Differential equations for the auxiliary Green’s functions are obtained from (4.29) by scalar multiplication with the dual vectors (4.15). Having solved these equations by a perturbation series we can construct the coordinate independent Green’s functions that transform linearly under chiral transformation according to the prescription (4.14), e.g.

\[ G_{mn}^0(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!m!} \left( i \frac{2}{j} \right)^{n+m-2} (T^0, \ldots, T^{m-1})_n^m (T^1 \ldots T^j)_j^n \nabla_x \nabla_y \]

\[ \times G^{n+1} (x_1, \ldots, x_{m}, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \mid x_1 = \ldots = x_m = x \quad y_1 = \ldots = y_m = y \quad (4.31) \]

1 H. Lehmann and H. Trute, DESY preprint 72, 8 [1972].
3 H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. 170, 1659 [1968].
4 S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 175, 1580 and 1604 [1968].
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It is shown that the cluster expansion formalism previously developed as a basis for a theory of the ground state of correlated systems may be generalised in such a way as to enable the application of the generator coordinate method in the presence of singular interactions, thereby providing also a theory for excited states.

A new interest in the Jastrow method seems to be developing. Investigations of the ground state of correlated Fermi systems, based on cluster expansion formalisms, have been performed by the present authors 1–3, and by others 4–6. We feel that cluster expansions are also useful for investigating excited states, in particular for extending the generator coordinate method or the random phase approximation (RPA) to systems containing singular interactions.

In the present note we describe a method by which the cluster expansion formalism considered in References 2, 3 may be applied to the generator coordinate method to systems containing short range correlations due to the hard core of the two-body force. In Refs. 1–3 a wave function of the form

\[ | \Phi \rangle = \exp S | \Phi_0 \rangle \]

where \( | \Phi_0 \rangle \) was a Slater determinant,

\[ | \Phi \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{N} a_i^{| \alpha_i \rangle \mid 0 \rangle } \]

and \( S \) was a two-body operator has been considered. In order to describe deviations from equilibrium we will allow \( S \) to contain a one-body part. Therefore we write

\[ S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=1}^{N} (m | s | i) a_{m} a_{i} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m+n} (m | n | j) a_{m} a_{n} a_{j} a_{i} \quad (3) \]

where \( | i j \rangle \) and \( | m n \rangle \) are non antisymmetrized states. We use the letters \( i, j, k, \ldots \) to refer to occupied states (holes), the letters \( m, n, p, \ldots \) to refer to unoccupied states (particles), and the greek letters \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots \) to refer to either. The quantities \( (m | n | j) \) are functions of the quantities \( (m | s | i) \) which may be determined by the prescription that the expectation value of the Hamiltonian \( H \) should be a minimum for fixed values of \( (m | s | i) \). In order to write down cluster expansions we define \( n \)-particle uncorrelated states.
\( |i\rangle = a^+_i |0\rangle \), \( |ij\rangle = a^+_i a^+_j |0\rangle \), \ldots \) (4)

and the corresponding unnormalized but correlated states

\( |\psi_i\rangle = e^S |i\rangle \), \( |\psi_{ij}\rangle = e^S |ij\rangle \). \( |\psi_i\rangle = e^S |i\rangle \), \( |\psi_{ij}\rangle = e^S |ij\rangle \) (5)

The kets \( |ij\rangle \) are related to the non-antisymmetrized

\[ \zeta_{ik,jl} = \langle \psi'_{ik} | \psi'_{jl} \rangle - \zeta_{i,j} \zeta_{k,l} + \zeta_{k,l} \zeta_{i,j} \]

\[ = \langle i \mid \{ (1 + s_1^+)(1 + s_2^+) + f_{12}^+ \} \{ (1 + s_1)(1 + s_2) + f_{12} \} \mid j \rangle \]

\[ h_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | H | \psi_j \rangle = \langle i \mid (1 + s^+)(1 + s) \mid j \rangle \] (6)

We also define the cluster integrals, which may contain differently deformed states on the left and on the right hand sides of the matrix elements and scalar products,

\[ \zeta_{i,j} = \langle \psi'_i | \psi'_j \rangle = \langle i \mid (1 + s^+)(1 + s) \mid j \rangle \] (7)

Making use of the methods developed in Refs. 2, 3, the cluster expansions of the overlap integrals may be written, up to second order clusters,

\[ \langle \psi' | H | \psi' \rangle = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} \gamma_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} h_{ijkl} \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \] (8)

\[ \log \langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = \text{trace}(log \zeta_1 + \text{trace}(log \gamma_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} h_{ijkl} \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \] (9)

where

\[ h_{ijkl} = \langle \psi'_{ik} | H | \psi'_{jl} \rangle - h_{ij} \zeta_{k,l} - h_{ij} \zeta_{k,l} h_{k,l} + h_{ik} \zeta_{k,l} \]

\[ = \langle i \mid \{ (1 + s_1^+)(1 + s_2^+) + f_{12}^+ \} \{ (1 + s_1)(1 + s_2) + f_{12} \} \mid j \rangle \]

\[ \gamma_{i,j} = \sum_{k} \gamma_{i,k} \gamma_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} \gamma_{i,k} \gamma_{h,k} \gamma_{g,h} \gamma_{k,j} \] (10)

\[ \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k} \delta_{i,k} \gamma_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} \delta_{i,k} \gamma_{h,k} \gamma_{g,h} \gamma_{k,j} \] (11)

