For a series of carbonyl-containing compounds with a stilbene subchromophore the lowest ten singlet and triplet states have been calculated with the aid of the CNDO/S-CI method. The results were used to rationalize the relative ordering of the lowest-lying \( S(\pi\pi^*) \), \( S(n\pi^*) \), \( T(\pi\pi^*) \), and \( T(n\pi^*) \) electronic states. Using the calculated energy separation between the singlet \( \pi\pi^* \) and \( n\pi^* \) states and between the singlet \( \pi\pi^* \) and triplet \( n\pi^* \) states the fluorescence ability of the compounds studied is interpreted. The connection between the structure of the compounds and their fluorescence is discussed. It is shown that an increase of the solvent polarity in some cases may lead to an inversion of the singlet \( n\pi^* \) and \( \pi\pi^* \) levels and to an enhancement of the fluorescence quantum yield.

### 1. Introduction

Recently, the results of investigations into the photophysical properties of a series of new organic luminophores with a stilbene skeleton – 3-phenylmethylene-1-(3H)-isobenzofuranones (benzylidene- naphthalides, BPHs) and some of its derivatives – were described [1–3]. The observed changes in the fluorescence quantum yield, \( Q_f \), with different substituents were explained with changes in the relative ordering of the lowest-lying \( n\pi^* \) and \( \pi\pi^* \) singlet states. This supposition is confirmed by the increase of \( Q_f \) [1–3] on raising the polarity of the solvent.

In this study we present the results of semi-empirical calculations for the low-lying \( n\pi^* \) and \( \pi\pi^* \) states of differently substituted carbonyl luminophores containing a stilbene subchromophore. The compounds studied comprised not only substituted BPH’s but also related compounds with a stilbene skeleton (for the structures see Figure 1): I – BPH, II – 4-amino BPH, III – anhydride of BPH, IV – 3-phenylcumarine, V – 3-phenylisocumarine, VI – 1-oxo-3-phenylisoquinoline, VII – 1-oxo-3-phenyl-4-hydroxyisoquinoline. The calculations were performed for the unsubstituted compounds I–VII (X = H, see Fig. 1) together with the compounds derived from them by substitution with \( \text{NH}_2 \) and \( \text{CN} \) in the 4’ position of the phenyl group as indicated in Figure 1. For some of the compounds experimental data exist, while for others the calculations carried out should serve as a tentative assessment of their luminescence properties.

### 2. Computational Method

The computations in this study were performed by a modified CNDO/S-CI method [4] as used previously by Olbrich et al. [5] in a study of acenequinones. The INDO integral approximation was used to describe the splitting of singlet and triplet \( \pi\pi^* \) states; for the CI calculations singly excited configurations were used. For all compounds a planar geometry with standard bond lengths and bond angles was assumed.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The energies of the respective first five singlet and triplet excited states of the compounds I–VII with \( X = \text{H}, \text{NH}_2, \text{and CN} \) have been calculated. In Fig. 1 the computed excitation energies to the lowest-lying singlet and triplet \( \pi\pi^* \) and \( n\pi^* \) states are shown; from now on we will denote these lowest-lying states by the symbols \( S(\pi\pi^*), S(n\pi^*), T(\pi\pi^*), \) and \( T(n\pi^*) \). Figure 2 gives a comparison of the calculated excitation energies with the experimental absorption spectra of the unsubstituted compounds I, II, III, IV, V, and VII. With the exception of compounds II and V, where the first \( \pi\pi^* \) states are somewhat too high, the agreement between the
Fig. 1. The ordering of the calculated $T(\pi\pi^*)$, $T(\pi\pi^*)$, $S(\pi\pi^*)$, and $S(\pi\pi^*)$ states of the compounds studied. Dotted line (- - -) corrected $S(\pi\pi^*)$ states in ethanol (see text).

calculated excitation energies and the experimental spectra is satisfactory, at least for the low lying transitions.

In the discussion of the energy schemes of Fig. 1 we shall concentrate mainly on two features:

(i) the energy gap between the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ and the nonemitting $S(\pi\pi^*)$, which in many cases exerts a significant influence on the magnitude of $Q_T$ [6];

(ii) the energy gap between $S(\pi\pi^*)$ and $F(\pi\pi^*)$, which allows to estimate the efficiency of intersystem crossing (ISC).

