complete disagreement with our observed data (see Figure 2). Hence, we propose now the revised assignment as shown in Fig. 3: for the ground state of $^{181}\text{Ta}$ with $I = 1/2$ and the given hyperfine parameters (strength and asymmetry parameter $\eta$) it just happens that the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-resonances for $1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$ overlap; on the contrary, for the 482 keV excited state of $^{181}\text{Ta}$ with $I = 5/2$ the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-frequencies are well separated. It should be mentioned that all observed line intensities in the TDPAC data are consistent with $V_{zz}$ being perpendicular to the layers. However, the intensities depend on a possible tilt angle to second order only, and hence the accuracy is limited to approximately $5 \times 10^{-5}$. In fact, the NMR data are slightly but systematically shifted from what would be expected from the NQR data as far as $\eta$ is concerned. This small shift could be due to a small tilt angle of $V_{zz}$ with respect to the plane normal.

With this revised assignment, perfect agreement between the NQR and the TDPAC data is achieved. The derived parameters for the electric field gradient $V_{zz}$ and $\eta_{\text{NQR}}$ which fit both the NQR and the TDPAC data best – including the further constraints regarding $\eta$ from NMR measurements – are listed in Table 1 (here we used $Q_{5/2} = 2.36(5)$b [6]). The inequivalent Ta sites are labelled in ascending order of $F$. For comparison, the data for $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ are also listed [4]. Here, the assignment to $\beta$- and $\gamma$-sites is based on the observed relaxation behaviour [4]. The $\beta$-sites are assumed to be subjected to the largest $V_{zz}$. Note that the sign of $V_{zz}$ is negative as derived from ME measurements [1].

There are several remarkable differences between $1\text{T-TaS}_2$ and $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$:

(i) In $1\text{T-TaS}_2$, the 13 atoms of the Star of David cluster are all inequivalent, whereas in $1\text{T-TaSe}_2$ two
Fig. 5. Various stacking schemes for the CDW leading to an undistorted $1:6:6$ pattern and to a partial and complete separation into inequivalent Ta sites.

Each are equivalent. This leads to the CDW stacking scheme proposed in Figure 5. Whereas the $c+2a$ stacking pertains to $1T$-$TaSe_2$, the stacking order of type II is proposed for $1T$-$TaS_2$. The undistorted Star of David with populations $1:6:6$ was recently observed for $6R$-$TaS_2$ at temperatures above about 50 K, where the CDW forms in every second sandwich only [7].

(ii) Whereas the values for $V_{zz}$ between the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-sites of $1T$-$TaS_2$ differ markedly, they are almost identical for $1T$-$TaSe_2$. This was already noted previously by ME measurements on $1T$-$TaSe_2$ at 300 K [1].

(iii) The center site $\alpha$ does not possess axial symmetry, particularly so for $1T$-$TaSe_2$. This loss of axial symmetry is a consequence of the distortion of the Star of David cluster.

(iv) The most striking difference between $1T$-$TaSe_2$ and $1T$-$TaS_2$ concerns the magnitude of $V_{zz}$ at the center site $\alpha$: whereas it is about 30% lower compared to the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-sites in $1T$-$TaSe_2$, it is intermediate between the $\beta$- and $\gamma$-site values in $1T$-$TaS_2$. A reduc-
tion of the electric field gradient upon charge transfer is generally observed for intercalation compounds of \(2\,\text{H-TaS}_2\) [8] and \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) [9]. Hence, the result for \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\) is quite expected and considered “normal”. On the contrary, the high value for \(V_{zz}\) in \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) at the z-site suggests that although there is indeed the maximum charge density it is not in the same orbitals as far as symmetry is concerned as in \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\).

This clearly shows that the charge distribution inside the 13-atom cluster must be very different for both systems. Such a behaviour was recently observed by scanning tunneling microscopy [10]. Although the overall shape of the cluster seems to be very similar – with all distortions being larger in \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\) than in \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) –, judging from room temperature X-ray diffraction data [11], the intra-cluster architecture must be very dissimilar. It should be pointed out that the electric field gradient results from the \(l=2\) multipole component of the charge density distribution only and certainly does not simply scale like the charge density itself, as sometimes assumed.

The metal to semiconductor transition of \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) and not of \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\) is possibly related to this different intra-cluster architecture. A pairing of two clusters in neighbouring layers – note that the actual cluster consists of 13 Ta atoms and \(2 \times 13\) S atoms – would lead to a pairing of the two unpaired electrons of each cluster and thus to the semiconductor transition. However, we have performed extensive measurements by TDPAC on \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) in the entire temperature range from 13 K to 540 K (to be published in a forthcoming paper) which do not support the idea that the intra-cluster architecture changes from the “normal” \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\) behaviour to the “abnormal” \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) behaviour upon lock-in around 200 K. Therefore, two types of clusters appear to be formed in \(1\,\text{T-TaS}_2\) and \(1\,\text{T-TaSe}_2\) right upon the CDW onset (which, unfortunately, cannot be observed because the samples transform to the \(2\,\text{H}\)-modification prior to the expected onset temperature). One type of cluster undergoes the metal to semiconductor transition while the other type remains metallic. Apparently, the interlayer coupling plays an important role in this respect.
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