We minimize Eq. (15) by introducing a Lagrange multiplier \( \epsilon_{i,j} \) corresponding to Equation (16). In this way we obtain the following equations which determine the quantities \( (m | s | i) \) and \( (i | f^* | m) \) [as functions of the quantities \( (m | s | i) \) and \( (i | s^* | m) \)],

\[ \sum_{ij} [\langle m \mid \gamma_{i,j} \mid n \rangle \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \gamma_{j,i} \gamma_{i,k} \gamma_{j,i} \] (12)

\[ \text{log} \langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = \text{trace}(log \zeta_1 + \text{trace}(log \gamma_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} h_{ijkl} \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \] (13)

\[ \gamma_{i,j} = \sum_{k} \gamma_{i,k} \gamma_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} \gamma_{i,k} \gamma_{h,k} \gamma_{g,h} \gamma_{k,j} \] (14)

\[ \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k} h_{i,k} \gamma_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} h_{ik} \gamma_{h,k} \gamma_{g,h} \gamma_{k,j} \] (15)

If \( s_i = s'_i \) and \( f_{ij} = f'_{ij} \), so that \( |\psi'\rangle = |\psi'\rangle \), then Eqs. (12) and (13) give us the expectation value of the energy and the logarithm of the norm of the correlated wave function \( |\psi'\rangle \). When we wish to express the fact that the quantities \( h_{i,j}, h_{ik,jl}, \zeta_{i,j}, \zeta_{ik,jl} \), \( \gamma_{i,j}, \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l}, \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \), \( \gamma_{i,j}, \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l}, \gamma_{i,j} \gamma_{k,l} \), \ldots \) have been computed with \( s_i = s'_i \) and \( f_{ij} = f'_{ij} \), we will write a bar over them. For instance: \( h_{i,j}, \zeta_{i,j}, \ldots \). In this way the expectation value of the energy becomes

\[ E = \frac{\langle \psi' | H | \psi' \rangle}{\langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle} = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} \bar{\gamma}_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} h_{ijkl} \bar{\gamma}_{i,j} \bar{\gamma}_{k,l} \] (16)

\[ \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{i,k} \bar{\gamma}_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} \bar{\gamma}_{i,k} \bar{\gamma}_{h,k} \bar{\gamma}_{g,h} \bar{\gamma}_{k,j} \] (17)

\[ \delta_{ij} = \sum_{k} \bar{\gamma}_{i,k} \bar{\gamma}_{k,j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ghk} \bar{\gamma}_{i,k} \bar{\gamma}_{h,k} \bar{\gamma}_{g,h} \bar{\gamma}_{k,j} \] (18)

\[ h_{i,j} = \sum_{g} \bar{\gamma}_{i,g} \bar{\gamma}_{g,j} \] (19)

\[ \bar{\gamma}_{i,j} \bar{\gamma}_{k,l} = (1 + s_1 + s_2 + f_{12}) \bar{\gamma}_{i,j} \bar{\gamma}_{k,l} \] (20)

As a simplifying approximation we replace now in Eqs. (17) and (18) the quantities \( \bar{\gamma}_{i,j} \) by their values \( \bar{\gamma}_{i,j}(0) \) corresponding to \( (m | s | i) = 0 \) and we as-
sume that the quantities $\epsilon_{i,j}(0)$ are diagonal

$$\epsilon_{i,j}(0) = \epsilon_{i,j}^{(0)} \delta_{ij}.$$  

The quantities $\epsilon_{i,j}^{(0)}$ are, therefore, the self consistent energies for occupied states in the ground state. Equation (17) becomes, then,

$$\langle m n \mid (t_1 + t_2 + v_{12} - \epsilon_{i,j}^{(0)}) (1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2 + f_{12}) \mid i j \rangle = 0.$$  

For an infinite system, when the kinetic energy $t$ is diagonal

$$t \mid n \rangle = \tau_n \mid n \rangle.$$  

Equation (20) may also be cast in the form

$$\mid \psi_{ij} \rangle = (1 + s_1 + s_2) \mid i j \rangle - (Q/e) v_{12} \mid \psi_{ij} \rangle$$

where

$$Q = \frac{\sum_{mn} \tau_m + \tau_n - \epsilon_{i,j}^{(0)} - \epsilon_{j,i}^{(0)}}{e}.$$  

Formally, we also have, therefore

$$\mid \psi_{ij} \rangle = (1 + (Q/e) v_{12})^{-1} (1 + s_1 + s_2) \mid i j \rangle.$$  

