Thermal Diffusion in H₂ - CO₂ at High Pressures as Studied by Palladium Occlusion Method
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The thermal diffusion factor $\alpha$ of hydrogen-carbondioxide mixtures has been determined in two different ways by measuring the mole fractions as well as the partial pressures using a two bulb apparatus. The difference between the values of $\alpha$ has also been calculated thermodynamically and found to agree with the difference of the above values within experimental error.

1. Introduction and Problem

Besides the familiar definition of the thermal diffusion factor $\alpha$ given by the formula

$$\Delta \gamma_i = \gamma_i (1 - \gamma_i) \alpha_i \Delta T / T \quad (i = 1,2) \quad (1)$$

a definition as given by

$$\Delta p_i = p_i (1 - p_i / p) \alpha_p \Delta T / T \quad (i = 1,2) \quad (2)$$

is also possible. $\gamma_i$ is the mole fraction, $p_i$ the partial pressure. $\Delta T$ indicates a local temperature difference in the stationary state. The difference between $\alpha_p$ and $\alpha_i$ for real gases was obtained by Dikkel and Seyffarth as follows:

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_p = \alpha^E = \frac{2p (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \Delta \gamma}{R^2 T} \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are Van der Waals coefficients involved in the chemical excess potential.

As the mole fractions can be determined experimentally, one can measure the thermal diffusion factor $\alpha_i$ given by Eq. (1) without any difficulty.

On the other hand, when one wants to determine $\alpha_p$ according to Eq. (2) the question of measuring the partial pressure differences arises. This measurement is possible only in special cases.

We have chosen a hydrogen-carbondioxide mixture for our investigation using a two bulb apparatus.

The partial pressure of carbondioxide in the cold bulb was determined with the aid of the vapour pressure curve as done by Dikkel and Seyffarth. In the hot bulb, the partial pressure of hydrogen was determined by palladium resistance measurements instead of calculating it using the real gas equation.

2. The Palladium Occlusion Method

The fact that the electrical resistance of palladium varies with its hydrogen content was known as early as 1869 and many measurements of the phenomenon have been made since.

The high pressure two bulb apparatus used earlier by Dikkel and Seyffarth was modified to suit the new procedure by fabricating a new screw of the alloy VACON 10 having four electrically insulated leads. This was used in the hot bulb with special scalants to withstand high pressures. Two of the leads served to connect the ends of a palladium wire of 99.99% purity, 0.1 mm diameter, 30 cms length to a precision Wheatstone Bridge (the palladium wire was supplied by M/s. W. C. Heraeus, Hanau). A platinum resistance thermometer was attached to the other two leads in order to measure the temperature of the gas in the hot compartment accurately. The two bulb apparatus and the accessories were assembled as described earlier.

For the various temperatures of the hot bulb, isotherms of the relative electrical resistance of loaded palladium $R_p / R_0$ (where $R_p$ is the equilibrium resistance of the palladium wire after occlusion of hydrogen and $R_0$ the hydrogen free resistance at the required temperature) vs. the pressure of hydrogen gas were experimentally determined. These have been plotted in Figure 1.

The palladium wire was replaced after each experiment by a new one from the same lot. Thus the curves represent the limiting change in resistance of palladium wire while loading it with hydrogen at different temperatures.
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3. Measurements

a) Partial Pressures

The temperature of the bottom bulb was so chosen that the carbon dioxide remained in equilibrium with a small quantity of its liquid throughout the experiment. This helped us to determine the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the bottom compartment as the same was given by the vapour pressure at that temperature. The temperature difference between hot and cold bulbs was kept constant at a value of 100 °C in all cases. After the attainment of equilibrium the change in relative electrical resistance of the palladium wire was measured. The unknown partial pressure of hydrogen in the hot bulb was found by interpolation of the corresponding isothermals. The resistance measurements had an accuracy of 0.1 %.

b) Mole Fractions

Small quantities of gases were removed from the top and bottom of the two bulb apparatus after each experiment and analysed using a Mass Spectrometer. The mole fractions were determined with the help of a calibration curve involving an experimental error of 2 %.

4. Results

The values of the temperature of the cold bulb (T), the total pressure (p), the mole fractions and the partial pressures of carbon dioxide in the bottom and top bulbs have been given in Table I. Also, the values of the thermal diffusion factor α_y obtained from the mole fractions and α_p obtained from the partial pressures have been included in the above Table. α^k has been calculated using Equation (3). The values of α_1 and α_2 were taken from standard tables and they are: a(CO_2) = 3.6 \text{ atm.}/\text{mole}^2; a(H_2) = 0.244 \text{ atm.}/\text{mole}^2. The differences between α_y and α_p have also been plotted in Figure 2. The experimental error involved in the partial pressure measurement in experiment No. 1 was quite large due to the insensitive nature of the palladium resistance isothermal and therefore, the corresponding point could be ignored in the graph. The maximum experimental error involved in the measurement of α_p was estimated to be 10 %.

Table 1. Values of Thermal Diffusion Factor of Hydrogen Carbon dioxide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>T °K</th>
<th>ΔT T</th>
<th>P atm.</th>
<th>γCO_2 bottom</th>
<th>γCO_2 top</th>
<th>α_p</th>
<th>PCO_2 bottom atm.</th>
<th>PCO_2 top atm.</th>
<th>α_p</th>
<th>α_{exp}^E</th>
<th>α^E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Discussion

The idea that the pressure dependence of the thermal diffusion factor is a property of the real nature of the gases was proposed by Becker. Haase has tried by a plausible phenomenological consideration to separate from the usual thermal diffusion factor a thermodynamical part which contained the pressure dependence caused by the properties of a real gas. This part is evidently included in $\alpha^E$ given by Equation (3). We are convinced that the idea to regard the partial pressure differences instead of the concentration differences as the forces of thermal diffusion flux and the subsequent distinction between $\alpha_P$ and $\alpha_T$ gives a more convenient representation of the physical phenomenon. The agreement between the values of $\alpha_{exp}^E$ and $\alpha^E$ in the high pressure range within the experimental error seems to have proved the above statement.
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