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A synopsis of different selfsimilar spherical compression waves is given pointing out their fundamental importance for the gas dynamics of inertial confinement fusion. Strong blast waves, various forms of isentropic compression waves, imploding shock waves and the solution for non-isentropic collapsing hollow spheres are included. A classification is given in terms of six singular points which characterise the different solutions and the relations between them. The presentation closely follows Guderley’s original work on imploding shock waves.

1. Introduction

It is now 40 years ago that Guderley’s pioneering paper on spherical imploding shock waves appeared [1]. The outstanding importance of this paper is not just that it solved the particular problem in an elegant way, but it opened the view to a much broader class of selfsimilar solutions in gas dynamics. Guderley discussed the general pattern of these solutions, but time was premature then for a detailed assessment of each individual branch. Now over the last 10 years, research on inertial confinement fusion (ICF) has triggered specific new interest in this problem. The concept of spherical implosion of small target spheres [2] leading to very high compression (≥10^6 times solid density) and high temperatures (ignition temperature of DT fuel ≥5 keV) exploits the singular behaviour of spherical implo\ding waves near the center, and self-similar waves represent a basic approach to the gasdynamical part of the problem. Several papers on isentropic selfsimilar compression to high densities have been published. In particular, Kidder’s analytical solution for homogeneous compression [3] has played a considerable role in clarifying general features of the process. Its derivation in Lagrangian coordinates as given by Kidder is remarkably simple, but leaves the relation to other selfsimilar waves obscure.

It is the intention of this paper to show the generic relations between the different isentropic and non-isentropic selfsimilar waves, imploding and ex-
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plane shock in a medium with decreasing density (power law distribution) has been treated by Sakurai [20] (1960). The problem of a collapsing bubble turned out to be of Guderley's type as shown by Hunter [21] (1960). Several authors have determined the selfsimilarity exponent of the imploding shock as a function of the specific heat ratio \( \gamma \) by approximate methods [22–24] and numerical calculations [25, 26]. A rather detailed study of the different \( \gamma \) regions for shock and bubble implosions has been performed by Brushlinski and Kazhdan [27] (1963). The linear stability of various selfsimilar spherical waves with respect to spherically asymmetric perturbations (e.g. Rayleigh-Taylor instability) has been investigated recently, in particular by Kidder [4], Bernstein and Book [28–30], and by Brushlinski [31]. An assessment of this work would be beyond the scope of this paper.

A further comment is made on the general nature of selfsimilar solutions. It has been emphasized by Barenblatt [13] that these solutions are more than just incidental particular solutions which happen to be simple, but that they represent important asymptotic solutions in a certain sense which Barenblatt calls “intermediate asymptotics”. In the case of Guderley's shock solution this implies that a large class of non-selfsimilar spherically imploding waves with rather general boundary conditions outside and a shock front propagating into unperturbed gas at the inner boundary approaches the selfsimilar solution asymptotically for radii \( r \) and times \( t \) close enough to the collapse point \( r = 0 \) and \( t = 0 \). On the other hand, shock velocity and strength as well as temperature behind the front and other quantities are diverging upon spherical convergence in Guderley's solution. This is certainly an unphysical behaviour and will be limited e.g. by heat conduction, radiation and other processes which are neglected in pure gas dynamics as considered here. For this reason real shock implosions will deviate from the selfsimilar solution also in the centre and a small region surrounding it. It is therefore typically an intermediate region where the selfsimilar solution is approached by more realistic, non-selfsimilar solutions and this leads to the term “intermediate asymptotics”. In most cases it is very difficult to determine the regions of intermediate asymptotics in a general way since usually nothing general is known about the larger class of non-selfsimilar solutions. A remarkable attempt to gain some general insight in non-selfsimilar flow neighbouring selfsimilar flow in Guderley's problem has been made by Häfele [17]. But otherwise only numerical results based on finite difference schemes exist for comparisons. They have been studied extensively for ICF target implosions. It is interesting to see that salient features of such numerical implosion calculations are indeed well reproduced by selfsimilar solutions, and the concept of intermediate asymptotics appears to be useful, although no precise statements can be made so far. More work has to be done to establish the regions of intermediate asymptotics for spherical implosions more precisely.

In the following the different branches of selfsimilar solutions which may be approached in ICF target calculations are discussed. Although the basic equations have been derived at a number of places (see e.g. Refs. [1, 10–12, 14]), a brief derivation is repeated in Sect. 2 and the appendix. A new aspect is found in Sect. 2.2 by taking into account particle trajectories explicitly; this leads to a general theorem concerning ratios of density, pressure etc. on such trajectories. Algebraic integrals expressing mass and entropy conservation are derived in Section 2.3. The reduced differential equation is obtained in Section 2.4. Singular points and boundary conditions are discussed in Section 2.5. The particular solutions shown in the figures of the following sections have been obtained by numerical integration of the reduced equations in those cases where no analytic solution exists.

2. The Basic Equations and Guderley's General Solution

2.1. The Gasdynamical Equations and the Selfsimilarity Ansatz

The basic gasdynamical equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho u) + (n-1) \frac{q}{r} u + (1/q) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho u) \right) p &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u) + u \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho u) \right) + (n-1) \frac{q}{r} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\rho u) \right) p &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

express conservation of mass, momentum and entropy. They are given here for plane \((n=1)\), cylindrical \((n=2)\) or spherical \((n=3)\) symmetry with a single spatial coordinate \( r \). The entropy function \( A = \rho / \rho' \) is chosen for an ideal gas with the adiabatic exponent \( \gamma \). For selfsimilar solutions
the equations of gas dynamics (1) reduce to a single ordinary differential equation. The selfsimilarity ansatz for the density $\rho(r,t)$, the velocity $u(r,t)$, and the sound velocity $c(r,t)$ (defined by $c^2 = \gamma p/\rho$) is chosen in the form

$$u(r,t) = \left(\frac{ar}{t}\right)U(\xi),$$
$$c(r,t) = \left(\frac{ar}{t}\right)C(\xi),$$
$$\rho(r,t) = \left(\frac{r^\alpha}{|a|}\right)Q(\xi),$$

where the selfsimilarity coordinate is defined as $\xi = r/|a| t$. The selfsimilarity exponent $\alpha$ and the density exponent $\gamma$ are free parameters. It is assumed that radius $r$ and time $t$ are measured in units $r_0$ and $t_0$, and velocities in units $r_0/t_0$. For singular waves like an imploding spherical shock wave converging to a point $r = 0$ at $t = 0$ or an outgoing shock emerging from $r = 0$ at $t = 0$ in a point explosion, the ansatz (2) and (3) is very useful since the shock front moves on a line of constant $\xi$ under certain conditions. These are the selfsimilar waves considered in this paper. For example, the shock front of a strong point explosion in a uniform gas travels along $R_0 = \xi^{2/5}$ where the selfsimilarity exponent $\alpha = 2/5$ follows from simple dimensional analysis in this case. For illustration, lines of constant $\xi$ in the $r, t$ plane have been plotted in Figure 1. The $\xi$ lines emerge from $r = 0$, $t = 0$ symmetrically for $t < 0$ and $t > 0$; the time axis $r = 0$ corresponds to $\xi = 0$ and the radial axis $t = 0$ to $\xi = \infty$. Material boundaries such as a surface and characteristics may coincide with $\xi$ lines as well as shock fronts. This is discussed in the next section.