A common feature in all the compounds studied is that the second singlet $n\pi^*$ state (fourth in III) is separated by about 10 000 cm$^{-1}$ from the $S(\pi\pi^*)$. For the anhydride III three quasi-degenerate low-lying singlet $n\pi^*$ states were calculated (see Figure 2). This compound contains three carbonyl groups which are very weakly interacting thus leading to the calculated quasi-degeneracy in the localized $n\pi^*$ excitations. A similar behaviour was encountered in the study of the acenequinones [5]. Nevertheless we will denote also these three states together with the symbol $S(\pi\pi^*)$.

When taking into account point (ii) from above, one should bear in mind the El-Sayed rules for ISC [7], and also, that the probability for populating the triplet manifold is larger, if the difference between the zero-point levels of the singlet and triplet states involved is small [8]. As can be seen from Fig. 1 the first triplet states of all compounds studied are of $\pi\pi^*$ type and are lying by an amount of 10 000 cm$^{-1}$ below the first singlet states. Therefore, the probability for a $S_1 \rightarrow T(\pi\pi^*)$ transition is small and it is more likely, that the crossing occurs mainly from $S_1$ to the near lying $T(\pi\pi^*)$. The second triplet $n\pi^*$ levels in all compounds are in the region 37 000–40 000 cm$^{-1}$, i.e. much higher than the first $S(\pi\pi^*)$ states and according to these considerations one could expect mainly $S(\pi\pi^*)$ to $T(n\pi^*)$ transitions to be effective.
3.1. Substituent effects

$S(\pi\pi^*) - S(n\pi^*)$. The lowest singlet state in all unsubstituted compounds but VII is of $n\pi^*$ type and therefore, one should expect a negligible small fluorescence quantum yield for all these compounds. An acceptor or donor substituent in the 4' position of the phenyl group causes lowering of the energy of the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ relative to the unsubstituted structure, $X = H$. For substituents having Hammett $\sigma$ constants equal in absolute value — as is the case with the CN and NH$_2$ groups — the effect of the donor substituent is always stronger, as can be derived from the results in Figure 1. However, in concordance with the conclusions in [9], the transition energies of the $S(n\pi^*)$ states, which are localized on the C=O group, remain practically constant. As a result of the narrowing of the $S(n\pi^*) - S(\pi\pi^*)$ gap one may expect the probability for radiative transitions via $S(\pi\pi^*)$ to increase; in some cases — the NH$_2$ substituted compounds of III, V, and VI — the calculations predict an inversion of $S(n\pi^*)$ and $S(\pi\pi^*)$ levels, and hence a significant increase in $Q_f$ may be expected. With 1800 cm$^{-1}$ the effect is most pronounced for III, but even the weaker influence of the NH$_2$ group in compounds V and VI leads to an inversion of the two levels, since in these cases they lie close to each other in the unsubstituted ones.

The considerable smaller decrease of the excitation energy to the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ level caused by the CN group leads to an inversion of $S(n\pi^*)$ and $S(\pi\pi^*)$ only for VI; for V the effect is of the same order and leads to a quasi-degeneracy of the two levels. Consequently, it may be expected, that the donor and acceptor substituted derivatives of type V, VI, and VII will exhibit a significant $Q_f$ even in non-polar solvents.

The smaller effect of the acceptor substituents in the X position for all compounds studied is most probably connected with the influence of the C=O acceptor group at the other part of the stilbene subchromophore — Figure 1. Considering such a structure as a charge-transfer system of type A–X (A = acceptor) it is natural to expect, that the effect of a donor X substituent is stronger than with $X = $ acceptor. These qualitative considerations are borne out by the experimental data for differently substituted BPH's (structure I): @ $Q_f$ = 0.33, 0.001, and 0.006, for $X = $ NH$_2$, H, and CN, respectively, in 1,4-dioxane (see Tab. 1; some of the compounds investigated have a poor solubility in cyclohexane and in heptane, at the same time the $Q_f$'s in non-polar solvents are very low, usually $\leq$ 0.001. For that reason we shall use mainly the experimental data from 1,4-dioxane as a solvent).

$S(\pi\pi^*) - T(n\pi^*)$. When considering the influence of substituents on the $S(\pi\pi^*) - T(n\pi^*)$ energy difference, and hence on the ISC between these levels, one should take into account also the absolute value of this difference for $X = H$. For most of the compounds the difference is much larger than any shift of the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ energy caused by substitution. On the other hand, the substituents have practically no influence on the $T(n\pi^*)$ energy levels. Therefore, it can be expected that introduction of a donor or acceptor substituent will be significant for ISC only for compound VII, where the calculated $S(\pi\pi^*)$—
3.2. Structural effects

From the data in Fig. 1 we conclude that the excitation energy to the \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) level for different structures – I, II, IV, and VI – are close to 30 000 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( X = H \), which is confirmed also experimentally [1–3, 10]. A possible explanation for this finding is provided by the par orbital analysis of the \( S_0 \to S(\pi \pi^*) \) electronic transitions: in all cases the electronic excitation is localized to a large extent on the stilbene subchromophore with a CT contribution to or from the \( \bar{Y}C = O \) fragment (\( \bar{Y} = O, N-H \)) [10].