The generator coordinate method requires the computation of the overlap integrals $\langle \psi' \mid H \mid \psi' \rangle$ and $\langle \psi' \mid \psi' \rangle$. The methods of Refs. 2, 3 are also appropriate to compute these quantities

$$K(s', s) = \langle \psi' \mid H \mid \psi' \rangle / \langle \psi' \mid \psi' \rangle$$

and

$$\Phi(s', s) = \log \langle \psi' \mid \psi' \rangle,$$

where $s$ is some parameter or set of parameters specifying the operators $s_i$. In terms of these quantities the integral eigenvalue equation of the generator coordinate method may be written

$$\int \left[ K(s', s) - E \right] e^{\Phi(s', s)} f(s) \, ds = 0.$$

One obtains

$$\langle \psi' \mid H \mid \psi' \rangle / \langle \psi' \mid \psi' \rangle = \sum_i \epsilon_i^{(0)} + \sum_{ij} (h_{ij,j} - \epsilon_{i,j}^{(0)}) \gamma_j, i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} [h_{ik,kl} - (\epsilon_i^{(0)}) \gamma_{ij,kl}] \gamma_k, l \gamma_l, i$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (i k \mid 1 + s_1^+ + s_2^+) \Omega v_{12} (1 + s_1 + s_2) \mid j l \rangle \gamma_j, i \gamma_l, k.$$  

log $\langle \psi' \mid \psi' \rangle \equiv \sum_{ij} \langle i \mid s_i^+ s_j \mid j \rangle \gamma_{ij,kl}$

+ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \langle i k \mid (1 + s_1^{+} + s_2^{+}) \Omega^* \Omega (1 + s_1 + s_2) \mid j l \rangle$

= $\langle i k \mid (1 + s_1^{+} + s_2^{+}) (1 + s_1^+ + s_2^+) \mid j l \rangle \gamma_{ij,kl}$

It seems appropriate to replace, in these expressions, the matrix elements $\gamma_{ij}$ by their values corresponding to zero deviation from equilibrium. However, the next order corrections are also easily computed, if necessary. For this purpose we write

$$\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} \delta_{ij} + \delta \gamma_{ij}.$$  

We then obtain the following equation for $\delta \gamma_{ij}$

$$\delta \gamma_{ij} \equiv - \sum_k \langle \delta \chi_{ik,jh} \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \sum_k \chi_{ik,jh} \delta \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} \chi_{ik,jh} \rangle \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} \gamma_{ij}^{(0)}$$

where $\gamma_{ij}^{(0)}$ are the ground state occupation factors, $\chi_{ik,jh}$ is the value of $\chi_{ik,jh}$ for the ground state, i.e., for $s_i = 0$, and

$$\delta \chi_{ik,jh} = \chi_{ik,jh} - \chi_{ik,jh}.$$  

It would now be a simple matter to generalise the RPA for a system containing short range correlations, using, for instance, the method of JANCOVICI and SCHIFF 7. It is however obvious that, to lowest order in the two-body cluster integrals, the equations obtained would be of the Tamm-Dancoff and not of the RPA type.

---
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The construction of subalgebras of the set of bilinear products of fermion operators which allow the formation of physically relevant solvable models is investigated. The subalgebras are assumed to be semisimple, hermitean closed and compatible with angular momentum. One obtains two classes of subalgebras, i) the wellknown set of spherical tensors of rank zero and ii) a new class of subalgebras which contain the angular momentum operators. The construction procedure for subalgebras of the second kind is presented in detail (hereby, one starts with the abstract type of the subalgebra and defines the physical model space by the carrier space of a representation) and a number of examples, which provide models not known from the literature, is given.

I. Introduction

The investigation of solvable models is a useful tool for studying the structure of the solutions of the many body problem and to test the validity of different many body approximation techniques. Examples of models suitable for such applications are the pairing Hamiltonian\(^1\), Elliott's su(3) model of light nuclei containing a quadrupole force\(^2, 3\), Lipkins two shell model with monopole forces\(^4\) or pairing plus monopole forces\(^5\) and Agassi's four shell model with monopole forces for protons and neutrons\(^6\).

All these models have their basis in the existence of suitable subalgebras of the Lie algebra \(L_N\) generated by the bilinear products of creation and annihilation operators [of the type so \((2N)\) * if a finite number \(N\) of such operators is considered]. This allows to obtain rigorous solutions by group theoretical methods.

In fact, most of the group theoretical techniques of the many body problem are based on such subalgebras. Consequently, the problem of constructing physically relevant subalgebras of \(L_N\) has been discussed extensively in the literature in connection with applications in atomic and nuclear physics. Besides to the more schematic examples quoted in the beginning we refer to the following works. For pure configurations (i.e. a Fock space defined by given shell combinations) and by working out suitable chains of subalgebras of so \((2N)\) [or \(u(N)\)] ending with the angular momentum algebra so \((3)\).The elements of each of these subalgebras may be used to define model interactions (which may be relevant parts of the realistic interactions) and to define classifications of the many body states. For the case of mixed configurations it is, however, difficult to obtain in this way a systematic survey on all subalgebras suitable for the construction of physically relevant models.

It is the main purpose of this paper to show that such a survey can be provided by inverting the described construction procedure. This yields an infinite number of different models and one has to decide from case to case, whether the construction has physical meaning in terms of mixed configurations.

In order to make clear this construction, we first analyse the mathematical properties of physically relevant subalgebras (Section II). We derive how

* We use the notation of Helgason\(^7\) for characterizing Lie algebras.
by natural, physically motivated restrictions (semi-
implicity, compactness, compatibility with angular
momentum) one is lead to two types of subalgebras,
namely either to the set of all bilinear products which
commute with the angular momentum or to such
subalgebras which contain the angular momentum
operators. For the construction of subalgebras of the
first type one may proceed in the usual way by
starting from a given configuration. Section III gives
a brief survey of the structure of such subalgebras
yielding in general wellknown models.