Here, we add a remark on the special form of ansatz (2) for $t = 0$ and a list of notations. At time $t = 0$, the variables of selfsimilar flow obey simple power laws

$$u(r,t = 0) = u_0 r^{-\lambda}, \quad c(r,t = 0) = c_0 r^{-\lambda/2},$$
$$\rho(r,t = 0) = \rho_0 r^\varepsilon, \quad p(r,t = 0) = p_0 r^{\mu/2},$$
$$A(r,t = 0) = p/\rho = A_0 r^{-\mu}$$

provided that the limits for $t \to 0$ exist. The constants are obtained from (2) with $|t| = (r/|a|)^{\lambda/\gamma}$ in the limit $\xi \to \infty$. Combinations of the basic parameters $n, \gamma, \alpha, \varepsilon$ appearing in (4) and throughout the paper are listed here, for reference:

$$\lambda = 1/\alpha - 1, \quad \varepsilon = \alpha(\gamma - 1) + 2 \lambda,$$
$$\mu = 2/(\gamma - 1), \quad \beta = n - \mu \lambda, \quad \nu = n \gamma + \varepsilon - 2 \lambda.$$

Isentropic flow occurs for $\varepsilon = 0$. Also note from (4) that selfsimilar flow is characterised by uniform Mach number $M_0 = u_0/c_0$ at $t = 0$.

### 2.2. Particle Trajectories and Characteristics

Before discussing the reduced equations, some important relations are derived which are a direct consequence of the selfsimilarity ansatz itself. Let us introduce trajectories $R(t, a)$ of gas elements where the Lagrangian coordinate $a = R(t_0, a)$ labels each element by its position at a suitable time $t_0$. Combining the equation for $R$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = u(R, t)$$

with the selfsimilar form (2) for $u(r, t)$, one finds after some algebra

$$\frac{d\ln R(\xi, a)}{d\ln \xi} = \frac{U(\xi)}{U(\xi) - 1},$$

where $R(\xi, a)$ is now interpreted as a function of $\xi$ and time follows from $|t(\xi, a)| = (R(\xi)/\xi)^{1/2}$. An illustrative example of a particle path is shown in Figure 1. From (6) it follows immediately that

$$U = 1$$

is the condition for a trajectory to coincide with a $\xi$ line. Selfsimilar motion of a free surface is there-
fore described by $U = 1$. Another important consequence of (6) follows from the fact that its right side does not depend on $a$:

$$R(\xi_1, a_1)/R(\xi_2, a_1) = R(\xi_1, a_2)/R(\xi_2, a_2) \quad (8)$$

(arbitrary $\xi_1, a_1, \xi_2, a_2$).

The ratio of positions $R(\xi, a)$ of a particle $a_1$ on different $\xi$ lines $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ is the same as for particle $a_2$ or any particle. Proportions of form (8) hold also for the particle’s density

$$\rho(\xi, a_1)/\rho(\xi, a_2) = \rho(\xi, a_1)/\rho(\xi, a_2) \quad (9)$$

and all other state variables like pressure $p$, temperature $T$ etc. where $\rho(\xi, a) = (R(\xi, a), t(\xi, a))$, etc. This is obtained from combining relations (2) and (8). These general proportions are very helpful for discussing properties of particular solutions in the following.

Characteristics $R^\pm (t, a)$ are defined by

$$dR^\pm/dt = u(R^\pm, t) \pm c(R^\pm, t). \quad (10)$$

Applying the same transformations as to (6), one obtains

$$d \ln R^\pm/d \ln \xi = (U \pm C)/(U \pm C - 1). \quad (11)$$

From this it follows that characteristics $R^\pm$ coincide with $\xi$ lines exactly when $U \pm C = 1$ is fulfilled. These limiting characteristics play an important role with respect to causality in the flows to be discussed. They divide flow regions which are in causal contact with the gas at $r = 0$, $t = 0$ from those which are not. For times $t < 0$ this is illustrated in Figure 1.

2.3. Conservation of Mass and Entropy

Inserting ansatz (2) and (3), the continuity equation in system (1) can be written in reduced differential form

$$dU + (U - 1) d \ln G + (n + \kappa) U d \ln \xi = 0. \quad (12)$$

Dividing (12) by $(U - 1)$ and taking into account (6), one obtains a complete differential with the integral

$$(1 - U(\xi)) \kappa G(\xi) R(\xi, a)^{n + \kappa} = K_1(a) \quad (13)$$

for $U < 1$ expressing conservation of mass. The constant $K_1$ is independent of $\xi$. The adiabatic integral $p/\rho^\gamma = a^{1 - \gamma} c^2/\gamma$ = const expressing conservation of entropy along particle trajectories as long as no shock passes reduces to

$$(R^\gamma C)^{1 - \gamma} (a(\xi)/R)^{1/\gamma} R C^2 = K_2(a). \quad (14)$$

The conservation laws (13) and (14) allow to express $G(\xi)$ and $R(\xi, a)$ as functions of $U(\xi)$ and $C(\xi)$ alone

$$G(\xi) = K_3(\xi^{1/\gamma} C(\xi))^{n(\gamma + \kappa)/\beta} (1 - U(\xi))^{(\kappa + \mu \lambda)/\beta}, \quad (15)$$

$$R(\xi, a) = K_4 a(\xi^{1/\gamma} C(\xi))^{-\mu/\beta} (1 - U(\xi))^{-1/\beta} \quad (16)$$

with $\mu = 2(\gamma - 1)$, $\beta = n - \mu \lambda$, $\lambda = 1/\alpha - 1$, and constants $K_3$ and $K_4$ which are independent of $\xi$ and $a$. Notice that $R(\xi, a)/a$ has to be independent of a due to (8). These algebraic relations for density and particle trajectories reduce the mathematical problem to one of finding $U(\xi)$ and $C(\xi)$.