Next we shall consider the influence of two other structural factors on the energies of the electronic levels – the effect of the \( \bar{Y}C = O \) fragment and that of an extra OH group. The fragment \( \bar{Y}C = O \) consists of the fluorescence-quenching \( C = O \) group and the donor group \( Y \), whose presence stimulates emission. Depending on the position of the fragment \( \bar{Y}C = O \) relative to the \( C = C \) double bond of the stilbene subchromophore we can distinguish two cases:

(i) The \( C = O \) group is directly connected to the \( C = C \) bond (compound IV);
(ii) the \( Y \) group connects the \( C = O \) group and the \( C = C \) bond (compounds I–III, V–VII).

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the \( \pi \pi^* \) excitation energies for the singlet as well as for the triplet state of IV are lower by 2000–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) than those of the other compounds. For \( X = H \) the \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) level of IV lies about 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) above \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) and the unsubstituted 3-phenylcumarine has fluorescence quantum yields of about 0.001 in 1,4-dioxane and heptane. The large difference between \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) and \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) also suggests a weaker influence of the substituents on \( Q_f \) for IV as compared to its isomer V. With more than 6000 cm\(^{-1}\) the \( S(\pi \pi^*) - T(\pi \pi^*) \) energy difference in IV is almost twice as large as in the other compounds and, therefore, one expects only insignificant ISC. On the other hand, for compounds, in which the \( C = O \) group is not directly connected to the \( C = C \) bond of the stilbene subchromophore, one expects higher fluorescence quantum yields; the effects in the two cases (i) and (ii) are opposed.

A comparison of the calculated results for compounds VI and VII allows us to estimate the influence of the additional OH group at the \( C = C \) double bond. The \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) and \( T(\pi \pi^*) \) excitation energies are practically not changed by the presence of the OH group. On the other hand, the energy of the two lowest lying \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) and \( T(\pi \pi^*) \) states in VII decreases by about 2000 cm\(^{-1}\) and as a result the \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) level in VII lies below \( S(\pi \pi^*) \), irrespective of the substituent \( X \): for \( X = H \) by about 2000 cm\(^{-1}\) and for \( NH_2 \) by 2700 cm\(^{-1}\). Consequently, we conjecture that in the absence of competing factors compounds with structure VII should exhibit appreciable fluorescence quantum yields. But in the case of 1-oxo-3-phenyl-4-hydroxyisoquinoline (VII), as a result of the strong depression of the \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) level, the ISC between the \( S(\pi \pi^*) \) and \( T(\pi \pi^*) \) states will probably come into play leading to a diminished fluorescence: for \( X = H \), the calculated difference between the two states is about 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) and \( Q_f = 0.1 \) in dioxane; for \( X = NH_2 \) the energy difference is only about 400 cm\(^{-1}\).

### Table 1. Fluorescence quantum yields of some substituted benzyldenediphenalides (I) in solvents with different polarities [1]. The values measured in 1,4-dioxane are also obtained with the method described in [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>( Q_f ) Heptane</th>
<th>1,4-Dioxane</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( NH_2 )</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( OCH_3 )</td>
<td>( \approx 0.0001 )</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.0001 )</td>
<td>( \approx 0.001 )</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( CN )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.0001 )</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( NO_2 )</td>
<td>( &lt; 0.0001 )</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 as dotted lines. With this correction an inversion between the $S(n\pi^*)$ and the $S(\pi\pi^*)$ states of the NH$_2$ substituted BPH arises and one may conclude, that the fluorescence is enhanced by the solvent polarity. The experimental data given in Tab. 1 indicate such an enhancement: the fluorescence quantum yields increase with increasing solvent polarity, for the NH$_2$ substituted BPH this change amounts to two orders of magnitude. The variation in $Q_f$ for the disubstituted BPH's (II) in solvents with different polarities [3] can be explained in the same way. The small bathochromic shift — about 400 cm$^{-1}$ — of the longest wavelength absorption maximum for unsubstituted IV in ethanol vs. dioxane, together with the large energy difference between the $S(n\pi^*)$ and $S(\pi\pi^*)$ states, is in agreement with the negligible effect on the fluorescence quantum yield, $Q_f$ is about 0.002 in both solvents.
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