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Phase interaction of “negative”-energy waves is studied assuming well-defined phases and perfect matching conditions. The results are compared with those of the random-phase approach, and significant differences in the dynamics due to phase effects are pointed out.

The lowest-order nonlinear interaction of three monochromatic waves, with well-defined phases, having the frequencies \( \omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2 \) where

\[
\omega_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_2
\]

(1)

can be shown to be described by

\[
\begin{align*}
du_0/d\tau &= -s_1u_1u_2\cos \Phi, \\
du_1/d\tau &= s_0u_1u_2\cos \Phi, \\
du_2/d\tau &= s_0u_1u_0\cos \Phi,
\end{align*}
\]

(2a) (2b) (2c)

\[
\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \left[ s_1s_2 \frac{u_1u_2}{u_0} - s_0s_2 \frac{u_1u_0}{u_2} - s_0s_1 \frac{u_1u_2}{u_0} \right] \sin \Phi.
\]

(3)

Two different cases may occur:

I) 1) all \( s_i \) are equal, or 2) \( s_1s_2 = -1 \) and \( s_0 = \) arbitrary;

II) \( s_1s_2 = +1 \) and \( s_0 = -s_1 = -s_2 \) (or, equivalently, \( s_0s_1 = s_0s_2 = -1 \)).

Case I has been extensively treated \(^1-3\) with the result that the \( u_i(\tau) \) are oscillatory and bounded. Physically, it corresponds to an interaction of waves of positive energy (in an appropriate frame of reference).

We are interested here in Case II, for which all \( du_i/d\tau \) have the same sign. Hence, all amplitudes vary in the same sense, which is only possible if one of the waves has negative energy (in any frame of reference) \(^4-6\). Qualitatively, the evolution of the \( u_i^2 \) and \( \Phi \) is readily obtained from the preceding relations (cf. Fig. 1). For \( u_i^2 \rightarrow \infty \) one has

\[
u_i^2 = \left( \tau_\infty - \tau \right)^{-2}
\]

with \( \tau_\infty \) depending on the initial conditions. Hence, the increase of the wave amplitudes — after a transient period, depending on \( |\Phi_0| \) and during which the \( u_i \) may even decrease — is faster than exponential \(^7\) and leads to a divergence at a finite \( \tau_\infty \). At the same time, the phase is locked \(^7\) to \( \Phi = \pm \pi \) for sign \( \Phi_0 = \pm 1 \), irrespective of \( |\Phi_0| \). We note that, as in Case I, one can solve Eqs. (2) and (3) exactly in terms of elliptic functions \(^1,3\).
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Let us consider the same interaction problem in random-phase approximation. The corresponding equations of motion are
\[
\frac{d(\bar{u}_0^2)}{d\tau} = \bar{u}_1^2 \bar{u}_2^2 - s_0 s_1 \bar{u}_0^2 \bar{u}_2^2 - s_0 s_2 \bar{u}_0^2 \bar{u}_1^2, \tag{10}
\]
and two similar equations for \(d(\bar{u}_1^2)/d\tau\) and \(d(\bar{u}_2^2)/d\tau\), from which the validity of Eqs. (6) in terms of the \(\bar{u}_j^2\) is easily shown. By using them, exact solutions for the \(\bar{u}_j^2(\tau)\) are readily obtained. For \(\bar{u}_j^2 \to \infty\), one has in case II
\[
\bar{u}_j^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\tau}_\infty - \bar{\tau})^{-1} \tag{11}
\]
with \(\bar{\tau}_\infty\) determined by the initial conditions. Hence, the final increase of the wave amplitudes is less “explosive” here than in the case of determined phase. On the other hand, no decrease of the amplitudes, even transitory, is possible in the random-phase case.

In conclusion, phase effects may have considerable importance for the dynamics of the considered wave interaction.
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