2.4. The Reduced Differential Equation for $U$ and $C$

Complete selfsimilar reduction of system (1) by (2) and (3) and elimination of $\ln G$ by (12) gives finally the differential equations

$$a_1 dU + b_1 dC + d_1 d \ln \xi = 0, \quad a_2 dU + b_2 dC + d_2 d \ln \xi = 0 \quad (17)$$

with coefficients

$$a_1 = C/\mu, \quad b_1 = U - 1, \quad a_2 = U - 1, \quad b_2 = \mu C, \quad d_1 = C[U(1 + n/\mu) - 1/\alpha], \quad d_2 = U(U - 1/\alpha) + C^2[\mu(\kappa + \mu \lambda)/(\gamma(1 - U))], \quad (18)$$

where $\mu = 2(\gamma - 1)$, $\lambda = 1/\alpha - 1$. The remarkable feature of this reduction first noticed by Guderley is that the coefficients (18) depend, except for the fixed parameters $n, \gamma, \alpha, \kappa$, exclusively on the reduced velocities $U$ and $C$, but not on space-time variables, $r, t, \xi$. This means that one has to solve a single ordinary differential equation

$$dU/dC = A_1(U, C)/A_2(U, C) \quad (19)$$

with the determinants

$$A_1(U, C) = b_1 d_2 - d_1 b_2, \quad A_2(U, C) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 d_2. \quad (20)$$

Explicit expressions for $A_1$ and $A_2$ are given in the appendix. Having solved (19) for appropriate boundary conditions to obtain $U(C)$, the function $C(\xi)$ follows from

$$d \ln \xi/dC = A(U(C), C)/A_2(U(C), C) \quad (21)$$

with

$$A = a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2 = C^2 - (1 - U)^2 \quad (22)$$

by simple integration, and $U(\xi)$ correspondingly.
In general, (19) and (21) have to be solved numerically. Analytical solutions exist for a few important cases, and some of them will be presented in the following sections. A rather general overview over the solutions of (19) was given by Guderley in his 1942 paper, and his essential figure is reproduced here in Figure 2. It shows the solution curves in the $U, C$ plane for a special parameter set: $n = 3, \gamma = 7/5, \alpha = 0.75, \kappa = 0$. The arrows indicate the direction of increasing $\xi$. The selected sector of the $U, C$ plane contains all the different solutions discussed below and provides a unifying picture. The plane is shown under central projection such that points $U, C$ at infinity $U \rightarrow -\infty, C \rightarrow +\infty$ are mapped into the line $P_6P_7$; also coordinate lines $U = \text{const}$ intersect in $P_6$ and lines $C = \text{const}$ in $P_7$. The advantage of this mapping is that the important behaviour of the solutions at infinity are displayed explicitly. Solution curves $U(C)$ of (19) cannot intersect except at singular points where both determinants

$$A_1(U, C) = 0, \quad A_2(U, C) = 0$$

vanish. In the $U, C$ plane of Fig. 2 one finds seven singular points designated by $P_1$ to $P_7$ in Guderley's notation. The separatrices which connect the singular points and divide the $U, C$ plane in subregions are plotted as dash-dotted lines. Another important line is given by $U + C = 1$. On this line one has $\Delta = 0$ and therefore

$$d \ln \xi / dC = 0$$

due to (21) and (22) except at the singular points $P_1, P_2$ and $P_3$ where also $A_2 = 0$. Equation (24) implies that solutions $\xi(C)$ have an extremum when crossing the $U + C = 1$ line, and no single-valued inversion $C(\xi)$ exists. Such solution curves are rejected as unphysical. Physical solutions have to cross at the singular points.

Important solutions are given predominantly by the separatrices. Examples are:

1. the solitary separatrix $P_6P_2$ (the lower one in Fig. 2) representing central explosions and centrally reflected waves after implosion;
2. the separatrices $P_1P_5$ and $P_6P_5$ representing cumulative implosions where all matter finally collapses into a point;
3. the separatrix $P_4P_5$ or $P_4P_3P_1$ (for different parameters $\gamma, \alpha$) representing non-cumulative implosions of Guderley's type.

Before going into the detailed description of the particular solutions, a brief characterisation of the singular points $P_1$ to $P_7$ is given.

2.5. The Singular Points and the Shock Line

The condition (23) for the singular points is that the determinants (20)

$$A_1 = b_1 d_2 - d_1 b_2 = 0, \quad A_2 = d_1 a_2 - a_1 d_2 = 0$$

vanish simultaneously. This is satisfied for

1. $a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$, \quad (P_1),
2. $a_1/a_2 = b_1/b_2 = d_1/d_2$, \quad (P_2 and P_3),
3. $d_1 = d_2 = 0$, \quad (P_4 and P_5).

With the explicit form of the coefficients (18) one obtains the coordinates of the singular points.
Point $P_4$ is located at $U_4 = 0$, $C_4 = 0$. It is the boundary condition of a free surface, since it corresponds to a fixed gas element ($U = 1$, compare (7)) with vanishing density ($G_1 \to 0$ due to (15)) and vanishing pressure $p \sim C_1 C_s^2$.

Points $P_2$ and $P_3$ are located on the sonic line $U + C = 1$ where $\Lambda = a_1 b_2 - b_1 a_2 = 0$. They correspond to limiting characteristics (compare (10)). Physical solution curves connecting flow regions $U + C > 1$ with flow regions $U + C < 1$ have to cross the sonic line through $P_2$ or $P_3$. These points exist only for a limited region of the parameter space.

The quadratic equation to determine $U_{2,3}$ is discussed in the appendix.

Point $P_4$ is located at $U_4 = 0$, $C_4 = 0$. In the neighbourhood of this point (19) reduces to $dU/dC \Rightarrow U/C$ showing that it is a proper node point. Solution curves come in on straight lines with slopes given by the Mach number $M = U/C$. Equation (21) reduces to $d \ln \xi/dC \Rightarrow \alpha/C$ with the integral

$$\xi \sim 1/C^2 \text{ for } C \to 0$$

showing that $P_4$ corresponds to $\xi = \infty$ (assuming $\alpha > 0$) and describes the flow for $r \to \infty$ at times $t \neq 0$ as well as for $t = 0$ and $r > 0$.

Point $P_5$ is located at

$$U_5 = \frac{(\mu/(n + \mu))}{(1/\alpha)},$$

$$C_5 = U_5 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu}}$$

for isentropic flow ($\varepsilon = 0$),

$$C_5 = (\sqrt{n/\mu}) U_5^2 (1 - U_5)/( (n - 2) U_5 + \alpha + 2 )^{1/2}$$

for non-isentropic flow ($\varepsilon \neq 0$).

Since $\Lambda_2 = 0$, but $\Lambda \neq 0$ for $P_5$ in general, one has $d \ln \xi/dC \to \pm \infty$ when approaching $P_5$ and $\xi$ tends either to $+ \infty$ or to $0$. Therefore $P_5$ describes boundaries either far outside at $r \to \infty$ as point $P_4$ or in the centre at $r = 0$.

Point $P_6$ is located at $C_6 \to \infty$ and $U_6$ finite. Its analytic structure is investigated in the appendix. Solution curves enter either along the solitary sepa-

raxtrix ($P_2 P_6$ in Fig. 2), which describes central exp-

losions with diverging temperature in the centre $r \to 0$, or they approach the $U = 1$ line (for $\varepsilon > 0$) and describe non-isentropic imploding shells.

Point $P_7$, located at $U_6 \to - \infty$ and $C_6$ finite, has no immediate physical significance and is only mentioned for completeness.

Shock point $A$. Shock discontinuities represent another important boundary situation, not describ-
ed by singular points. A shock front moving on a $\xi$ line, $R_s = \xi_s t^{1/\alpha}$, has the velocity $u_s = \alpha R_s/t$ or, in reduced form, $U_s = 1$. This allows to express the jump relations at a shock front in terms of the re-
duced quantities as given in the appendix. For a strong shock running into a gas at rest, one obtains

$$U_A = 2/(\gamma + 1),$$

$$C_A = \sqrt{2 \gamma (\gamma - 1)/(\gamma + 1)}$$

for the velocities behind the shock.

