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By means of X-ray diffraction in transmission the molten Mg\textsubscript{100-x}Zn\textsubscript{x} alloys (x = 0, 8, 15, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 73, 80, 90, 100) were investigated and the total structure factor S(Q), the total pair correlation function, the number of nearest neighbours as well as the atomic distances were evaluated. For 30 ≤ x ≤ 80 a premaximum in S(Q) was observed which is caused by chemical short range order. The comparison of the premaximum of the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}-melt with that of the corresponding amorphous alloy shows that within the melt the chemical short range order amounts to about 40% of that of the amorphous alloy.

Introduction

Premaxima in the structure factor were observed with the Mg-base alloys Mg–Ag [1], Mg–Sn [2], Mg–Cu [3], and Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} [4]. The occurrence of premaxima is caused by chemical short range order (CSRO). In the present work the concentration dependence of the CSRO in molten Mg\textsubscript{100-x}Zn\textsubscript{x}-alloys is investigated.

Experimental

1. Specimen Preparation

The chemical purity of Mg as well as of Zn was 99.9%. The starting ingot was prepared by induction melting in argon-atmosphere. The specimen thickness was 0.03 mm (Zn) up to 1.3 mm (Mg).

2. Apparatus

The X-ray diffraction experiments were done using a diffractometer D 500 (Siemens, Karlsruhe) in transmission. Always two runs were necessary with each specimen since the method of balanced filters was applied to extract the scattering signal corresponding to the Mo-K\textsubscript{α}-radiation. The melts were kept between beryllium windows within a steel frame. The angle region was 3° ≤ 2θ ≤ 101° corresponding to 0.46 Å\textsuperscript{-1} ≤ Q ≤ 13.7 Å\textsuperscript{-1} with Mo-K\textsubscript{α}-radiation, where

\[ Q = 4 \pi (\sin \theta)/\lambda, \]
\[ 2 \theta = \text{scattering angle}, \]
\[ \lambda = \text{wavelength}. \]

Results and Discussion

1. Total Structure Factors

Figure 1 shows the Faber Ziman total structure factors \(S_{tFZ}(Q)\) of molten Mg\textsubscript{100-x}Zn\textsubscript{x}-alloys obtained by X-ray diffraction. These functions were derived from the measured data by correction for polarization [5], absorption [6], and fluorescence. The normalization was done according to [7], and then the incoherently scattered intensity [8] was subtracted. The temperature T of the melts was always by 40 °C higher than the corresponding liquidus temperature \(T_l\). The curves as shown in Fig. 1 are smoothed in the region Q ≥ 3.5 Å\textsuperscript{-1} using a cubic splinefit algorithm.

We observe a shift of the maxima of \(S(Q)\) to larger Q-values with increasing Zn-concentration. On the left hand side of the main peak we observe for 30 ≤ x ≤ 80 a prepeak which is most pronounced for the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} melt. The second peak for x = 70 and 73 shows a slight shoulder on its right hand side. The comparison with the structure factors obtained with amorphous Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} as well as Mg\textsubscript{72}Zn\textsubscript{28} shows a more pronounced shoulder in the two latter cases [9, 10].

Reprint requests to Prof. Dr. S. Steeb, MPI für Metallforschung, Institut für Werkstoffwissenschaften, Seestraße 92, 7000 Stuttgart 1.

0932-0784 / 87 / 0500-0507 $ 01.30/0. – Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.
2. Total Pair Correlation Functions

Figure 2 shows the total pair correlation functions \( G_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(R) \) as obtained from the \( S_{\text{eff}}^{(2)}(Q) \) in Fig. 1 by Fourier-transformation. The maxima shift to smaller \( R \)-values with increasing Zn-concentration. The oscillations are most pronounced for the two elements Mg and Zn. The strong oscillations in the \( \text{Mg}_{70}\text{Zn}_{30} \)-as well as the \( \text{Mg}_{73}\text{Zn}_{27} \)-curves also should be mentioned. The height of the main maximum for the concentrations \( 100 \leq x \leq 30 \) remains nearly unchanged but it increases for \( 15 \leq x \leq 0 \) by nearly 80%.

The coordination numbers were determined according to the minimum-minimum method. These coordination numbers for the first \((N^I)\) and second \((N^{II})\) coordination spheres as well as the corresponding atomic distances \( R^I \) and \( R^{II} \) are presented in Table 1.

We note that from the concentration dependence of the \( R \)- and \( N \)-values in Table 1 a tendency for compound formation cannot be derived [11]. Thus, up to this point the only hints for compound formation within the \( \text{Mg}_x\text{Zn}_{(100-x)} \)-alloys are the pre-maxima as observed in Fig. 1, which will be treated in the following.