In Section IV we describe the construction of
subalgebras of the second type. Herefore, we start
with the abstract type of the subalgebra, we define
the Fock space by the carrier space of a representa-
tion (which can be chosen), and we specify the angu-
lar momentum structure of that Fock space by a spe-
cial subalgebra [of the type so(3)] of the abstract
Lie algebra (which again can be given arbitrarily).

In Section V some details of the structure of these
subalgebras are analysed. We present a number of
examples of subalgebras of the second type in terms
of their abstract characteristics.

Our aim is to construct subalgebras \( L \) of \( L_N \) (i.e.
subspaces of \( L_N \) which are closed with respect to the
formation of commutators) which are, on the one
hand, simple enough to be treatable by standard
group theoretical methods and, on the other hand,
allow the construction of physically relevant op-
erators (model hamiltonians) with the help of suit-
able polynomials in elements of \( L \).

The first condition will be fulfilled if \( L \) is semi-
simple. The theory of such Lie algebras, especially
that of their representations is well developped (see
e.g. Refs. 7–19, 20). Also we will assume that \( L \) is her-
mitian closed, i.e. \( L^* = L \). Physically, this guaran-
tees the possibility to construct hermitean operators.
Mathematically, this assumption has the consequence
that \( L \) constitutes a representation of an (abstract)
semisimple Lie algebra in its compact real form
which is unitary with respect to the physically given
scalar product of the Fock space.

In the notation of HELGASON 7, this abstract type
of \( L \) is given by \( \text{so}(n) \), \( \text{su}(n) \) or \( \text{sp}(n) \) (or the
exceptional algebras, see Cartan’s list 7) and by di-
rect sums of such Lie algebras.

A main restriction on \( L \) will result from the
necessity of physical relevance. A basical condition
any model has to fulfil is rotational invariance.
Therefore, we will postulate that \( L \) should allow the
generation of operators which commute with angular
momentum. In order to guarantee this we must first
specify the angular momentum structure of \( L_N \).

Let us assume that the single particle space is
closed with respect to angular momentum, i.e. the
quantum number \( a \) can be specified as
\[
\alpha = k \ell m
\]
\[
(m = -j, \ldots, j, \ k = 1, \ldots, n_j, \ j = j_1, \ldots, j_5).
\] (2)

It is wellknown that \( L_N \) can then be decomposed into
spherical tensors (tensor operators) 21
\[
L_N = \left( A^\alpha_{\mu M} \ , \ M = -J, \ldots, J, \mu = 1, \ldots, \mu_J , \ J = J_1, \ldots, J_4 \right)
\] (3)
where the operator \( A^\alpha_{\mu M} \) are, in a suitable enumera-
tion, the coupled operators 15, 21–23
\[
(a_{\ell j}^\alpha a_{\ell' j'}^\alpha - a_{\ell' j'}^\alpha a_{\ell j}^\alpha)^{J M} \ , \ (a_{\ell j}^\alpha a_{\ell' j'}^\alpha a_{\ell j'}^\alpha)^{J M} \ , \ (a_{\ell j}^\alpha a_{\ell' j'}^\alpha a_{\ell' j'}^\alpha)^{J M}.
\]
For any infinitesimal rotation \( e \) [i.e. \( e \in \text{so}(3) \)] and
its representation \( d(e) \) on the Fock space we have
then that
\[
[d(e), A^\alpha_{\mu M}] = \sum_{M'} d^J_{M M'}(e) A^\alpha_{\mu M'}.
\] (4)
(We denote by $d^J$ the standard irreducible representation of $\text{so}(3)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2J+1}$.)

We now impose in the following the condition on $L$ that also this subalgebra of $L_N$ is completely decomposable into spherical tensors, i.e. there exist $B^J_{\nu}M$ such that

$$L = (B^J_{\nu}M, M = -J, \ldots, J, \nu = 1, \ldots, v_J, J = J_1, \ldots, J_B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where the operators $B^J_{\nu}M$ are certain linear combinations of the operators $A^J_{\mu}M$ with $J, M$ fixed.

This guarantees that, up to second order, the following operators are rotational invariant, i.e. commute with all $d(e) \in \text{so}(3)$:

$$B^{J_1}_{\nu_1} \ldots B^{J_B}_{\nu_B} m$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The above assumption ($L$ semisimple, conditions (5)), i.e. $[d(e), L] = 0$ now lead, by the application of standard techniques of the theory of Lie algebras, to the following structure of $L$:

There are two alternatives

i) $L$ commutes with all $d(e) \in \text{so}(3)$.

ii) All $d(e)$ are contained in $L$, if the Fock space is suitably restricted, i.e. if one takes into account for the definition of $L_N$ a suitable subset of the creation and annihilation operators such that the elements of $L$ remain unaltered.

The proof, which is provided by a generalization of the wellknown theorem, that any derivation of a semisimple Lie algebra is inner, will not be given here. It is not necessary for our further construction of physically relevant subalgebras of $L_N$, it is only interesting in order to judge the generality of these constructions. Given the two alternatives i) and ii), a systematic construction of corresponding subalgebras $L$ is now possible. Hereby, for the first case, $L_N$ can be given, whereas in case ii) the type of shell combinations [Eq. (2)] has to chosen suitably, and it has to be checked later whether physically interesting systems can be described in the corresponding Fock spaces.