When varying the parameters $n, \gamma, \alpha, \varepsilon$, the points $P_2, P_3, P_5$ and $A$ change their position or become complex and disappear as points in the $U, C$ plane. The singular points may also interchange their individual character (e.g. saddle, node) when meeting each other. No attempt is made in this paper to discuss all possible cases. However, various situa-
tions which are important for spherical implosions are exposed by the examples given below.

3. The Taylor-Sedov Point Explosion and Related Solutions

As a first example the explosion solution corresponding to the lower separatrix $P_2 P_4$ in Fig. 2 is discussed. It describes strong central explosions in a uniform gas as well as centrally reflected waves which occur in spherical implosions. In both cases it has to be connecteed to an outer solution by a shock front. Different examples will be shown in Figs. 3 b, 5 b, 6 b and 7 b.

First, the general asymptotic form of the solution in the neighbourhood of the singular point $P_6$ is derived. The basic differential equation (19) has the form (for details see appendix)

$$\frac{dU}{dC} = \frac{(1 - U)}{C} \frac{n U + (\alpha - 2 \lambda)}{\gamma}$$

for $C \to \infty$.

Apparently, the solution curves $U(C)$ approach constant $U$ values for $C \to \infty$, either $U = 1$, a solution discussed in Sect. 6, or

$$U = -(\alpha - 2 \lambda)/n \gamma$$

which corresponds to the solitary solution curveapproaching $P_6$. Inserting (29) into (21), one obtains

$$\frac{d \ln \xi}{d \ln C} = -1/(1 + n \varepsilon/2 \nu)$$

with $\nu = (n \gamma + \alpha - 2 \lambda)$ and the integral

$$\xi \sim C^{-(1/n + \varepsilon/2 \nu)}$$

which shows that $\xi \to 0$ for $C \to \infty$ when approach-
ing $P_6$ on this line, provided that $\varepsilon n/2 \varepsilon > -1$ which
is fulfilled for the cases studied here. It is therefore a solution which includes the centre \( r = 0 \).

With (29) and (30), one obtains from (2) and (15) the expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(r, t) &= -a(x - 2\lambda)/n \gamma (r/t), \\
    \varrho(r, t) &\sim r^{n-\lambda}e^{\varrho/n}, \\
    T(r, t) &\sim r^{2\lambda}e^{\varrho/n}, \\
    p(r, t) &\sim r^{2\lambda}e^{\varrho/n} - \varepsilon a.
\end{align*}
\]  

(31)

which describe the flow asymptotically for \( r/t \to 0 \) and \( r/t > 0 \). A characteristic feature of this solution is that the pressure \( p \sim r^{x} \) is uniform in the centre, whereas the density \( \varrho \sim r^{n-\lambda} \) vanishes and the temperature \( T \sim r^{2\lambda} \) diverges in case that the entropy exponent is \( \varepsilon > 0 \). For the definition of the various exponents compare (5).

For the special parameters \( \alpha = 2/5, \kappa = 0, n = 3 \), the present solution represents the famous solution of a strong point explosion in a uniform gas which has been discovered independently by Taylor [8] and Sedov [9]. It is shown explicitly for \( \gamma = 5/3 \) in Figures 3a and 3b. Figure 3a shows a part of the \( U, C \) plane with the solution curve coming from \( P_6 \) and terminating in the strong shock point A with coordinates given by (27). It corresponds to the shock trajectory \( R(t, a) = \xi a \) of Eq. (35). The dashed line \( AP_4 \) indicates the jump to the unperturbed gas (\( U = C = 0 \)) in front of the shock. It has been shown by Sedov that there exists a closed integral of (19) in this case \( C^2 = (\gamma (\gamma - 1)/2) \cdot U^2 (U - 1)/(1 - \gamma U) \) due to energy conservation, and the solution can be given completely in analytical form [11, 32]. Distributions of density, pressure and velocity are plotted in Figure 3b.

Another interesting analytical situation is obtained for \( \kappa = 2\lambda \). In this case, the lower separatrix \( P_2P_6 \) coincides with the \( U = 0 \) axis, the gas in the centre is at rest and the relations (31) hold exactly. In particular the pressure is constant in space and time. This situation may occur as a result of a spherical implosion behind the centrally reflected shock and is of special interest for fusion applications. The isentropic case with \( \varepsilon = \kappa (\gamma - 1)/2 \lambda = 1 \) is discussed in Sect. 5 and the non-isentropic case with \( \varepsilon > 0 \) in Section 6.

4. Kidder’s Homogeneous Compression and Related Cumulative Solutions

In this section, it is shown that Kidder’s solution for homogeneous isentropic implosions is represented by the separatrices \( P_6P_5 \) (full sphere implosion [3]) and \( P_5P_5 \) (hollow sphere implosion [4]) in Guderley’s chart of solutions in Fig. 2, provided one chooses \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and \( \kappa = -3 \) in addition to \( n = 3 \) and \( \gamma = 5/3 \). Generalisations as discussed by Anisimov et al. [5] then follow for other values of \( \alpha \) and \( \kappa \). With \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and \( \kappa = -3 \), the entropy exponent (5) is \( \varepsilon = 0 \) and the corresponding flow is isentropic. According to (26), the singular point \( P_5 \) is located at

\[ U_5 = 1, \quad C_5 = 1/\sqrt{3} \]  

(32)

and the separatrix \( P_5P_6 \) in the \( U, C \) plane falls into the \( U = 1 \) axis as shown in Figure 4a. This is easily checked from (18) — (20). In Sect. 2.2 it has been derived that particle trajectories \( R(t, a) \) coincide with \( \xi \) lines for \( U = 1 \), and therefore one has \( \xi = R(t, a)/a \) to \( 1/2 = a/t_0^{1/2} \) where \( a \) is the particle’s position at time \( t = t_0 \), and one can write

\[ R(t, a) = a h(t), \]  

(33)

\[ h(t) = (-t/t_0)^{1/2} \]  

(34)

for \( t > 0 \). Relation (33) defines homogeneous flow and already proves the equivalence with Kidder’s solution. Its explicit form is obtained from (21)
Fig. 4 a. Kidder's solution for homogeneous compression in the $U, C$ plane. Parameters: $n = 3$, $\gamma = 5/3$, $x = 1/2$, $x = -3$. Full sphere implosion is described by line $P_0 P_5$, hollow sphere implosion by line $P_1 P_5$. At $P_5$ one has $\xi = \infty$.

which for the present parameters and $U = 1$ reduces to

$$d \ln \xi / dC = 3 C / (1 - 3 C^2)$$

(35)

and has the integral

$$C(\xi)^2 = (1 + k \xi^2) / 3 k \xi^2,$$

(36)

where $k$ is the integration constant. It is seen that $C \rightarrow 1/\sqrt{3}$ for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, and $P_5$ is a $\xi = \infty$ point in this case describing the flow far outside at $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The inner boundary $\xi = 0$ is reached with $C \rightarrow \infty$ at $P_0$ for $k > 0$, and in this case $P_0 P_2$ describes a full sphere. For $c(a, t) = a R(t, a) / C(\xi)$ one obtains with $x = 1/2$, $\xi = a/t_{c0}^{1/2}$ and (33), (34), and (36)

$$c^2(a, t) = c_0^2 (1 + \beta(a/R_o)^2) / h(t)^2,$$

$$c_0 = R_o / (2 t_c \sqrt{3 \beta}), \quad \beta = k R_o^2 / t_c,$$

and $R_o$ is the outer radius of the sphere at $t = -t_c$.