3. Short Range Order

As mentioned above, the CSRO contributes to the structure factor in the region of \( 0.5 \text{ Å}^{-1} \leq Q \leq 2 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) by forming a prepeak which is to be ascribed to the Bhatia Thornton partial structure factor \( S_{CC}(Q) \) [12], describing the correlations between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>( N^I )</th>
<th>( N^{II} )</th>
<th>( R^I[\text{Å}] )</th>
<th>( R^{II}[\text{Å}] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{90}\text{Zn}</em>{10} )</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{80}\text{Zn}</em>{20} )</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{73}\text{Zn}</em>{27} )</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{60}\text{Zn}</em>{40} )</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{50}\text{Zn}</em>{50} )</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{40}\text{Zn}</em>{60} )</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{30}\text{Zn}</em>{70} )</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{20}\text{Zn}</em>{80} )</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{10}\text{Zn}</em>{90} )</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>{0}\text{Zn}</em>{100} )</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentration fluctuations. To evaluate $S_{CC}(Q)$ two further diffraction experiments are necessary or one further diffraction experiment in favourable cases where the contribution of $S_{NC}(Q)$ can be neglected. Recently, with molten Mg$_{72}$Zn$_{28}$ a neutron diffraction experiment was performed [10]. Since the corresponding data are not yet at our disposal, in the present paper we discuss the X-ray results only.

The discussion starts from Bhatia Thornton total structure factors as shown in Figure 3. These functions yield data as given in Table 2.

$\Delta Q^p$ and $\Delta Q^l$ are the widths of the prepeak and the main maximum, respectively. The correlation lengths were estimated according to the Scherrer formula [13]

$$\xi^C, \xi^T = 2 \pi / \Delta Q^P, \Delta Q^l.$$ (2)

$\xi^C$ follows from the width of the prepeak and amounts to about 8 Å for $x \geq 50$ and up to 9 Å for $x < 50$. $\xi^T$ decreases from 14 Å for $x = 80$ down to 12 Å for $x = 30$. The amplitude of the prepeak $I^P$ was estimated as shown in Fig. 3, namely as distance between the broken line and the maximum height of the prepeak. $I^P$ is related to the partial structure factor $S_{CC}(Q)$, which is a measure for the CSRO according to

$$I^P = \frac{(f_A - f_B)^2}{(c_A f_A^2 + c_B f_B^2)} c_A c_B S_{CC}(Q^p).$$ (3)

with $f_A, f_B =$ scattering length of species A, B,
$c_A, c_B =$ atomic fraction of species A, B.

Thus $S_{CC}(Q^p)$ can be estimated, and according to Table 2 the strongest CSRO is observed for the
Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-melt. In the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-melt the CSRO amounts to 70\% of that of the Mg\textsubscript{80}Zn\textsubscript{20}^-melt, whereas it amounts to 26\% in the case of Mg\textsubscript{80}Zn\textsubscript{20}^-melt. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the value of the CSRO depends on the choice of the broken line and thus is not well defined. The use of the dotted lines as in the case of amorphous Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} [9] would yield larger values for the CSRO. According to [14] the influence of the CSRO-parameter $a^r$ of the first coordination shell on the so called short range order scattering $I_{\text{CSRO}}$ can be written as

$$I_{\text{CSRO}} = c_A c_B (f_A - f_B)^2 N^2 \rho^2 \sin \left( \frac{QR}{P} \right) \rho.$$

For compound forming systems, $a^r$ is negative and leads to the formation of the prepeak at $Q^2 = 4.49$. Thus from the $Q^2$-values of Table 2 we obtain $R^2$ in the range 2.9 A up to 3.0 A.

The prepeak in $S(Q)$ is most pronounced for the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-melt from which easily the corresponding amorphous phase can be obtained by rapid quenching. But also for the Mg\textsubscript{80}Zn\textsubscript{20}^- and the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-melt a prepeak is observed. Since these melts cannot be frozen down to the amorphous state, the occurrence of a prepeak seems not to be uniquely characteristic for those alloy compositions which may lead to the formation of the amorphous state. The splitting up of the second peak in $S(Q)$, however, indicates the capability to produce the corresponding alloy in the amorphous form.

4. Comparison of the Molten and the Amorphous State

For comparison with the results obtained with the Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-melt, an amorphous Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}^-alloy was produced by melt spinning and investigated using the same arrangement as for the molten state. Furthermore the a-Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} was investigated after annealing for two hours at 90 °C. The comparison of the structure factors obtained with the molten, the amorphous, and the annealed Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} specimens shows complete accordance with the results of [4] and [15]. Molten as well as amorphous Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} shows a prepeak which is less pronounced in the molten case than for amorphous Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30}. The structure factor of the annealed specimen shows instead of the prepeak three isolated Bragg-peaks which correspond to those obtained in [16] for crystalline Mg\textsubscript{51}Zn\textsubscript{49} (c\textsubscript{Mg} = 71.8 a/o). From the observation that the total structure factor for molten, amorphous, and annealed Mg\textsubscript{70}Zn\textsubscript{30} shows a prepeak or Bragg-reflexions in the corresponding $Q$-region we deduce a similarity between the CSRO in the amorphous [10], the annealed, and the molten state. The correlation lengths $\xi_{\text{mol}}^r = 8.8$ A and $\xi_{\text{amorphous}} = 8.1$ A, as well as $\xi_{\text{mol}}^r = 14.2$ A and $\xi_{\text{amorphous}} = 14.2$ A are in good accordance.

Both the structure factors and the pair correlation functions show that the atomic arrangement in the molten state is not as well defined as in the corresponding amorphous state.
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