### III. The Centralizer of the Angular Momentum

We first discuss briefly the subalgebras of type i). From $[d(e), L] = 0 \in \text{so}(3)$ we see that the maximum subalgebra of this type is given by the centralizer $z_a$ of the angular momentum, i.e. by the set of all elements of $L_N$ which commute with all $d(e) \in \text{so}(3)$. Any other $L$ obeying i) is then a subalgebra of $z_a$. Equation (4) tells us that $z_a$ consists of all spherical tensors of rank zero, i.e.

$$z_a = (\Delta^0_\mu, \mu = 1, \ldots, \mu_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Taking into account the $kjm$ classification of the single particle states, we obtain for $z_a$ the direct sum decomposition

$$z_a = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k z_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

with

$$z_j = \sum_m (a^{kjm}_{\nu}a^{k'jm'}_{\nu'} - a^{k'jm'}_{\nu}a^{kjm}_{\nu'}) \sum_m s_m a^{kjm}_{\nu}a^{k'jm'}_{\nu'},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$s_m = (-1)^j m.$$

It may be checked easily (see Refs. 21, 24, 25) that $z_j$ has the structure

$$z_j \cong \text{sp}(n_j) \text{ for halfinteger } j,$$

$$\text{so}(2n_j) \text{ for integer } j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Hereby, halfinteger $j$ means that $j$ and $d(e)$ correspond to the total angular momentum, integer $j$ means that we are treating $j$ and $d(e)$ as orbital angular momentum. Restricting $z_j$ to elements which commute with the particle number operator $N^{00} = \sum_{k,m} a^{kjm}_{\nu}a^{kjm}_{\nu'}$ and taking out $N^{00}$ itself yields a subalgebra

$$z_j^0 = \sum_m a^{kjm}_{\nu}a^{kjm'}_{\nu'} - \delta_{k,k'}|n_j N^{00} , k, k' = 1, \ldots, n_j \right) \cong \text{su}(n_j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$z_j$ and $z_j^0$ obviously are subalgebras of $L_N$ which fall into the category i). They are wellknown from the literature (see e.g. 21) and we only mention schematically some applications based on $z_a$.

In the case of halfinteger $j$ we have the pairing models for $n$ shells, which assume $n_j = 1$, $S = n$, the operators (6) giving the wellknown pairing interaction 1, 21. The monopole models for two shells 4 and four shells 6 exploit the possibilities $S = 1$, $n_j = 2$ and 4 by considering operators out of $z_j^0$. The pairing plus monopole model 5 is constructed by taking elements of $z_j$ for $n_j = 2$, $S = 1$. The operators of $z_j$ are also used for the classification of states. Thus, $z_j$ for $n_j = S = 1$ defines seniority 21, $z_j^0$ for $n_j = 1$, $S = 2$ may be interpreted as isospin 21, $z_j$ being a generalization of both concepts in this case 26.
For integer \( j \) (orbital angular momentum interpretation of \( d \)) and \( n_j = 4, S = 1, z_j \neq 4 \) yields the \( \text{su}(4) \) classification scheme for light nuclei (Wigner supermultiplet) which may be generalized to \( z_j \approx \text{so}(8) \) (see Ref. \( 28 \)).

For the atomic nucleus, one should mention the application of \( z_j \) in the case of \( n_j = 2, S = 1, \) as described e.g. in Ref. \( 15 \).

IV. Subalgebras Containing the Angular Momentum

We shall now describe the construction of subalgebras of \( L_N \) which are of the type ii) for simplicity, we will assume that \( L \) is build up only of elements which do not change the particle number, i.e. we will assume \( L \) to be subalgebra of \( L_N^0 \), where
\[
L_N^0 = (a_+ a_\beta - a_\beta a_+, a_\beta = 1, \ldots, N) \otimes u(N).
\]
More general subalgebras may be easily obtained, however, it has been not possible to find physically interesting examples.

Also, we will make use of the fact that the structure \( L_N^0 \otimes u(N) \) results from the (Lie algebra) isomorphism \( \sigma \) between \( N \times N \) matrices \( A_{a\beta} \) and \( L_N^0 \) given by (use summing convention over doubly appearing indices):
\[
\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2} A_{a\beta} (a_+ a_\beta - a_\beta a_+).
\]
Thus, \( L \) can be specified by some abstract Lie algebra \( \lambda \) (\( \lambda \) is a direct sum of Lie algebras of the type of Cartan’s list in their compact real form) and a special faithful unitary representation \( \varrho \) of \( \lambda \) on an \( N \)-dimensional complex space (the single particle space) such that
\[
L = \sigma \varrho(\lambda).
\]
Consequently, any possible \( L \) (of our restricted type) can be constructed by a suitable choice of \( \lambda \) and \( \varrho \), by defining the single particle space (generating the Fock space) by the carrier space of the representation \( \varrho \) and by defining the scalar product in that space so that \( \varrho \) is in unitary.

As a next step we shall make use of the fact that the angular momentum operators are contained in \( L \) (alternative ii). This will yield in our construction the angular momentum structure of the single particle space and a corresponding inner structure of \( L \).