The solution for the hollow sphere is obtained from (36) with $k < 0$. The inner boundary is described by the singular point $P_1$ from where the solution curve starts with $C = 0$ and a finite value of $\xi$ and runs to $P_5$. Taking $k = -t_c / R_o^2$ and $c_0 = c(R_o, -t_c)$ where $R_1$ and $R_o$ are inner and outer radius of the hollow sphere at time $t = -t_c$, respectively, one obtains from (36)

$$c^2(a, t) = c_0^2 (a^2 - R_o^2) / (R_o^2 - R_1^2) \cdot 1 / h(t)^2$$

(38)

and the collapse time is related to the radii by

$$t_c = \sqrt{(R_o^2 - R_1^2) / 3 (2 c_0)}$$

(39)

in this case. Isentropic flow implies $\rho \sim c^{2/(\gamma - 1)}$ and $p \sim c^{2\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}$, and one has therefore for density and pressure

$$\rho(a, t) / \rho_0 = (c(a, t) / c_0)^2,$$

$$p(a, t) / p_0 = (c(a, t) / c_0)^5.$$

(40)

(41)

Equations (37) – (41) represent Kidder's solution. It is illustrated in Figure 4 b. It holds also exactly for non-selfsimilar time evolution [3]

$$h(t) = (-t / t_c (1 + t / 4 t_c))^{1/2}$$

which approaches selfsimilarity only for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Kidder's solution belongs to the cumulative implosions where all imploding matter finally collapses into the centre $r = 0$. It is now shown that all solutions running into the singular point $P_5$ for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ are cumulative. In the neighbourhood of $P_5$ one has

$$dR/dt = (a R / t) U_5 \quad \text{(near } P_5)$$

(42)

with $U_5 = \mu / (n + \mu) \cdot 1/2$ from (26) and the integral

$$R(t, a) \sim t^{\mu/(n+\mu)}.$$

(43)

This means that all particle trajectories $R(t, a) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0$, and the flow is cumulative.

Driving the gas by a piston which moves on one of the trajectories (43), say $R_a = R(t, a)$, the mechanical power of the piston acting on the gas is

$$P(t) \sim R_a^{n-1} \cdot p(R_a, t) \cdot u(R_a, t).$$

(44)

With the pressure at the piston

$$p(R_a, t) \sim (c(R_a, t))^{\gamma - 1} \sim ((R_a/t) C_2)^{\gamma - 1}.$$

(45)

(46)

R_a and $t_{1/2} = (R_a / t_{1/2})$ are shown. Density distributions $\rho(r, t)$ have been inserted as shaded areas at three times; the vertical extension of these areas gives the density.
the piston velocity

\[ u(R_a, t) \sim \left(\frac{R_a}{t}\right)^{\mu} \]

and (43) one derives from (44) the general law for the piston power [5]

\[ P(t) \sim |t|^{-(3\alpha + \mu)/(n + \mu)}. \tag{45} \]

One should notice that relations (43) and (45) hold for flows near \( P_5 \) and times \( t \to 0 \) for any \( n \) and \( \mu = 2/(\gamma - 1) \) and are independent of \( \alpha \) and \( \kappa \). Taking the spherical case \( n = 3 \) and a \( \gamma = 5/3 \) gas one obtains from (43)

\[ R(t, a) \sim |t|^{1/2}. \tag{43a} \]

showing that asymptotically for \( t \to 0 \) Kidder’s trajectories (33), (34) hold for any \( P_5 \) flow, and the corresponding power law (45) of the piston is

\[ P(t) \sim |t|^{1/|t|^2}. \tag{45a} \]

This is the power law which has been found for optimal isentropic compression of ICF targets by Nuckolls et al. [2] from a series of numerical implosion runs. Here it follows as a general law for cumulative flows with \( n = 3 \) and \( \gamma = 5/3 \). As we shall see in the next section it holds also approximately for non-cumulative flows for which the solution curve passes close to \( P_5 \).

It is apparent from Guderley’s chart in Fig. 2 that generalized cumulative solutions of Kidder’s type exist for parameters \( \alpha, \kappa \) chosen such that \( U_5 + C_5 > 1 \) and \( U_5 \leq 1 \). They may be isentropic or non-isentropic. In addition to the implosions discussed above, there are also solutions with a strong shock at the inner front running into undisturbed gas. They correspond to solution curves connecting \( P_5 \) with the strong shock point \( A \). All these cases have been discussed in the context of ICF target implosions by Anisimov and Inogamov [5].

5. Uniform Gas Compression

In this section, selfsimilar compression of an initially uniform, isentropic gas sphere into a finally uniform, isentropic gas sphere of arbitrarily high density is described. It corresponds to solution curves connecting the singular points \( P_2 \) and \( P_4 \) for \( t < 0 \), and to curves in the lower part of the \( U, C \) plane which are connected to the final uniform gas by a shock front, for \( t > 0 \). The curves are shown for \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \kappa = 0 \) in Figure 5 a. Compare also Figure 2. An illustration of how the gas elements move and how the density distribution evolves during compression is given in Figure 5 b. These solutions have been investigated by Ferro Fontan et al. [6] and by Rodriguez and Linan [7] and are discussed in some detail below.