In general, the angular momentum representation \( \varrho_a \) (\( \varrho_a \) yields, by decomposition into irreducible parts, the classification \( k j m \)) and \( \sigma \) through
\[
d = \sigma \varrho_a.
\]
By construction, \( \sigma \) and \( \varrho \) are faithful representations. In addition, we will assume that also \( \varrho_a \) is a faithful representation of \( \text{so}(3) \), i.e. that we have a non-trivial angular momentum structure in the single particle space. From the relation \( d[\text{so}(3)] \subseteq L \) (alternative ii) follows then that
\[
\varrho_a = \varrho|_{\lambda'} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda' = \varrho^{-1} \varrho_a \quad \text{[so (3)]},
\]
i.e. \( \varrho_a \) is the restriction of \( \varrho \) to a suitable subalgebra \( \lambda' \) of \( \lambda \) which is of the type \( \text{so}(3) \), the Lie algebra of rotations.

For the purpose of construction, we may now choose \( \lambda' \subseteq \lambda \) and define \( \varrho_a \) through Eq. (16), i.e. the angular momentum decomposition of the single particle space will be defined through the analysis of \( \varrho \) with respect to the subalgebra \( \lambda' \). A convenient characterization of the choice of \( \lambda' \) is obtained with the help of a special faithful representation of \( \lambda \) for which we take the fundamental representation \( \varrho \) \( 19,29 \). (This is the irreducible representation by \( n \times n \) matrices in the case of \( \text{so}(n) \), \( \text{su}(n) \) and \( \text{sp}(n/2) \) which define these Lie algebras.)

Summarizing and specifying more exactly, we define a class of subalgebras \( \lambda_a \) of \( L_N \) containing the angular momentum operators by the following prescriptions:
1) Choose any semisimple Lie algebra \( \lambda \) in its compact real form (abstract type of \( L \)).
2) If the carrier space of the (faithful) fundamental representation \( \varrho \) of \( \lambda \) has dimension \( n \), choose any faithful representation \( \varrho' \) of \( \text{so}(3) \) on \( C^n \) such that
\[
a) \varrho'(e) \in \varrho \varrho(\lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad e \in \text{so}(3)
\]
\[\text{[i.e. the matrices \( \varrho'(e) \) must be, e.g., real or symplectic, if \( \lambda \) is, e.g., \( \text{so}(n) \) or \( \text{sp}(n/2) \);]}
\[b) \text{the decomposition of \( \varrho' \) into irreducible parts}
\]
\[
\varrho' = d^i_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus d^i_r
\]
has the property that all \( l_k \) (\( k = 1, \ldots, r \)) are either simultaneously integer or simultaneously halfinteger.

[The reason for b) will become clear in 5.] This defines \( \lambda' = \varrho^{-1} \varrho' \text{[so(3)]} \) as subalgebra of \( \lambda \).
Having \( \mathcal{O}' \), \( q' \) is, in turn, given through the restriction of \( q_\mathcal{O} \) to \( \mathcal{O}' \):

\[
q' = q_\mathcal{O} |_{\mathcal{O}'}.
\]

3) Choose any faithful representation \( q \) of \( \lambda \) on an \( N \)-dimensional complex space, called \( S \).

4) Define the physical single particle space by \( S \) and choose the scalar product in \( S \) such that \( q \) ist unitary.

5) Define the angular momentum structure of \( S \), i.e. \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \), by that representation of \( q_\mathcal{O} \) which is given by the restriction of \( q_\mathcal{O} \) to \( \mathcal{O}' \). The decomposition of \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \) into irreducible parts

\[
q_{\mathcal{O}} = q_{\mathcal{O}} |_{\mathcal{O}'} = d^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus d^q \quad (18)
\]
defines the angular momentum quantum numbers (2) of the single particle states. The condition 2)b) guarantees that the \( j_k \) will be always either simultaneously integer or halfinteger allowing the physical interpretation of \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \) and \( d = q_{\mathcal{O}} \) to be either orbital or total angular momentum.

V. Structures and Examples of Subalgebras of Type ii)

For clarification and concreteness, we will now present the subalgebras of \( L_N \) containing the angular momentum in some more detail, we will give some additional structure and a number of examples.

Let us assume that \( \lambda \) has dimension \( q \) and that the compact real form of \( \lambda \) has a basis \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_3 \) so that \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \) constitute \( \mathcal{O}' = \text{so}(3) \) in the canonical way (i.e. that we have that \( [\lambda_k, \lambda_l] = \epsilon_{klm} \lambda_m \), \( k, l, m = 1, \ldots, 3 \)). We define further \( q_{\mathcal{O}}(\lambda_\mu) = X^\mu \), \( \mu = 1, \ldots, q \), where \( X^\mu \) are antihermitean complex \( N \times N \) matrices.

A basis of \( L \) is then provided by \( L_1 \ldots L_q \) with

\[
L_\mu = q_{\mathcal{O}}(\lambda_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} X_{2\beta \beta}^\mu (a_\beta^\dagger a_\beta - a_\beta a_\beta^\dagger). \quad (19)
\]
The angular momentum operators corresponding to an infinitesimal rotation around the \( k \)-axis are given by

\[
L_k = X_{2\beta \beta}^k a_\beta^\dagger a_\beta \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (20)
\]
(We used trace \( X^k = 0.0 \) ). Thus, the matrices \( X_{2\beta \beta}^k \) \( (k = 1, 2, 3) \) define the representation \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \), whose decomposition into irreducible parts \([\text{Eq. (18)}]\) yields the single particle angular momentum.

The decomposition of \( L \) into spherical tensors \([\text{Eq. (5)}]\) amounts to the choice of a special basis of \( L \) or \( \lambda \). This basis is obtained by considering the adjoint representation \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \) of \( \lambda \) (i.e. the representation through the structure constants, see Refs. \( ^7, ^{19-21} \)), and by performing the analysis of \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \) with respect to the subalgebra \( \mathcal{O}' \). Calling the restriction of \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \) to \( \lambda ', q_{\mathcal{O}} \), we write for this analysis

\[
q_{\mathcal{O}} = q_{\mathcal{O}} |_{\mathcal{O}'} = d^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus d^q. \quad (21)
\]
A determination of the corresponding irreducible subspaces is equivalent to the determination of the basis \( B_{ij}^M \) \([\text{Eq. (5)}]\).

The different types of one and two body operators, as given in Eq. (6), are known through the analysis (21). Any model hamiltonian, generated from \( L \), which is hermitean, rotational invariant and contains only one and two body forces is defined by a real linear combination of the hermitean forms of the operators (6) (Hermiticity can be achieved since the \( L_\mu \) are antihermitean). These model hamiltonians can be diagonalized by group theoretical methods using the theory of representations of \( \lambda \).

The types of decomposition (17), (18) and (20) can be obtained by standard techniques. In a number of cases they are explicitly known from the literature \(^{19}\).

In order to give some examples we have quoted in table 1 the structure of subalgebras of \( L_N \) containing the angular momentum operators for some cases where \( \lambda \) is simple and \( q \) is irreducible. This structure is characterized through the type of imbedding \( \mathcal{O}' \subset \lambda \) (analysis (17) of \( q' \)), the types of tensor operators constituting \( L \) (analysis (21) of \( q_{\mathcal{O}} \)) and the dimension \( N \) and angular momentum structure of the single particle space \( S \) \([\text{analysis (18) of } q_{\mathcal{O}}]\) which must be assumed in order to realize \( L = q_{\mathcal{O}}(\lambda) \). The representation \( q \) is characterized through the maximum weights. (Note that the decompositions (17) and (21) are independent from \( q \).) The structure of the decompositions (17), (18) and (21) is always given by the corresponding set of angular momentum values. For \( \text{su}(3), \text{sp}(2) \) and \( \text{su}(4) \), all possibilities for \( q \) are considered, for the other choices of \( \lambda \) only the irreducible \( q' \) is taken into account.

We want to draw the attention to the following interesting examples.

First we recover Elliott’s \( \text{su}(3) \) model by setting \( \lambda = \text{su}(3) \) and \( q = (L, 0) \). For this choice of \( \lambda \) and \( q \), the single particle angular momentum structure cor-
Table 1. Examples of subalgebras $L$ of $L_N$ containing the angular momentum operators. The characterization of the subalgebras $L$ is given through the type $\lambda$, the representation $\rho'$ [analysis of Eq. (17)] defining the imbedding $X' \subset X$, the analysis of $\rho' = \rho|_{\lambda'}$ [Eq. (21)] yielding the decomposition of $L$ into spherical tensors and the representation $\rho >$ defining $L$ for which we quote the maximum weights, the dimension $N$ of the representation space $S$ and the analysis (18) of $\rho = \rho|_{\lambda'}$ defining the set of shells which have to be assumed in order to constitute $L$. All decompositions are characterized through the possible angular momentum values and their degeneracy (upper index, see Ref. 19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{su}(3)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (1)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (1, 2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(L, 0)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}(L+1)(L+2)$</td>
<td>$L, L-2, \ldots, 1$ or 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3, 2, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{sp}(2)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (3/2)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (3/2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(01)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7/2, 5/2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(02)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9/2, 5/2, 3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5, 4, 3, 2, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11/2, 9/2, 7/2, 5/2, 3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(03)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6, 4, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{su}(4)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (3/2)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (3/2, 1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(010)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7/2, 5/2, 3/2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(020)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(210)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5, 4, 3, 2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6, 4, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(201)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6, 4, 3, 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{sp}(3)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (5/2)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (5, 3, 1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(010)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7/2, 5/2, 3/2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{so}(7)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (3)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (5, 3, 1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(010)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5, 3, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda = \text{sp}(4)$</th>
<th>$\rho' = (7/2)$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{\text{ad}} = (7/2, 5, 3, 1)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$N = \text{dim } S$</td>
<td>$\rho_a = \rho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10000)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20000)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5, 3, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(01000)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4, 2, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11000)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13/2, 11/2, 9/2, 7/2, 5/2, 3/2, 1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

responds to an oscillator shell and $L$ contains, besides the (orbital) angular momentum operators $L_k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), a set of quadrupole operators $Q_{2M}$ (corresponding to the $J = 2$ part in the decomposition of $\rho_{\text{ad}}$) which allow the definition of a quadrupole force

$$Q = \sum_M (-1)^M Q_{2M} Q^{2M}. \quad (22)$$

The eigenvalues of $Q$ can be easily determined by considering representations of $\text{su}(3)$ and the chain $\text{so}(3) \subset \text{su}(3)$. The model (22) is Elliott's $\text{su}(3)$-model within one oscillator shell which has been successfully applied to light nuclei and which is
usually constructed with the help of the symmetry properties of the harmonic oscillator problem. This yields $\varphi$ in a special way, however, it is clear from our construction that the radial parts of the single particle states have not to be oscillator functions (this has been noted by Harvey \(^3\)).