The initial and final gas is at rest and corresponds to points on the \( U = 0 \) axis. For the uniform gas one has \( \kappa = 0 \), and isentropic compression with \( \varepsilon = \kappa (\gamma - 1) + 2 (1/\alpha - 1) = 0 \) then requires \( \alpha = 1 \). For these parameters, the singular point \( P_2 \) has moved to \( U_2 = 0, C_2 = 1 \) and serves as boundary point at the inner front of the compression wave. Since \( P_2 \) is a node point, a whole bundle of physical solution curves starts from \( P_2 \). They correspond to different degrees of final compression \( \varrho_0/\varrho_0 \). Four of them are shown in Figure 5 a. The limiting curve \( d \) is given by the separatrix \( P_2 P_3 P_4 \). Since it contains \( P_5 \), it is a cumulative solution with infinite compression. In contrast to Kidder’s case in Sect. 4, however, \( P_5 \) has now moved from the region \( U + C > 1 \) into region \( U + C < 1 \) and has changed from a node point into a saddle point when crossing \( U + C = 1 \). The curves \( a, b, c \) neighbouring \( d \) therefore do not run into \( P_5 \), but turn around and move to the \( \xi = \infty \) point \( P_4 \). The closer they approach \( P_5 \), the higher is the compression during this initial phase with \( t < 0 \). For \( t = 0 \) \( (\xi = \infty) \) when the compression wave has reached the centre, the state of the gas is uniform with a uniform velocity inwards, as given by (4). Selfsimilar flows containing the singular point \( P_4 \) can be continued from times \( t < 0 \) to times \( t > 0 \). This was first discovered by Guderley [1]. Having in mind \( u(r, t) = (a r/t) U(\xi) \), the sign of \( U \) has to change when the sign of \( t \) changes. The solution curves therefore continue for \( t > 0 \) in the lower half of the \( U, C \) plane. In the neighbourhood of \( P_4 \) which corresponds to regions \( r \to \infty \) the curves have the same Mach number \( M = U/C \) as the ones for \( t < 0 \). However, in the central region \( r \to 0 \), the imploding flow is now disrupted by an outgoing shock and the flow behind this shock is described by the separatrix \( P_4 P_2 \) which has been discussed already in Section 3. For the present parameters \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \kappa = 0 \), the central solution is simply a uniform gas. The location of the shock jump \( S_2 S_3 \) in Fig. 5 a is determined by the general shock conditions (A 8) and (A 9) given in the appendix.

The separation into two flow regions connected by a shock is clearly seen in the density distribution the shock is constant in space and time. The shock
for \( t > 0 \) in Figure 5 b. The gas in the centre behind is weak and has constant strength. The entropy of each incoming gas element is raised by the same amount so that the gas is transformed from one isentropic state into another. Actually, the entropy increase is very small. An upper limit is given by case d. One obtains numerically for the shock strength \( S = \rho_2/\rho_1 = 1.96 \), for the density jump \( \rho_2/\rho_1 = 1.48 \) and for \( A_2/A_1 = 1.016 \) in this case. Here, \( A = p/\rho^2 \) and the indices 1 and 2 refer to the gas in front of and behind the shock, respectively. The density ratio between the final state and the constant state at \( t = 0 \) is \( \rho_c/\rho(t = 0) = 5.90 \) in the limit d. It should be understood that the major part of the compression is achieved in the period \( t < 0 \) when the solution curve passes close to point \( P_5 \).

The closer this passage near \( P_5 \) is, the more the present solution approaches the cumulative flow described in Section 4. This includes that during the period of maximum compression at intermediate times \(-1 < t/t_0 < 0\) particle trajectories approximately follow parabolas \( R(t, a) \sim |t|^{1/2} \) as derived in (43 a). This behaviour is seen in Figure 5 b. During this intermediate period also the piston power follows \( P(t) \sim 1/|t|^2 \) as given in (45 a). However, the present selfsimilar flow behaves more smoothly at the start \( (t/t_0 = -1, \text{flow near } P_2) \) to avoid shock generation and at the end \( (t/t_0 = 0, \text{flow near } P_4) \) to avoid total collapse. Here, we add that the problem of completely adiabatic compression of a constant gas into a compressed constant gas which avoids the reflected shock has been treated numerically by Morreeuw and Saillard [34] using characteristics. Their solution is of course non-selfsimilar.

Concerning ICF target implosions, pulse shapes with \( P(t) \sim 1/|t|^2 \) behaviour are difficult to achieve with existing drivers. Also compression to a finally uniform gas is not an optimal situation for ICF applications. A non-isentropic final configuration with a high temperature region in the centre is preferable. A selfsimilar implosion with such properties will be discussed in the next section.

6. Guderley's Imploding Shock Wave and the Non-isentropic Collapsing Hollow Sphere

As a last case, Guderley's solution for a spherically imploding shock wave [1] is discussed and how it can be generalised to describe a non-isentropic collapsing hollow sphere leading to arbitrarily high compression with diverging temperature in the centre of the compressed gas [35].

The imploding shock solution is shown in Figs. 6 a and 6 b. For times \( t < 0 \), it connects the shock point A with the \( \xi = \infty \) point \( P_4 \) by passing the sonic line \( U + C = 1 \) through point \( P_4 \). For given parameters \( n = 3, \gamma = 5/3 \) and \( \kappa = 0 \), this solution exists only for a single value \( \alpha = 0.688 \) and is uniquely determined. Exponents \( \alpha \) for other values of \( \gamma \) are found in Refs. [25 – 27]. The solution for
$t > 0$ describing the flow after shock collapse in the centre is constructed in the same way as in Sect. 5 for the case of uniform gas compression. In Fig. 6a it consists of the line $P_4S_1$ for the outer flow region and the line $S_2P_6$ for the central flow region with the shock $S_1S_2$ connecting both regions. The dash-dotted line $AS_2$ represents gas states behind the shock which may be reached from points on $P_4S_1$ by the general shock relations (A 8) and (A 9) which are given in the appendix.

Trajectories of the ingoing and outgoing (reflected) shock front and three particle trajectories as well as three density profiles (inserted shaded areas) are shown in the $r, t$ diagram in Figure 6b. For large radii the densities converge to a finite value which is indicated at the right end of each profile. This value is independent of time and 9.47 times larger than the density $\rho_0$ of the unperturbed gas for the present parameters. The gas is compressed 4 times at the shock front and the additional density increase is due to adiabatic compression. At collapse time $t = 0$, the density is uniform, although velocity, pressure, etc. are not as one may check from (4). The Mach number is $M_0 = 0.956$ and the entropy exponent $\varepsilon = 0.907$. For times $t > 0$, the reflected shock is travelling outwards and the state of the gas in the centre behind the shock is approximately described by (31). The density vanishes in the centre due to $\varepsilon > 0$ and rises to a value of $32.0 \rho_0$ behind the shock front. This is the maximum density in Guderley's solution for $\gamma = 5/3$ and stays constant with the shock running outwards. Higher compression cannot be reached by a selfsimilar shock wave imploding in a $\gamma = 5/3$ gas. The reason is that the gas is strongly heated by the shock. This prevents further compression. The maximum compression, however, is a function of $\gamma$ and increases without limit for $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ (see [25, 26]). Distributions of temperature $T$ and pressure $P$ at $t/t_0 = 1$ are shown in the insert in the upper right corner of Figure 6b. The temperature diverges for $r \rightarrow 0$, whereas the pressure is almost uniform in the centre and rises slightly towards the shock front. The gas velocity behind the shock is directed outwards, whereas the gas in front of the shock is still flowing inwards.

We now turn to the case of a non-isentropic imploding hollow sphere. As it is shown in Fig. 7a, it corresponds to the same solution curve in the $U, C$ plane as the imploding shock wave described above except that now the condition to hit the shock boundary point A is dropped and the separatrix $P_4P_3$ is followed up to the node point $P_6$. Such solution curves exist for a broad range of parameters $\alpha$. 

---

(Inserts and diagrams as described in the text)
and \( \varkappa \) as long as \( P_3 \) exists and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). The plotted solution corresponds to \( \alpha = 0.7 \) and \( \varkappa = 3 \) again with \( n = 3 \) and \( \gamma = 5/3 \). Here, the important new feature is the density exponent \( \varkappa > 0 \). It implies density distributions which are sloping towards the inner surface as shown in Figure 7b. Such density profiles typically occur in ICF target implosions. The continuation of these solutions to times \( t > 0 \) is obtained in the same way as above for shock implosion. However, it turns out that depending on \( \alpha \) and \( \varkappa \) arbitrarily high compression can be achieved in the present case.

It has still to be shown that \( P_6 \) when approached along \( U = 1 \) (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 7 a) describes the inner surface of a hollow sphere. From (28) one finds \( \frac{d \ln |1-U|}{d \ln C} \approx -2 \frac{\nu}{\varepsilon} \) and the integral

\[
C = C_F (1-U)^{-e^{2\nu/\varepsilon}}
\]

for \( U \to 1, C \to \infty \). The \( \xi \) dependence near \( P_6 \) follows from \( dU/d \ln \xi \approx -\nu/\gamma \) and gives

\[
\xi = \xi_F \exp \left((1-U)\frac{\gamma}{\nu}\right)
\]

for \( U \to 1 \). Here, \( C_F > 0 \) and \( \xi_F > 0 \) are integration constants, \( \varepsilon \) and \( \nu \) are defined by (5). A more detailed derivation of (46) and (47) is given in the appendix. It is seen that the solution curves approach \( U = 1 \) for \( C \to \infty \) if \( \varepsilon/2 \nu > 0 \) and that \( \xi \to \xi_F > 0 \) at \( P_6 \) indicating that \( P_6 \) describes an inner front. From (15) one obtains with Eqs. (46) and (47)

\[
G(\xi) \sim 1/C(\xi)^2
\]

showing that the density \( \rho = r^\gamma G \) vanishes at \( P_6 \), whereas the temperature \( T \sim C^2 \to \infty \) diverges. A peculiar point is that the pressure does not vanish at \( P_6 \), but tends to a finite value \( p \sim C^2 G \to p_F > 0 \) at the front. Therefore the present solution does not satisfy the pressure boundary condition of a free surface. In fact, a free surface with diverging entropy will move in a non-selfsimilar way. Also, the gasdynamical description becomes invalid at such a front in general. Nevertheless, it is argued that the present selfsimilar solution represents an approximation to the actual gas flow in the sense of intermediate asymptotics [13]. A similar situation occurs in the problem of impulsive load on a plane surface where temperature and entropy diverge at the vacuum — gas interface. In the book of Zeldovich and Raizer [10] this case is studied in detail showing that the motion of the free surface is always non-selfsimilar, but that the flow at some distance behind the front approaches the selfsimilar solution rapidly. In the present case it has been checked that the front pressure of the selfsimilar solution is
small as compared to typical pressures inside the imploding shell [35] and that it tends to zero $p_s \sim (-\varepsilon)^{2(\mu-2)/\mu}$ for $(-\varepsilon) \to 0$ provided that $\varepsilon > 2 \lambda$. This indicates that the present solution represents a valuable approximation, at least for $\varepsilon > 2 \lambda$, a situation which is typically found in ICF target implosions of initially shocked hollow spheres [36]. For $\varepsilon \leq 2 \lambda$, a careful comparison with non-self-similar solutions would be necessary to substantiate the conjecture of intermediate asymptotics.

The evolution of density distribution in time as well as some particle trajectories are shown in Figure 7 b. It is important to observe that the gas elements implode with almost constant velocity, like freely flying matter. The front moves along $R_F = \xi_0 |t|^{0.7}$. The broken line $\xi = 1$ is the limiting characteristic corresponding to the singular point $P_3$ in the $U, C$ plane. Concerning the limiting characteristic, compare the discussion in Sects. 2.2 and 2.5. At time $t = 0$, the gas state is given by the power laws of (4); for the present parameters one has $\varrho = \varrho_0 t^3$ and the Mach number $M_0 = 7.09$. Due to the density slope the flow develops somewhat differently from Guderley’s case in Fig. 6 b for times $t > 0$. The outgoing shock moves much slower, and the flow behind the shock is still directed inwards further compressing the gas. This situation occurs for $\varepsilon > 2 \lambda$. Distributions of density, temperature and pressure are shown as insert in the upper right corner of Fig. 7 b and should be compared with Figures 3 b and 6 b. Since $\varepsilon > 0$, the temperature diverges in the centre. The final compression of gas elements between time $t = 0$ ($\xi = \infty$) and time $t = t_5$ ($\xi = \xi_5$) when the reflected shock has just passed is the same for each gas element due to (9). Numerically it turns out that this final compression ratio is approximately a function of Mach number $M_0$ alone and satisfies $\varrho_5/\varrho_0 \approx 2.4 M_0^{3.2}$. The corresponding relation for final pressure increase is $p_5/p_0 \approx 3.6 M_0^3$ (see Ref. [35]).

The formation of a hot region in the centre of the compressed gas is important for DT ignition in ICF target implosions [36]. This requires an entropy distribution increasing inwards as described by $\varepsilon > 0$. From the present solution one learns that in single shell target implosions such an entropy distribution is not produced by the reflected shock. The reflected shock has constant strength (compare Sect. 5), and its effect is only to raise the entropy of each incoming gas element by the same amount. The entropy profile with $\varepsilon > 0$ has to be generated before void closure ($t = 0$). We mention that this is achieved in ICF applications by initial shocks typically passing the target shell as a consequence of beam switch on. They produce increasing entropy towards the inner surface when running through sloping density profiles, e.g. a rarefaction wave [36]. This latter process is also described by a plane selfsimilar solution of Guderley’s shock type [20].

7. Summary and Concluding Remarks

The different branches of selfsimilar compression waves have been described with regard to applications for inertial confinement fusion. The unifying viewpoint has been adopted from Guderley’s original work on imploding shock waves. The general solution depends on four parameters:

1. the dimensionality $n$ (for spherical waves $n = 3$),
2. the adiabatic gas exponent $\gamma$ (for a monoatomic gas $\gamma = 5/3$),
3. the selfsimilarity exponent $\alpha$,
4. the density exponent $\varepsilon$.

The character of a particular flow is determined by the singular points $P_4$ to $P_6$ which are passed by the solution curve. They are shown on Guderley’s chart of solutions in Fig. 2 for a special set of parameters. The points $P_4$, $P_5$ and $P_6$ are of particular importance for imploding spheres and ICF applications. Points $P_4$ and $P_5$ correspond to $\xi = \infty$ and describe the flow at collapse time $t = 0$. Point $P_6$ describes the gas in the centre after collapse, i.e. the configuration in which fuel ignition and burn has to be achieved in ICF target implosions. In the following, essential features are summarised.