All other examples of subalgebras with their corresponding models can be conceived as generalizations of Elliott's model allowing other configuration spaces and — in general — more types of interaction. A most interesting example occurs for $\lambda = su(4)$ and $\varphi' = (3/2)$. For $\varphi = (010)$, the decomposition (18) yields the angular momentum structure of the S-D shell, whereas choosing $\varphi = (110)$ one obtains the structure of the P-F shell with spin orbit splitting. Thus in the first case $d$ has to be interpreted as orbital, in the second case as total angular momentum. In both examples $L$ contains, according to the analysis (21) of $\varphi_{sd}$, a set of quadrupole and octopole operators ($Q^{2M}$ and $O^{3M}$), so that, in addition to (22) and the total angular momentum, (6) contains an octopole-octopole interaction. A detailed investigation of this model will be devoted to a future publication \(^{30}\).

Since the $L_n$ conserve the particle number [see Eq. (19)] $\varphi \{ \varphi \}$ defines a representation of $\lambda$ on any $n$-body space (generated by $a_1^+ \ldots a_n^+ |0\rangle$). The decomposition of this representation into irreducible parts yields a special basis in the $n$-body space and defines quantum numbers in addition to angular momentum. In Elliott's $su(3)$ model, such basis systems have shown up to be useful for truncation even for the case of realistic forces \(^3\), and it is clear that one may try such applications also for the generalized subalgebras constructed here.

Besides that, a number of other examples of our table should be useful for more schematic investigations (such as test of approximation techniques):

- E.g., we have the $(00100)$ representation of $su(6)$ and the $(0010000)$ representation of $su(8)$ allowing the construction of models containing four ($J = 2, 3, 4, 5$) resp. six ($J = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$) different types of model interactions on Fock spaces which contain a rather rich shell structure.

Finally, it should be mentioned that for a single $j$ shell, we recover the wellknown examples of subalgebras of type \( j \). They are given by the choices $\lambda = so(2j + 1)$ [resp. $sp((2j + 1)/2)$] for $j$ integer (resp. $j$ halfinteger), $\varphi' = d^j$ and $\varphi = dq_j$, Refs. \(^{15, 21}\).

Considering direct sums, these subalgebras can be generalized to more shells \(^{31}\). For the atomic nucleus, also the choice $\lambda = g_2$, $\varphi' = d^1$, $\varphi = q_2$ has found interesting applications \(^{15}\).
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The coupled-channel contribution of $N_{3/2}^*$ isobars, which appear in the solutions of the fixed-source strong coupling field equations, are equivalent to an effective scalar $N$–$N$ potential with the range of the $\sigma$-exchange in usual OBE models.

1. Die Lösungen der starken Kopplung für das NN-System


Eine notwendige Voraussetzung der Theorie ist die Existenz eines Formfaktors für die Nukleonen. Es werden im Gegensatz zur gewöhnlichen Störungsreihe (die für physikalische Kopplungskonstanten bekanntlich divergiert) Entwicklung nach fallen den Potenzen von $g^2$ angesetzt. Da diese Theorie nur für den skalaren Fall in den höheren Ordnungen untersucht worden ist, hat sich der Name „starke Kopplung“ für die Lösungen der Mesonenfeldtheorie in der Ordnung $1/g^2$ eingebürgert, worauf auch die „strong coupling groups“ fußen. Für das Meson-Nukleon-System erhält man in dieser Näherung ein endlichliches System von isobaren Nukleonzuständen mit identischen halbzahligen Werten des Spins und Isospins $j$ mit der Anregungenergie:

$$E_{1s} = \epsilon \mu \ [j(j+1) - \frac{1}{4}]; \quad (j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots)$$

(1)

mit $\mu = m_N / h^2 c$ und $\epsilon = \frac{2}{4} \sigma / \mu / f^2$,

(2)

wobei $f$ die im Hamiltonian angesetzte unrenormierte Kopplungskonstante ist, die mit der physikalischen Kopplungskonstante $g$ zusammenhängt:

$$f^2 = 9 (m_N / 2 m_N)^2 g^2 / 4 \pi$$

(3)

und $a$ der Radius der Quellfunktion ist. Es ist bemerkenswert, daß der Limes verschwindenden Formfaktors in (1) zu dem unsinnigen Ergebnis eines unbeschränkten Spins führt, was bei schwacher Kopplung nicht auftritt. Die Quantenzahlen des ersten angeregten Zustandes stimmen mit den ein Jahrzehnt nach Aufstellung dieser Theorie experimentell entdeckten $N_{3/2}^*$-Nukleon-Isobaren überein.

Das Auftreten der angeregten Nukleonen in den Lösungen der starken Kopplung für das Zweiukleonenystem führt auf ein Mehrkanalproblem. In niedrigster Ordnung der Störungsreihe der starken Kopplung erhält man:

$$\sum_n \left[ - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{L_n (L_n + 1)}{x^2} + \frac{i}{n h T} \left( x \right) \right] F_n^{TIP} (x, E)$$

$$= \eta_n F_n^{TIP} (x, E),$$

(4)