Cumulative flows in which a finite amount of matter is adiabatically compressed into a point are described by $P_6$. Adiabatic compression to very high densities is crucial for fuel confinement in ICF applications. The cumulative solutions (e.g. Kider’s homogeneous compression) are basic for understanding this process. As an important general result it is found that trajectories of cumulative flow are given by $R(t, \alpha) \sim \left| t^\mu/\mu \right|$ with $\mu = 2/(\gamma - 1)$ and that the driving power has to follow the singular pulse shape $P(t) \sim \left| t \right|^{-2(\beta + \mu)/3(\gamma + \mu)}$ asymptotically for $t \to 0$. 
Non-cumulative selfsimilar flows are obtained when the solution curve passes $P_4$. The unique feature of point $P_4$ is that it allows to connect solutions before collapse ($t<0$) to solutions after collapse ($t>0$). At time $t=0$ the wave front reaches the centre. For $t>0$ the solution contains an outgoing shock which is generated by wave reflection in the centre. It separates the flow into an inner gas region behind the reflected shock and an outer gas region which is still imploding.

The gas state in the centre behind the reflected shock is governed by $P_6$. Equation (31) gives the general asymptotic solution near point $P_6$. Outstanding features of combined $P_4$, $P_6$ flows (e.g. the uniform gas compression in Sect. 5, Guderley’s shock wave and the non-isentropic hollow sphere implosion in Sect. 6) are:

(a) almost constant implosion velocity of individual gas elements;

(b) uniform Mach number at collapse time $t=0$ which may be used to characterise the impoding wave, e.g. Guderley’s shock wave has $M_0 = 0.956$ for $n = 3$, $\gamma = 5/3$;

(c) constant strength of the reflected shock which implies that the entropy distribution over the incoming gas elements is not changed by the shock (except for adding a constant);

(d) approximately uniform pressure behind the reflected shock which is an important property for estimating energy gain of ICF targets [33] in a general way;

(e) a velocity field $u(r,t) \approx -\alpha(x-2\lambda)/(n\gamma)$ in the centre for $t>0$ with the gas contracting for $x>2\lambda$, expanding for $x<2\lambda$ and at rest for $x=2\lambda$; the parameter combination $(x-2\lambda)$, where $\lambda = (1/\alpha-1)$, is determined by the pressure distribution $p=p_0 r^{\alpha-2\lambda}$ at $t=0$;

(f) diverging temperature $T(r,t) \sim r^{-\nu/\nu}$ in the centre behind the reflected shock for $e>0$ where $e=x(\gamma-1)+2\lambda$ and $\nu=n\gamma+x-2\lambda$ (for cases of interest $\nu>0$); the exponent $e$ is determined by the entropy distribution of the imploding gas, $p/[\rho^\gamma] \sim r^{-e}$ at $t=0$.

Result (f) is important for understanding ignition in simple ICF targets. It says that the entropy profile required to form the hot ignition region in the centre of the gas has to be generated before collapse during implosion and therefore depends critically on driver pulse shape and initial shocks [36]. All results (a) – (f) are observed, at least qualitatively, in numerical ICF target calculations.

It is concluded that considerable qualitative insight into the gas dynamics of spherical implosions is obtained from the selfsimilar solutions which have been studied in this paper. The question, however, of how the present results are related to general non-selfsimilar implosions in a quantitative sense and to which extent and under which conditions they meet with Barenblatt’s conjecture of “intermediate asymptotics” is not yet answered. It is our feeling that these fundamental aspects of inertial confinement fusion have still to be studied in more detail and that the selfsimilar solutions presented here will form the basis for a deeper understanding of the gasdynamical aspects.

Appendix

The explicit form of the determinants $A_1$ and $A_2$ obtained by inserting the coefficients (18) into (20)

$$A_1 = U(1-U)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - U\right)$$

$$-C^2[nU + (x-2\lambda)/\gamma],$$

$$A_2 = C \left[ (1-U)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - U\right) + U(\lambda + (n-1)\cdot(U-1)) / \mu - C^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2\gamma} \cdot \frac{C^2}{(U-1)} \right].$$

The location of the singular points $P_2$ and $P_3$ is determined by the quadratic equation

$$(n-1)\gamma U^2 + [x-2\lambda - \gamma(n-1-\lambda)]U$$

$$- (x-2\lambda) = 0$$

(A3)

giving $U_{2,3}$ and $C_{2,3} = 1 - U_{2,3}$. Equation (A3) follows from $A_1(U,C) = 0$ setting $C = 1 - U$. It has real solutions for

$$\gamma(n-1-\lambda) - (x-2\lambda))^2$$

$$\geq -4\gamma(n-1)(x-2\lambda).$$

(A4)

Next, the point $P_6$ at $C \to \infty$ and $U$ finite is shown to be a singular point at infinity. The leading terms of $A_1$ and $A_2$ for $C \to \infty$ are

$$A_1 \approx -C^2[nU + (x-2\lambda)/\gamma],$$

$$A_2 \approx -C^3[1 + \epsilon/(2\gamma(1-U))].$$

(A5)

(A6)
Transforming $P_6$ with the help of
\[ S = 1/C, \quad M = U/C \]
into $P_6'$ at $S = 0, M = 0$, the differential equation (19) is transformed into
\[ \frac{dM}{dS} = \frac{M - M n + (x - 2 \lambda \gamma)}{S (1 + \varepsilon / (2 \gamma (1 - M / S)))} \quad (A 7) \]
using (A 5) and (A 6). Equation (A 7) has the structure $dM/dS = 0/0$ at $P_6'$. This identifies $P_6$ as a singular point. With expressions (A 5) and (A 6) one obtains
\[ \frac{dU}{dC} \cong \frac{1 - U}{C} - \frac{n U + (x - 2 \lambda \gamma)}{\varepsilon / 2 \gamma} \]
which may be written for $U \to 1$ in the form
\[ \frac{d \ln |1 - U|}{d \ln C} \cong \frac{n + (x - 2 \lambda \gamma)}{\varepsilon / 2 \gamma} = \frac{2 \nu}{\varepsilon} \]
leading to the integral (46) for the solution curves $C(U)$ near $P_6$. For the $\xi$ dependence one has
\[ \frac{dU}{d\ln \xi} \cong \frac{C^2 \left[ n U + (x - 2 \lambda \gamma) / \gamma \right]}{C^2 - (1 - U)^2} \cong \frac{\nu}{\gamma} \]
leading to (47).

The general jump conditions [37] at a shock discontinuity, which map the state $U_1$, $C_1$ in front of the shock into the state $U_2$, $C_2$ behind the shock, are given for the reduced quantities
\[ U_2 = 1 - \left[ \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} + \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \left( \frac{C_1}{1 - U_1} \right)^2 \right] (1 - U_1), \]
\[ C_2^2 = \frac{2 \gamma (\gamma - 1)}{(\gamma + 1)^2} (1 - U_1)^2 \]
\[ + \left[ 1 - 2 \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right] ^2 - 2 \frac{\gamma - 1}{(\gamma + 1)^2} \left( \frac{C_1}{1 - U_1} \right)^2 C_1^2. \]
The density jump follows then from
\[ G_2 / G_1 = (1 - U_1) / (1 - U_2). \]
